

$$\mu_{a_1, \dots, a_n}(B) = \mu\{x \in V : [(x, a_1), \dots, (x, a_n)] \in B\}.$$

The family  $\{\mu_{a_1, \dots, a_n}\}$  is consistent. Conversely, each consistent family of probability measures on spaces  $R^n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) defines a c.m. An important method to produce c.m.'s is as follows: Let  $M$  be a linear mapping from  $V'$  into  $L_0(\Omega)$ . It is easy to verify that the family  $\{\mu_{a_1, \dots, a_n}\}$  given by  $\mu_{a_1, \dots, a_n} = \mathcal{L}(Ma_1, \dots, Ma_n)$  is consistent so it generates a c.m. on  $V$ . Conversely, every c.m. on  $V$  is generated by some linear mapping from  $V'$  into  $L_0(\Omega_1)$  where  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, P_1)$  is an appropriate probability space. The characteristic function of a c. m. is defined by  $\hat{\mu}(a) = \int_R \exp\{it\} d\mu_a(t)$ ,  $a \in V'$ .

If  $\mu$  is a c.m. on  $V$  then a Radon measure  $\bar{\mu}$  on  $V$  is said to be a Radon extension of  $\mu$  if  $\bar{\mu}(Z) = \mu(Z)$  for each  $Z \in \mathcal{C}$ . In this case we say that  $\mu$  is a Radon measure.

**Theorem 1.1** (see [9] p. 296) *The c.m.  $\mu$  on  $V$  is a Radon measure if and only if the linear mapping  $M$  generating  $\mu$  is decomposed by a  $V$ -valued random vector  $f$  in the sense that*

$$\forall a \in V' \quad P\{\omega : Ma(\omega) = \langle f(\omega), a \rangle\} = 1.$$

The following lemma is generally known but for lack of a suitable reference we prove it here

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $f \in L_V^0(\Omega)$ . Then the linear mapping  $a \rightarrow \langle f, a \rangle$  from  $V'$  into  $L_0(\Omega)$  is continuous w.r.t. the Mackey topology on  $V'$ .*

**P r o o f.** Given  $t > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\mu$  be the distribution of  $f$ . Since  $\mu$  is a Radon measure there exists an absolutely convex and compact set  $K$  such that  $\mu(K) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ . Put  $U = \{a \in V' : \sup_{b \in K} |\langle b, a \rangle| \leq t\}$ .  $U$  is a neighbourhood of the Mackey topology. Then for  $a \in U$  we have  $P\{\omega : |\langle f(\omega), a \rangle| \leq t\} = \mu\{b : |\langle b, a \rangle| \leq t\} \geq \mu(K) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$  i.e.  $P\{\omega : |\langle f(\omega), a \rangle| > t\} < \varepsilon$ . The Lemma is proved.

## II. RANDOM OPERATORS WITH SAMPLE PATHS IN A SUBSET OF $L(X, Y)$

Recall that by a random operator  $A$  from  $X$  into  $Y$  we mean a linear mapping  $A$  from  $X$  into  $L_Y^0(\Omega)$  such that  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\lim_{x_n \rightarrow 0} P\{\|Ax_n\| > \varepsilon\} = 0$ .  $L(\Omega, X, Y)$  denotes the set of all random operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Two random operators  $A$  and  $B \in L(\Omega, X, Y)$  are called a modification of one another if for each  $x \in X$ ,



$P\{\omega : Ax(\omega) = Bx(\omega)\} = 1$ . For each fixed  $\omega$ , the mapping  $x \rightarrow Ax(\omega)$  is called a sample path of  $A$ .

In what follows,  $V$  denotes a Banach space whose members are linear continuous operators from  $X$  into  $Y$  and it is equipped with a norm stronger than the usual operator norm.

**Example 1** (The class of  $p$ -summing operators)

An operator  $T \in L(X, Y)$  is said to be  $p$ -summing ( $0 < p < \infty$ ) if for each sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(x_n, a)|^p < \infty$  for all  $a \in X'$  we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Tx_n\|^p < \infty$ . Alternatively,  $T$  is  $p$ -summing if and only if there exists a constant  $C$  such that for each finite sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$

$$\sum_n \|Tx_n\|^p \leq C^p \sup_{\|a\| \leq 1} \left\{ \sum_n |(x_n, a)|^p \right\}.$$

The minimal  $C$  for which the above inequality holds is denoted by  $\|T\|_{\Pi}$ .

An operator  $T \in L(X, Y)$  is said to be completely summing if  $T$  is  $p$ -summing for all  $p$ . We denote by  $\Pi_p(X, Y)$  and  $\Pi_0(X, Y)$  the class of all  $p$ -summing operators from  $X$  into  $Y$  and the class of all completely summing operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ , respectively. For  $p \geq 1$ ,  $\Pi_p(X, Y)$  is a Banach space under the norm  $\|T\|_{\Pi}$ . In the case  $X$  and  $Y$  are Hilbert spaces  $\Pi_p(X, Y)$  is exactly the class of H-S operators. For more information about  $p$ -summing operators, see [9].

**Example 2.** Let  $(S, \Sigma, \lambda)$  be a finite measurable space. The symbol  $L_p$  will always mean  $L_p(S, \Sigma, \lambda)$ . Following Linde [7] an operator  $T \in L(X', L_p)$  ( $1 < p \leq 2$ ) is said to be a  $\Lambda_p$ -operator if the function  $g : X' \rightarrow R$  given by  $g(a) = \exp\{-\|Ta\|^p\}$  is a characteristic function of a Radon measure  $\mu_T$  on  $X$ . The class of all  $\Lambda_p$ -operators in  $L(X', L_p)$  is denoted by  $\Lambda_p(X', L_p)$ . The set  $\Lambda_p(X', L_p)$  becomes a Banach space under the norm defined by  $\|T\|_{\Lambda} = \left\{ \int \|x\|^r d\mu_T \right\}^{1/r}$  where  $1 \leq r < p$ .

Now we are going to introduce the class of H-S type operators and the class of H-S type dual operators which are natural generalizations of the class of H-S operators between Hilbert spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** 1) An operator  $T \in L(\ell_p, Y)$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) is said to be a H-S type operator if  $\sum_n \|Te_n\|^{p'} < \infty$ , where  $(e_n)$  is the sequence of standard unit vectors and  $p'$  is the conjugate number of  $p$  ( $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ). The set of all H-S type operators from  $\ell_p$  into  $Y$  is denoted by  $S(\ell_p, Y)$ .

2) An operator  $T \in L(X, \ell_r)$  ( $1 < r < \infty$ ) is said to be a H-S type dual operator if the dual operator  $T^* : \ell'_r \rightarrow X'$  is a H-S type operator. The set of all H-S type dual operators from  $X$  into  $\ell_r$  is denoted by  $U(X, \ell_r)$ .



For  $T \in S(\ell_p, Y)$  we put  $\|T\|_s^{p'} = \sum_n \|Te_n\|^{p'}$ . By the Holder's inequality we get

$$\|Tx\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|T\|_s \quad \text{and} \quad \|T\| \leq \|T\|_s. \quad (2.1)$$

It is easy to check that the function  $T \rightarrow \|T\|_s$  is a norm on  $S(\ell_p, Y)$ . Moreover  $S(\ell_p, Y)$  is a Banach space under the norm  $\|T\|_s$ .

For  $T \in U(X, \ell_r)$  we put  $\|T\|_u = \|T^*\|_s$ . Again,  $U(X, \ell_r)$  is a Banach space under the norm  $\|T\|_u$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** 1) If  $T \in S(\ell_p, Y)$  then  $T$  is a compact operator and for each  $R \in L(Y, Z)$  we have  $HT \in S(\ell_p, Y)$ . If  $Y$  is separable, so is  $S(\ell_p, Y)$ .

2) If  $T \in U(X, \ell_r)$  then  $T$  is a compact operator and for each  $R \in L(Z, X)$  we have  $TR \in U(Z, \ell_r)$ . If  $X'$  is separable so is  $U(X, \ell_r)$ .

**P r o o f.** 1) For each  $n$  we define a continuous finite rank operator  $T_n$  by  $T_n x = \sum_{k=1}^n (x, e_k) Te_k$ . Then by (2.1) we have  $\|T - T_n\|^{p'} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \|Te_k\|^{p'} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $T$  is a compact operator. For  $R \in L(Y, Z)$  we have

$$\sum_n \|RTe_n\|^{p'} \leq \|R\|^{p'} \sum_n \|Te_n\|^{p'} < \infty \quad \text{so} \quad RT \in S(\ell_p, X).$$

Now let  $Y$  be separable and  $D$  be a countable set dense in  $Y$ . For each finite subset  $I = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\} \subset D$  we define an operator  $T_I \in S(\ell_p, Y)$  by  $T_I x = \sum_{k=1}^n (x, e_k) d_k$ . The family  $\{T_I\}$  is countable. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists  $n$  such that

$$\|T - T_n\|_s^{p'} = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \|Te_k\|^{p'} < \varepsilon. \quad \text{Then for each } k \text{ we can find } d_k \in D \text{ such that}$$

$$\|Te_k - d_k\| < \varepsilon/2^{k/p'}. \quad \text{Then for } I = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\} \text{ we have}$$

$$\|T_n - T_I\|_s = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \|Te_k - d_k\|^{p'} \right\}^{1/p'} < \varepsilon.$$

Consequently  $\|T - T_I\|_s \leq \|T - T_n\|_s + \|T_n - T_I\|_s < 2\varepsilon$

2) The proof is similar to that of the assertion 1).

**Theorem 2.3.** Let either  $1 < p' < r < 2$  or  $1 < p' < \infty, r = 2$ . Then we have  $\Lambda_r(\ell_p, L_r) = \Pi_0(\ell_p, L_r) = S(\ell_p, L_r)$ .

**P r o o f.** By Theorem 3.1 [13] we have  $\Lambda_r(\ell_p, L_r) \subset \Pi_0(\ell_p, L_r)$ . The inclusion  $\Pi_0(\ell_p, L_r) \subset S(\ell_p, L_r)$  is clear. Now it is enough to prove that  $S(\ell_p, L_r) \subset \Lambda_r(\ell_p, L_r)$ . Let  $T \in S(\ell_p, L_r)$  and  $\mu$  be the c.m. on  $\ell_p'$  with the characteristic



function  $\exp \{ - \|Ta\|^r \}$   $a \in \ell'_p$ . Suppose that  $M : \ell_p \rightarrow L_0(\Omega_1)$  is the linear mapping generating  $\mu$ . We have  $E \exp \{ i M e_n \} = \exp \{ - \|T e_n\|^r \}$ . Hence  $\sum_n E |M e_n|^{p'} = K \sum_n \|T e_n\|^{p'} < \infty$  where  $K$  is a constant. From this we get  $\sum_n |M e_n|^{p'} < \infty$  a.s. Put  $\overline{M}(\omega) = \{M e_n(\omega)\}_1^\infty$ .  $\overline{M}$  is a random vector with values in  $\ell'_p$  and  $Ma(\omega) = (\overline{M}(\omega), a)$  a.s. for each  $a \in \ell_p$ . In view of Theorem 1.1 the c.m.  $\mu$  is a Radon measure i.e.  $T \in \Lambda_r(\ell_p, L_r)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $1 < r < p' < 2$  Then we have

$$\Lambda_r(L_p, \ell_r) = \Pi_0(L_p, \ell_r) = U(L_p, \ell_r).$$

**P r o o f.** At first, let us remark that since  $1 < r < p' < 2$  the space  $L'_p$  is of stable type  $r$  and embeds into an appropriate space  $L_r(S_1, \Sigma_1, \lambda_1)$ . By Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.5 in [13] we have  $\Pi_0(L_p, \ell_r) = \Lambda_r(L_p, \ell_r)$ . Hence it suffices to prove that  $\Lambda_r(L_p, \ell_r) = U(L_p, \ell_r)$ . A straightforward application of Ito-Nisio's theorem yields that  $T \in \Lambda_r(L_p, \ell_r)$  if and only if the series  $\sum_n T^* e_n \Theta_n$  converges a.s. in  $L'_p$  where  $\{\Theta_n\}$  are i.i.d real-valued random variables with characteristic function  $\exp \{ - |t|^r \}$ . Since  $r < 2$  this property is equivalent to  $\sum_n \|T^* e_n\|^r < \infty$  i.e.  $T \in U(L_p, \ell_r)$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $1 < r < 2 < p$ . A linear continuous operator from  $\ell_p$  into  $\ell_r$  is completely summing if and only if it is a  $H-S$  type operator in the case  $p' < r$  or it is a  $H-S$  type dual operator in the case  $p' > r$ .

We return to the problem of sample path properties of random operator.

**Lemma 2.6.** 1) Let  $A \in L(\Omega, X, Y)$ . Then  $A$  admits a modification with sample paths in  $V$  if and only if there is a mapping  $\overline{A} : \Omega \rightarrow V$  such that  $\forall x \in X \quad P\{\omega : Ax(\omega) = \overline{A}(\omega)x\} = 1$ .

2) In this case, if  $V$  is separable then  $\overline{A}$  is  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B})$  - measurable.

**P r o o f.** The assertion 1) is obvious. In order to prove 2) for  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y'$  we denote by  $x \otimes y$  the linear continuous functional on  $V$  defined by  $\langle b, x \otimes y \rangle = (bx, y)$ . Clearly, the family  $F = \{x \otimes y, x \in X, y \in Y'\}$  separates the points of  $V$ . Further, for each  $x \otimes y$  the function  $\omega \rightarrow \langle \overline{A}(\omega), x \otimes y \rangle = (Ax(\omega), y)$  is measurable. Hence  $\overline{A}$  is  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A}(F))$  - measurable. Thus the assertion 2) follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{A}(F) = \mathcal{B}$  if  $V$  is separable.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $A \in L(\Omega, \ell_p, Y)$  where  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then  $A$  admits a modification with sample paths in  $S(\ell_p, Y)$  if and only if the series  $\sum_n \|Ae_n\|^{p'}$  converges a. s.



**P r o o f.** Suppose that  $A$  admits a modification with sample paths in  $S(\ell_p, Y)$ . By Lemma 2.6 there is a mapping  $\bar{A} : \Omega \rightarrow S(\ell_p, Y)$  and a set  $D$  of probability 1 such that  $Ae_n(\omega) = \bar{A}(\omega)e_n$  for all  $n$  and all  $\omega \in D$ . Then for each  $\omega \in D$  we have

$$\sum_n \|Ae_n(\omega)\|^{p'} = \sum_n \|\bar{A}(\omega)e_n\|^{p'} < \infty.$$

Conversely, suppose that  $\sum_n \|Ae_n\|^{p'} < \infty$  a.s. Put

$$D = \left\{ \omega : \sum_n \|Ae_n(\omega)\|^{p'} < \infty \right\}$$

For each  $n$  define a mapping  $B_n : \Omega \rightarrow S(\ell_p, Y)$  by

$$B_n(\omega)x = \sum_{k=1}^n (x, e_k) Ae_k(\omega).$$

We have

$$\|B_{n+m}(\omega) - B_n(\omega)\|_s^{p'} = \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+m} \|Ae_k(\omega)\|^{p'}$$

Consequently, for each  $\omega \in D$ ,  $\{B_n(\omega)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $S(\ell_p, Y)$  so it converges in  $S(\ell_p, Y)$  to some  $B(\omega)$ . Consider a mapping  $\bar{A} : \Omega \rightarrow S(\ell_p, Y)$  defined by  $\bar{A}(\omega) = B(\omega)$  if  $\omega \in D$  and  $\bar{A}(\omega) = 0$  otherwise. Then for each  $x \in X$   $\bar{A}(\omega)x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x, e_k) Ae_k(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in D$ . On the other hand, the series  $\sum_k (x, e_k) Ae_k(\omega)$  converges to  $Ax(\omega)$  in  $L_Y^0(\Omega)$ . Hence  $P\{\omega : Ax(\omega) = \bar{A}(\omega)x\} = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space with the Schauder basis  $(h_n)$  and  $(h_n^*)$  be the Schauder corresponding basis for  $X'$ . A random operator  $A \in L(\Omega, X, \ell_r)$  ( $1 < r < \infty$ ) admits a modification with sample paths in  $U(X, \ell_r)$  if and only if for each  $k$  the series  $\sum_i (Ah_i, e_k) h_k^*$  is convergent a.s. in  $X'$  and  $\sum_k \left\| \sum_i (Ah_i, e_k) h_k^* \right\|^r < \infty$  with probability one.

**P r o o f.** The necessity: By Lemma 2.6 there is a mapping  $\bar{A}$  from  $\Omega$  into  $U(X, \ell_r)$  and a set  $D$  of probability one such that  $Ah_i(\omega) = \bar{A}(\omega)h_i$  for all  $i$  and all  $\omega \in D$ . Then for each  $k$  and each  $\omega \in D$  we have

$$\sum_i (Ah_i(\omega), e_k) h_k^* = \sum_i (\bar{A}(\omega)h_i, e_k) h_k^* = \sum_i (h_i, \bar{A}^*(\omega)e_k) h_k^* = \bar{A}^*(\omega)e_k.$$



Moreover since  $\bar{A}(\omega) \in U(X, \ell_r)$  we have  $\sum_k \|\bar{A}^*(\omega)e_k\|^r < \infty$  for all  $\omega \in D$ .

**The sufficiency:** Assume that  $\xi_k = \sum_i (Ah_i, e_k)h_i^* \in L_X^0(\Omega)$  and  $\sum_k \|\xi_k(\omega)\|^r < \infty$  a.s. Then there is a set  $D$  of probability 1 such that  $\sum_k \|\xi_k(\omega)\|^r < \infty$  for all  $\omega \in D$ . From this it follows that the series  $\sum_k (x, e_k)\xi_k(\omega)$  converges in  $X'$  for each  $x \in \ell_r'$  and each  $\omega \in D$ . Define a linear operator  $B(\omega)$  for each  $\omega \in D$  by  $B(\omega)x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x, e_k)\xi_k(\omega)$  and let  $\bar{A}(\omega)$  be a restriction of  $B^*(\omega)$  to  $X$ . We have

$$\sum_k \|\bar{A}^*(\omega)e_k\|^r = \sum_k \|B^{**}(\omega)e_k\|^r = \sum_k \|B(\omega)e_k\|^r = \sum_k \|\xi_k(\omega)\|^r < \infty.$$

Hence  $\bar{A}(\omega) \in U(X, \ell_r)$ . By putting  $\bar{A}(\omega) = 0$  if  $\omega \notin D$  we define a mapping  $\bar{A} : \Omega \rightarrow U(X, \ell_r)$ . It remains for us to prove that  $Ax(\omega) = \bar{A}(\omega)x$  a.s. Indeed for each  $i, k$  and  $\omega \in D$  we have

$$(\bar{A}(\omega)h_i, e_k) = (B^*(\omega)h_i, e_k) = (h_i, B(\omega)e_k) = (h_i, \xi_k(\omega)) = (Ah_i(\omega), e_k).$$

So  $Ah_i(\omega) = \bar{A}(\omega)h_i$  for each  $i$  and each  $\omega \in D$ . It follows that

$$\bar{A}(\omega)x = \sum_i (x, h_i^*)\bar{A}(\omega)h_i = \sum_i (x, h_i^*)Ah_i(\omega)$$

for each  $\omega \in D$ . But for each  $x \in X$ , the series  $\sum_i (x, h_i^*)Ah_i(\omega)$  converges to  $Ax(\omega)$  in probability. Hence  $Ax(\omega) = \bar{A}(\omega)x$  a.s. as required.

### III. $(V, p)$ - REPRESENTATION OF RANDOM OPERATORS

A Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $V$  is said to be of order  $p$  ( $p > 0$ ) if  $\int \|x\|^p d\mu(x) < \infty$ . For simplicity of writing we refer to a Radon measure of order 0 as an arbitrary Radon measure.

**Definition 3.1.** A random operator  $A \in L(\Omega, X, Y)$  is said to be  $(V, p)$  - representable ( $p \geq 0$ ) if there exists a Radon measure  $\mu$  of order  $p$  on  $V$  such that for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X, y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y'$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and for all Borel sets  $B \subset R^n$  we have

$$P\left\{\omega : [(Ax_1, y_1), \dots, (Ax_n, y_n)] \in B\right\} = \mu\left\{b : [(bx_1, y_1), \dots, (bx_n, y_n)] \in B\right\}.$$



In this case  $\mu$  will be termed the representing measure of  $A$ .

**Remark 1)** If  $V$  is separable and there exists a modification of  $A$  having sample paths in  $V$  then  $A$  is  $(V, 0)$  - representable. Indeed, by Lemma 2.6 there is a  $V$ -valued random vector  $\bar{A} \in L_V^0(\Omega)$  such that  $\forall x \in X$ ,  $P\{\omega : Ax(\omega) = \bar{A}(\omega)x\} = 1$ . Clearly, the distribution of  $\bar{A}$  is the representing measure of  $A$ .

2) If  $V$  is nonseparable then the fact that  $A$  admits a modification with sample paths in  $V$  does not imply the  $(V, 0)$  - representation of  $A$  as the following example shows

**Counter example:** Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space with the orthonormal basis  $(e_n)$  and  $(\gamma_n)$  be a sequence of independent random variables such that  $\gamma_n$  obeys to the normal law of  $N(0, 1/\sqrt{\log n})$ .

Let  $\mu$  be the distribution of the sequence  $(\gamma_n)$ . It is well known that  $\mu$  is concentrated on  $\ell_\infty$  and the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $\ell_\infty$  is not a Radon measure for the norm topology of  $\ell_\infty$  (it is only a Radon measure for the  $w^*$  - topology  $\sigma(\ell_\infty, \ell_1)$ ). Further,  $\ell_\infty$  can be identified as a subset of  $L(H, H)$ . Namely, every sequence  $(e_k)$  in  $\ell_\infty$  corresponds to an operator  $T \in L(H, H)$  defined by  $Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x, e_k) c_k e_k$ .

Define a random operator  $A \in L(\Omega, H, H)$  by  $Ax = \sum_k (x, e_k) \gamma_k e_k$ . It is easy to verify that there exists a modification of  $A$  whose sample paths belong to  $\ell_\infty$ . However,  $A$  is not  $(V, 0)$  - representable.

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are separable. Then a random operator  $A \in L(\Omega, X, Y)$  is  $(V, p)$  - representable ( $p \geq 0$ ) if and only if there exists a  $V$ -valued random vector  $\bar{A} \in L_V^p(\Omega)$  such that

$$\forall x \in X \quad P\{\omega : Ax(\omega) = \bar{A}(\omega)x\} = 1.$$

In particular, if  $A$  is  $(V, p)$  - representable then it admits a modification with sample paths in  $V$ .

**P r o o f.** The part 'if' is clear. Indeed, the distribution of  $\bar{A}$  is the representing measure of  $A$ . Now suppose that  $A$  is  $(V, p)$  - representable. Let  $V_0$  be the support of the representing measure  $\mu$ . Since  $\mu$  is a Radon measure,  $V_0$  is separable (see [7] Proposition 1.1.1). Further, we can find an increasing sequence of compact sets  $(K_n) \subset V_0$  such that  $\lim_n \mu(K_n) = 1$ . Put  $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ . Then  $K$  is a Borel set with  $\mu(K) = 1$ . Because  $X$  and  $Y$  are separable there exist a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  and a sequence  $(y_n)$  in  $Y'$  with  $\sup_n \|x_n\| \leq 1$  and  $\sup_n \|y_n\| \leq 1$  such that  $b = 0$  whenever  $(bx_n, y_n) = 0$  for all  $n$ . Consider a mapping  $\theta : V_0 \rightarrow R^\infty$  defined by

$$\theta(b) = [(bx_n, y_n)]_1^\infty.$$



$\theta$  is continuous and one-one. Hence  $\Theta(K_n)$  is compact and  $\Theta(K) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Theta(K_n)$  is a separable Borel set. By the Kuratowski theorem ([15] p.15) the inverse mapping  $\phi = \Theta^{-1} : \Theta(K) \rightarrow V_0$  is measurable. Put  $\Omega_0 = \left\{ \omega : [(Ax_n, y_n)]_1^{\infty} \in \Theta(K) \right\}$ . We have

$$P(\Omega_0) = \mu \left\{ b : [(bx_n, y_n)]_1^{\infty} \in \Theta(K) \right\} = \mu \left\{ b : \theta b \in \Theta(K) \right\} \geq \mu(K) = 1.$$

Consider a mapping  $G : \Omega \rightarrow \Theta(K)$  defined by  $G(\omega) = [(Ax_n, y_n)]_1^{\infty}$  if  $\omega \in \Omega_0$  and  $G(\omega) = k_0$  otherwise, where  $k_0$  is a fixed element in  $\Theta(K)$ . Put  $\bar{A}(\omega) = \phi[G(\omega)]$ .  $\bar{A}$  is measurable and has separable range  $\bar{A}(\Omega) \subset V_0$ . Hence  $\bar{A}$  is a  $V$ -valued random vector. For each  $x \in X$  and each  $y \in (y_n)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} P \left\{ (Ax, y) = (\bar{A}(\omega)x, y) \right\} &= P \left\{ (Ax, y) = (\phi[G(\omega)]x, y) \right\} = \\ &= \mu \left\{ b : (bx, y) = (\phi[\theta b]x, y) \right\} \geq \mu \left\{ b : b = \phi[\theta b] \right\} \geq \mu(K) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $\forall x \in X, P \left\{ \omega : Ax(\omega) = \bar{A}(\omega)x \right\} = 1$ . Finally

$$\int \|\bar{A}(\omega)\|^p dP = \int \|\phi[\theta b]\|^p d\mu(b) = \int \|b\|^p d\mu(b) < \infty.$$

Theorem is fully proved.

By  $L^{(p)}(\Omega, X, Y)$  we denote the set of random operators  $A$  from  $X$  into  $Y$  for which  $E\|Ax\|^p < \infty$  for all  $x \in X$ . By the closed graph theorem  $L^{(p)}(\Omega, X, Y)$  can be identified with the space of all linear continuous operators from  $X$  into  $L_Y^p(\Omega)$ .

Now to each  $A \in L^{(p)}(\Omega, X, Y)$  ( $p > 1$ ) we associate a linear mapping  $S_A$  from  $L_p'(\Omega)$  into the set of mappings from  $X$  into  $Y$  by the following formula.

$$(S_A h)(x) = \int h(\omega) Ax(\omega) dP \quad (3.1)$$

Here the Bochner integral (3.1) exists since  $Ax \in L_Y^p(\Omega)$ . By the Hölder inequality we have

$$\|(S_A h)(x)\| \leq v(A) \|h\| \|x\| \quad (3.2)$$

where  $v(A) = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \{E\|Ax\|^p\}^{1/p}$ . From (3.2) it follows easily that  $S_A$  is a linear continuous operator from  $L_p'(\Omega)$  into  $L(X, Y)$  and  $\|S_A\| \leq v(A)$ .



Let  $\gamma$  be a cylindrical measure on  $L'_p(\Omega)$  defined by

$$\gamma\left\{h \in L'_p(\Omega) : [(h, g_n)]_1^N \in B\right\} = p\left\{\omega : [g_n(\omega)]_1^N \in B\right\}.$$

In other words,  $\gamma$  is the c.m. on  $L'_p(\Omega)$  generated by the identical mapping  $Id : L_p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega)$ . It is easy to see that  $\gamma$  is a cylindrical measure of type p i. e.

$$\sup_{\|g\| \leq 1} \int |t|^p d\gamma_g(t) < \infty.$$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $A \in L^{(p)}(\Omega, X, Y)$ . Then  $A$  is  $(V, p)$  - representable ( $p > 1$ ) if and only if  $S_A$  is an operator from  $L'_p(\Omega)$  into  $V$  and the image of  $\gamma$  by  $S_A$  is a Radon measure of order  $p$  on  $V$ .

**P r o o f.** Suppose that  $A$  is  $(V, p)$  - representable. By Theorem 3.2 there exists a  $V$ -valued random vector  $\bar{A} \in L_V^p(\Omega)$  such that  $\forall x \in X, Ax(\omega) = \bar{A}(\omega)x$  a.s. From (3.1) we have

$$(S_A h)x = \int h(\omega) \bar{A}(\omega)x dP$$

Since the Bochner integral  $\int h(\omega) \bar{A}(\omega) dP$  exists, this identity shows that  $S_A h = \int h(\omega) \bar{A}(\omega) dP \in V$ . Moreover, for each  $u \in V'$  and  $h \in L'_p(\Omega)$  we have

$$(S_A^* u, h) = \langle S_A h, u \rangle = \int h(\omega) \langle \bar{A}(\omega), u \rangle dP.$$

Hence  $S_A^* u(\omega) = \langle \bar{A}(\omega), u \rangle$  a.s.

Denote by  $S_A(\gamma)$  the image of  $\gamma$  by  $S_A$ . The characteristic function of the c.m.  $S_A(\gamma)$  is equal to

$$\widehat{S_A(\gamma)}(u) = \int \exp\{i S_A^* u(\omega)\} dP = \int \exp\{i \langle \bar{A}(\omega), u \rangle\} dP.$$

This equality shows that  $S_A(\gamma)$  coincides with the distribution of  $\bar{A}$ . Thus  $S_A(\gamma)$  is a Radon measure of order  $p$  on  $V$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $S_A(\gamma)$  is a Radon measure of order  $p$  on  $V$ . Then for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X, y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y'$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and for all Borel sets  $B \in R^n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_A(\gamma)\left\{b \in V : [(bx_k, y_k)]_1^n \in B\right\} &= \gamma\left\{h \in L'_p(\Omega) : [((S_A h)x_k, y_k)]_1^n \in B\right\} = \\ &= \gamma\left\{h \in L'_p(\Omega) : [(h, (Ax_k, y_k))]_1^n \in B\right\} = P\left\{\omega : [(Ax_k, y_k)]_1^n \in B\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $A$  is  $(V, p)$  - representable. Theorem is proved.

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for the  $(V, p)$  - representation of a random operator  $A$  in terms of its associated operator  $S_A$ .



**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $A \in L^{(p)}(\Omega, X, Y)$  and  $p > 1$ . If the operator  $S_A$  is  $p$ -summing operator from  $L_p'(\Omega)$  into  $V$  then  $A$  is  $(V, p)$  - representable.

**P r o o f.** Because  $\gamma$  is a cylindrical measure of type  $p$  and  $S_A$  is  $p$ -summing ( $p > 1$ ) by Schwartz's Radonification Theorem [9],  $S_A(\gamma)$  is a Radon measure of order  $p$  on  $V$ . Hence  $A$  is  $(V, p)$  - representable by Theorem 3.3.

Let  $X \otimes Y'$  be the tensor product of  $X$  and  $Y'$  and  $\alpha$  be a reasonable crossnorm on  $X \otimes Y'$ . Its completion under this norm is denoted by  $X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y'$ . Every member  $\phi$  of the dual space  $[X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y']'$  corresponds to some  $T \in L(X, Y'')$  such that  $\langle x \otimes y, \phi \rangle = (Tx, y)$  (see [1], p.230).

**Definition 3.5.** We say that an operator  $T \in L(X, Y)$  belongs to the class  $V_\alpha$  if the linear functional  $\hat{T}$  on  $X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y'$  defined by

$$\hat{T}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i \otimes y_i)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n (Tx_i, y_i)$$

is continuous i.e.  $\hat{T}$  is a member of  $[X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y']'$ .

The norm of  $T \in V_\alpha$  is defined by  $\|T\|_\alpha = \|\hat{T}\|$ . It is easy to check that  $V_\alpha$  is a Banach space under the norm  $\|T\|_\alpha$ .

**Examples** 1) If  $\alpha = \pi$ , the greatest reasonable crossnorm, then  $V_\pi$  consists of all linear continuous operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ .

2) If  $\alpha = \varepsilon$ , the least reasonable crossnorm, then  $V_\varepsilon$  is called the class of integral operators in the sense of Grothendieck. For the properties of integral operators see [1], Chapter VIII.

3) If  $\alpha$  is the norm  $d_k$  ( $1 < k < \infty$ ) introduced by Saphar [10] then in view of Theorem 3.2 in [10]  $V_{d_k}$  is precisely the space of  $k'$ -summing operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ .

Let  $A \in L(\Omega, X, Y)$ . By the property of the tensor product  $A$  induces a unique linear mapping  $T_A : X \otimes Y' \rightarrow L_0(\Omega)$  with the property  $T_A(x \otimes y) = (Ax, y)$ . If  $A \in L^{(p)}(\Omega, X, Y)$  then  $T_A u \in L_p(\Omega)$  for all  $u \in X \otimes Y'$ . The following theorems give conditions for the  $(V_\alpha, p)$  - representation of  $A$  in terms of the operator  $T_A$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are separable. For a random operator  $A \in L^{(p)}(\Omega, X, Y)$  to be  $(V_\alpha, p)$  - representable ( $p \geq 1$ ) it is necessary that

- 1)  $T_A : X \otimes_\alpha Y' \rightarrow L_p(\Omega)$  is continuous
- 2) The extension of  $T_A$  to  $X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y'$ , which is also denoted by  $T_A$ , is a  $p$ -summing operator.

**P r o o f.** By Theorem 3.2 there is a  $V_\alpha$  - valued random vector  $f$  with  $E\|f\|_\alpha^p < \infty$  such that

$$\forall x \in X \quad Ax(\omega) = f(\omega)x \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (3.3)$$



For each  $b \in V_\alpha$ ,  $\hat{b}$  stands for the corresponding element in  $[X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y']'$ . From (3.3) we have

$$\forall u \in X \otimes_\alpha Y' \quad T_A u = \langle u, \hat{f}(\omega) \rangle \quad \text{in } L_p(\Omega). \quad (3.4)$$

Hence  $\int |T_A u|^p dP \leq \left\{ \int \|\hat{f}\|^p dP \right\} \|u\|^p$  which shows the assertion 1).

Now from (3.4) we obtain  $T_A u = \langle u, \hat{f}(\omega) \rangle$  in  $L_p(\Omega)$  for all  $u$  in  $X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y'$ . For  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y'$  we have

$$\sum_i \|T_A u_i\|^p = \int \left\{ \sum_i |\langle u_i, \hat{f}(\omega) \rangle|^p \right\} dP \leq \left\{ \int \|\hat{f}\|^p dP \right\} \sup_{\|\phi\| \leq 1} \left\{ \sum_i |\langle u_i, \phi \rangle|^p \right\}$$

which shows the assertion 2).

**Theorem 3.7.** Assume that  $X, Y$  are separable. For a random operator  $A \in L^{(1)}(\Omega, X, Y)$  to be  $(V_\alpha, 1)$ -representable it is necessary and sufficient that

- 1)  $T_A : X \otimes_\alpha Y' \rightarrow L_1(\Omega)$  is continuous
- 2) The extension of  $T_A : X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y' \rightarrow L_1(\Omega)$  is a nuclear operator.

**P r o o f.** The necessity: By Theorem 3.6  $T_A : X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y' \rightarrow L_1(\Omega)$  is continuous and there is a Bochner integrable function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow V_\alpha$  such that

$$\forall u \in X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y', \quad T_A u = \langle u, \hat{f}(\omega) \rangle \quad \text{in } L_1(\Omega). \quad (3.5)$$

By Corollary to Pettis's Measurability Theorem [1] there exists a sequence  $(g_n)$  of countably valued functions such that  $\lim g_n(\omega) = f(\omega)$  uniformly on a set of probability. Accordingly we shall assume that  $\lim g_n(\omega) = f(\omega)$  uniformly on  $\Omega$ . Moreover by discarding (if necessary) some of the members of the sequence  $(g_n)$  we can assume that  $\|f(\omega) - g_1(\omega)\| < 1/2$  and  $\|g_n(\omega) - g_{n-1}(\omega)\| < 1/2^n$  for all  $\omega$  and all  $n \geq 2$ . Next write  $g_0 = 0$  and

$$g_n - g_{n-1} = \sum_m b_{n,m} I_{A_{n,m}}$$

where  $(b_{n,m})$  is a sequence in  $V_\alpha$ ,  $(A_{n,m})$  is a disjoint sequence in  $\Omega$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) and  $I_A$  indicates the characteristic function of the set  $A$ .

Since  $f(\omega) = \sum_n [g_n(\omega) - g_{n-1}(\omega)]$  uniformly we have

$$\forall u \in X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y', \quad \langle u, \hat{f}(\omega) \rangle = \sum_n \sum_m \langle u, \hat{b}_{n,m} \rangle I_{A_{n,m}}(\omega) \quad \text{in } L_1(\Omega).$$

From this and (3.5) we get

$$\forall u \in X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y', \quad T_A u = \sum_n \sum_m \langle u, \hat{b}_{n,m} \rangle I_{A_{n,m}}(\omega) \quad \text{in } L_1(\Omega). \quad (3.6)$$



On the other hand

$$\sum_n \sum_m \|\hat{b}_{n,m}\| P(A_{n,m}) = \sum_n E\|g_n - g_{n-1}\| \leq E\|g_1\| + \frac{1}{2} < \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

The representation (3.6) with the property (3.7) shows that  $T_A$  is a nuclear operator.

The sufficiency: Suppose that  $T_A$  is a nuclear operator. Then there exist a sequence  $(\phi_n)$  in  $[X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y']'$  and a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $L_1(\Omega)$  such that  $\sum_n \|\phi_n\| E|f_n| < \infty$  and for each  $u \in X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y'$

$$T_A u = \sum_n \langle u, \phi_n \rangle f_n(\omega) \quad \text{in } L_1(\Omega). \quad (3.8)$$

Put  $S_n(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^n \phi_k f_k(\omega)$ . We have  $E\|S_n - S_{n+m}\| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+m} \|\phi_k\| E|f_k|$  so it converges to 0 as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, there exists a Bochner integrable function  $S : \omega \rightarrow [X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y']'$  such that  $E\|S - S_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . This implies that for each  $u \in [X \hat{\otimes}_\alpha Y']'$   $\langle u, S_n(\omega) \rangle$  converges to  $\langle u, S(\omega) \rangle$  in  $L_1(\omega)$ . In virtue of (3.8) we get

$$\forall u \in X \otimes_\alpha Y' \quad T_A u = \langle u, S(\omega) \rangle \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (3.9)$$

Now for each  $\omega$ ,  $S(\omega)$  corresponds to an operator  $B(\omega) \in L(X, Y'')$  such that  $\langle x \otimes y, S(\omega) \rangle = (B(\omega)x, y)$  for  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y'$ . Taking  $u = x \otimes y$  from (3.9) we obtain  $(Ax, y) = (B(\omega)x, y)$  a.s. Since  $Y$  is separable from this it follows that  $Ax(\omega) = B(\omega)x$  a.s. for each  $x \in X$ . To complete the proof we have to show that  $B(\omega)$  maps  $X$  into  $Y$  for almost all  $\omega$ . Indeed, let  $(x_n)$  be a countable set dense in  $X$ . Then there is a set  $D$  of probability 1 such that  $Ax_n(\omega) = B(\omega)x_n$  for all  $\omega \in D$  and all  $n$ . Thus  $B(\omega)x \in Y$  for all  $x \in X$  and all  $\omega \in D$ . This completes the proof.

For the case  $p = 0$  we have the following theorem

**Theorem 3.8.** Assume that  $X, Y$  are separable and two random operators  $A, B \in L(\Omega, X, Y)$  are symmetric, independent in the sense that for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_m$  in  $X, y_1, \dots, y_n, y'_1, \dots, y'_m$  in  $Y'$  two random vectors  $[(Ax_i, y_i)]_1^n$  and  $[(Bx'_i, y'_i)]_1^m$  are symmetric and independent.

Then if  $C = A + B$  is  $(V, 0)$  - representable so are  $A$  and  $B$ .

**P r o o f.** Let us remark that each  $u \in X \otimes Y'$  may be regarded as a member of  $V'$  (namely,  $u = \sum_1^n (x_i \otimes y_i)$ ) corresponds to the linear continuous functional



$b \rightarrow \sum_1^n (bx_i, y_i)$ . We claim that  $X \otimes Y'$  is dense in  $V'$  with respect to (w.r.t) the Mackey topology. Indeed if this is not true then by Hahn-Banach theorem and the fact that the Mackey topology is compatible with the duality  $(V', V)$  there is  $b \in V$ ,  $b \neq 0$  such that  $\langle b, u \rangle = 0$  for all  $u \in X \otimes Y'$ . A contradiction. Now by Theorem 3.2 there exists a  $V$ -valued random vector  $\bar{C}$  such that  $\forall x \in X \quad Cx(\omega) = \bar{C}(\omega)x$  a.s. From this we have  $\forall u \in X \otimes Y' \quad T_C u = \langle \bar{C}(\omega), u \rangle$  a.s. In view of Lemma 1.2 the linear mapping  $T_C : X \otimes Y' \rightarrow L_0(\Omega)$  is continuous w.r.t. the Mackey topology (induced on  $X \otimes Y'$ ). Because for each  $u$  in  $X \otimes Y'$  two random variables  $T_A u$  and  $T_B u$  are symmetric and independent, by Levy's inequality we have

$$P\{|T_A u| > \varepsilon\} \leq 2P\{|T_A u + T_B u| > \varepsilon\} = 2P\{|T_C u| > \varepsilon\}.$$

From this it follows that  $T_A$  is continuous w.r.t the Mackey topology. Similarly, this holds for  $T_B$ . Consequently,  $T_A, T_B$  and  $T_C$  admit extensions to the entire  $V'$ . Moreover for each  $u \in V'$ ,  $T_A u$  and  $T_B u$  are symmetric; independent and

$$T_A u + T_B u = T_C u. \quad (3.10)$$

Let  $\mu, \lambda$  and  $\nu$  are cylindrical measures on  $V$  generated by  $T_A, T_B$  and  $T_C$ , respectively. In view of (3.10) we have  $\nu = \mu * \lambda$ . Because  $\nu = \mathcal{L}(\bar{C})$  is a Radon measure by Proposition 3 in [4] both  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are Radon measures. Now it is easy to verify that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $(V, 0)$ -representable with the representing measures  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  respectively.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $A \in L^{(p')}(\Omega, \ell_p, Y)$  and  $Y$  be separable where  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then  $A$  is  $[S(\ell_p, Y), p']$ -representable if and only if  $\sum_n E\|Ae_n\|^{p'} < \infty$ .

**P r o o f.** Suppose that  $A$  is  $[S(\ell_p, Y), p']$ -representable. According to Theorem 3.2 there exist a random vector  $\bar{A}$  with values in  $S(\ell_p, Y)$  of the strong  $p'$ -order such that  $\forall x \in \ell_p, Ax(\omega) = \bar{A}(\omega)x$  a.s. Consequently

$$\sum_n E\|Ae_n\|^{p'} = \sum_n E\|\bar{A}(\omega)e_n\|^{p'} = E \sum_n \|\bar{A}(\omega)e_n\|^{p'} = E\|\bar{A}\|_s^{p'} < \infty.$$

Conversely, suppose that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\|Ae_n\|^{p'} < \infty$ . For each  $n$  we define a  $S(\ell_p, Y)$

-valued random vector  $B_n$  by  $B_n(\omega)x = \sum_{k=1}^n (x, e_k) Ae_k(\omega)$ . Then  $E\|B_{n+m} -$

$B_n\|_s^{p'} = \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+m} E\|Ae_k\|^{p'}$  tends to 0 as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence there exists a  $S(\ell_p, Y)$



- valued random vector  $\bar{A}$  with  $E\|A\|_{\mathcal{S}}^{p'} < \infty$  such that  $E\|B_n - \bar{A}\|_{\mathcal{S}}^{p'}$  tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies that for each  $x \in \ell_p$ ,  $B_n(\omega)x$  converges to  $\bar{A}(\omega)x$  in probability. Since  $B_n(\omega)x$  converges to  $Ax(\omega)$  in probability we conclude that for each  $x \in \ell_p$ ,  $Ax(\omega) = \bar{A}(\omega)x$  a.s. Thus  $A$  is  $[S(\ell_p, Y), p']$  - representable by Theorem 3.2.

## REFERENCES

1. J. Diestel, J. J. Uhl, Jr. Vector Measures, A. M. S. Math. Surveys No 15 Providence 1977.
2. N. Elezovic, Cylindrical measures on tensor products of Banach spaces and random linear operators, Manuscripta Math. 60 (1988), 1-20.
3. N. Elezovic, Product of random linear operators, Glasnik Mathematicki 44 (1989), 639-648.
4. D. J. H. Garling, Functional central limit theorems in Banach spaces, Ann. Probab. 4 (1976), 600-611.
5. S. Kwapien, On a theorem of L. Schwartz and its applications to absolutely summing operators, Studia Math. 38 (1970), 193-201.
6. N. Kono, Recent development on random fields and their sample paths. Part 1: Sample path continuity of random fields, Soochow Journal of Math. 16, 2 (1990), 123-161.
7. W. Linde, Infinitely divisible and stable measures on Banach spaces, Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik. Band 58, Leipzig 1983.
8. M. D. Perlman, Characterizing measurability, distribution and weak convergence of random variables in a Banach space by total subsets of linear functional, J. Multivar. Anal. 2, 3 (1972), 174-188.
9. L. Schwartz, Radon Measures on Arbitrary Topological Spaces and Cylindrical Measures, Oxford University Press, London 1973.
10. P. Saphar, Produits tensoriels d'espaces de Banach et classes d'applications lineaires, Studia Math. 38 (1970), 71-100.
11. A. V. Skorokhod, Random Linear Operators (in Russian), Kiev, Nauk 1979.
12. D. H. Thang, Random operators in Banach spaces, Probab. Math. Statist. 8, 2 (1987), 155-167.
13. D. H. Thang, N. Z. Tien, On the extension of stable cylindrical measures, Acta Math. Vietnamica 5, 1 (1980), 169-177.
14. N. N. Vakhania, Probability Distributions in Linear Spaces (in Russian), Mecnereba, Tbilisi 1971.

Department of Mathematics, University of Hanoi  
 Khoa Toan Dai hoc Tong hop Hanoi  
 Hanoi, Vietnam