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#### Abstract

Let $\left(X_{n k}\right)$ be a double sequence of random variables with zero mean and finite variances and $\left(Z_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of positive integral-valued random variables such that for each $n, Z_{n}, X_{n 1}, X_{n 2}, \ldots$ are independent. In this paper, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for weak convergence of the distribution functions of random sums


$$
S_{Z_{n}}=X_{n 1}+X_{n 2}+\cdots+X_{n Z_{n}}
$$

to the standard normal distribution function $\Phi$. Moreover, we give a bound of $\sup \left|P\left(S_{Z_{n}} \leq x\right)-\Phi(x)\right|$ and show that it tends to 0 when $\left(S_{Z_{n}}\right)$ converges $-\infty<x<\infty$
weakly to $\Phi$.

## 1. Introduction and Main Results

The convergence of a sequence of distribution functions of random sums was first investigated by Robins [11] in 1948 and has been discussed many times in numerous papers. In this work we investigate the case whose limit distribution function is the standard normal distribution function $\Phi$.

In the case of one array, let $\left(X_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of independent random variables with zero mean (this is not an essential restriction) and finite variances. Let $\left(Z_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of positive integral-valued random variables which are independent of ( $X_{n}$ ). Many authors (e.g. [1, 3, 6, 9, 10, 15, 18, 20, 25]) gave conditions for the convergence of the sequence of distribution functions of random sums $X_{1}+X_{2}+\cdots+X_{Z_{n}}$ to $\Phi$.

In this work we consider a double array of random variables. Let $\left(X_{n k}\right)$ be a double sequence of random variables with zero mean and finite variances $\sigma_{n k}^{2}$. For each $n$, we assume $Z_{n}, X_{n 1}, X_{n 2}, \ldots$ are independent. In $[8,12,22]$, the authors investigated the convergence of the sequence of distribution functions of random sums

$$
S_{Z_{n}}=X_{n 1}+X_{n 2}+\cdots+X_{n Z_{n}}
$$

in case $X_{n 1}, X_{n 2}, \ldots$ are identically distributed for every $n$. The aim of our investigation the case $X_{n 1}, X_{n 2}, \ldots$ are not necessary identically distributed. Before we give the main results we state one of the most important versions of central limit theorem of sums.

Theorem 1.1. ([4, Chap. 12.2]) Let $\left(k_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of positive integers. Assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2}=1$. Then
(i) the sequence of distribution functions of the sums

$$
S_{n}=X_{n 1}+X_{n 2}+\cdots+X_{n k_{n}}
$$

converges weakly to $\Phi$ and
(ii) $\left(X_{n k}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, k_{n}$ is infinitesimal, i.e.

$$
\max _{1 \leq k \leq k_{n}} P\left(\left|X_{n k}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$, if and only if $\left(X_{n k}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, k_{n}$, satisfies the Lindeberg condition, i.e.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{k_{n}} \int_{|x|<\varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x) \rightarrow 1
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$, where $F_{n k}$ is the distribution function of $X_{n k}$.
In this work, we will extend Theorem 1.1 to the case of random sums and will find a bound of the estimation. This paper is organized as follows.

In Sec. 2 we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the convergence of sequence of distribution functions of random sums $S_{Z_{n}}$ to $\Phi$. The following theorems are main results of Sec. 2.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\left(X_{n k}, Z_{n}\right)$ be such that $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} 1$ and satisfy random infinitesimal condition (RI), i.e.,

$$
\max _{1 \leq k \leq Z_{n}} P\left(\left|X_{n k}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \xrightarrow{p} 0
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$.
Then the sequence of distribution functions of the random sums $S_{Z_{n}}$ converges weakly to $\Phi$ if and only if $K_{Z_{n}}(u) \xrightarrow{p} K(u)$ for every continuity point $u$ of $K$, where $K_{n}(u)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{u} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)$ and

$$
K(u)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } u<0 \\ 1 & \text { for } u \geq 0 .\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 1.3. Let $\left(X_{n k}, Z_{n}\right)$ be such that $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} 1$ and satisfy (RI). Then the sequence of distribution functions of random sums $S_{Z_{n}}$ converges weakly to $\Phi$ if and only if $\left(X_{n k}, Z_{n}\right)$ satisfies random Lindeberg condition (RL), i.e.,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \int_{|x|<\varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x) \xrightarrow{p} 1
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$.
Theorem 1.4. Assume that $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \xrightarrow{p}$ 1. Then
(i) the sequence of distribution functions of random sums $S_{Z_{n}}$ converges weakly to $\Phi$ and
(ii) $\left(X_{n k}, Z_{n}\right)$ satisfies (RI)
if and only if $\left(X_{n k}, Z_{n}\right)$ satisfies (RL).
In Sec. 3, we give a bound of the approximation in Sec. 2. The main theorems of Sec. 3 are the followings.

Theorem 1.5. If $\sigma_{n k}^{2} \leq 1$ for all $n$ and $k$, then for $\varepsilon>0$ we have a constant $C$ such that

$$
\sup _{-\infty<x<\infty}\left|F_{n}(x)-\Phi(x)\right| \leq C E\left(g_{n}\left(Z_{n}, \varepsilon\right)\right)
$$

where $F_{n}$ is the distribution function of $S_{Z_{n}}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{n}(j, \varepsilon) & =\left[\frac{1}{3} \max _{1 \leq k \leq j} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{j} \sigma_{n k}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{5}}+\left[\frac{10 \varepsilon}{9} \max \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j} \sigma_{n k}^{2}, 1\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& +\left[\sum_{k=1}^{j} \int_{|x|>\varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}+\frac{1}{2}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{j} \sigma_{n k}^{2}-1\right|\right]^{\frac{1}{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1.6. Let $\left(X_{n k}, Z_{n}\right)$ be such that $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} 1$ and satisfy (RI). If $\left(\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2}\right)$ is bounded and $\sigma_{n k}^{2} \leq 1$ for all $n$ and $k$, then the sequence of distribution functions of the random sums $S_{Z_{n}}$ converges weakly to $\Phi$ if and only if there exists a sequence of positive real numbers $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ such that $E\left[g_{n}\left(Z_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right)\right] \rightarrow 0$.

The following corollary follows directly from Theorem 1.5 and Hölder inequality.
Corollary 1.7. Let $\left(X_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with zero mean and variance $\sigma^{2}$. Assume that $\left(X_{n}\right)$ and $\left(Z_{n}\right)$ are independent. Then for $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{-\infty<x<\infty}\left|P\left(\frac{S_{Z_{n}}}{\sqrt{n}} \leq x\right)-\Phi(x)\right| \leq \\
& \left(\frac{\sigma^{4}}{n^{2}} E\left(Z_{n}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{5}}+\left(\frac{10 \varepsilon}{9} E\left(\max \left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} Z_{n}, 1\right)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}+\left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} E\left(Z_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} E\left[\left|\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} Z_{n}-1\right|\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We also give examples of the convergence in Sec. 4.

## 2. Convergence Theorems

### 2.1. Auxiliary Results

In this section we give some auxiliary results for proving the main theorems in Subsec. 2.2.

Proposition 2.1. [13] For every $n$, let $\left(a_{n k}\right)$, be a nondecreasing sequence of non-negative real numbers and let $a \geq 0$ be fixed. Then $a_{n Z_{n}} \xrightarrow{p} a$ if and only if $a_{n l_{n}(q)} \rightarrow$ a for all $q \in(0,1)$ where $l_{n}:(0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by

$$
l_{n}(q)=\max \left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid P\left(Z_{n}<k\right) \leq q\right\}
$$

In what follows, we let $F_{n}, F_{n}^{(q)}$ and $F_{n k}$ be the distribution functions of $S_{Z_{n}}=X_{n 1}+X_{n 2}+\cdots+X_{n Z_{n}}, S_{n}^{(q)}=X_{n 1}+X_{n 2}+\cdots+X_{n l_{n}(q)}$ and $X_{n k}$ respectively.

Proposition 2.2. [24] Let $\left(X_{n k}, Z_{n}\right)$ satisfy (RI). If $F_{n} \xrightarrow{w} F$ for some distribution function $F$, then there exists a subsequence $\left(n^{\prime}\right)$ such that for a.e. $q \in(0,1)$, there exist a distribution function $\bar{F}^{(q)}$ and a bounded sequence of real numbers $\left(a_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}\right)$ such that

$$
F_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)} * E_{a_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}} \xrightarrow{w} \bar{F}^{(q)},
$$

where $E_{a}$ stands for the degenerated distribution function with parameter $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
Proposition 2.3. [24] If for a.e. $q \in(0,1)$, there exists a distribution function $F^{(q)}$ such that $F_{n}^{(q)} \xrightarrow{w} F^{(q)}$. Then $F_{n} \xrightarrow{w} F$, where $F$ is the distribution function defined by $F(x)=\int_{0}^{1} F^{(q)}(x) d q$.

Theorem 2.4. [16] Let $\left(Y_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of random variables and put $H_{n}(x)=$ $P\left(Y_{n} \leq x\right)$. Suppose $\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} E\left[Y_{n}^{2}\right]<\infty$. If $H_{n} \xrightarrow{w} H$ for some distribution function $H$ then we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(Y_{n}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x d H(x)<\infty$.

Theorem 2.5. [5, p.116] For some suitably chosen constants $A_{n}$ the sequence of distributions of the sums

$$
X_{n 1}+X_{n 2}+\cdots+X_{n k_{n}}-A_{n}
$$

of independent infinitesimal random variables converges to a limit, it is necessary and sufficient that there exist non-decreasing functions $M$ and $N$ defined on the intervals $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(0,+\infty)$, respectively, such that $M(-\infty)=0$ and $N(+\infty)=0$ and a constant $\sigma \geq 0$ such that
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{n}} F_{n k}(u)=M(u)$ for every continuity point $u$ of $M$;
(ii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{n}}\left(F_{n k}(u)-1\right)=N(u)$ for every continuity point $u$ of $N$;
(iii) $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{n}}\left\{\int_{|x|<\varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)-\left(\int_{|x|<\varepsilon} x d F_{n k}(x)\right)^{2}\right\}$
$=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{n}}\left\{\int_{|x|<\varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)-\left(\int_{|x|<\varepsilon} x d F_{n k}(x)\right)^{2}\right\}$
$=\sigma^{2}$
$=\sigma^{2}$.
The constants $A_{n}$ may be chosen according to the formula

$$
A_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{k_{n}} \int_{|x|<\tau} x d F_{n k}(x)-\gamma(\tau)
$$

where $\gamma(\tau)$ is any constant and $-\tau$ and $\tau$ are continuity points of $M$ and $N$, respectively.

If the limit distribution function is $\Phi$, then $M \equiv N \equiv 0$ and $\sigma^{2}=1$.
Theorem 2.6. [5, p. 98] Let $\left(k_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of positive integers. Assume that $\left(X_{n j}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, k_{n}$, is infinitesimal and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2}<\infty$. Then the sequence of distribution functions of $X_{n 1}+X_{n 2}+\cdots+X_{n k_{n}}$ converges weakly to a limit distribution function if and only if the accompanying distribution function of $X_{n 1}+X_{n 2}+\cdots+X_{n k_{n}}$ converges weakly to the same limit distribution function, where the accompanying distribution function of $X_{n 1}+X_{n 2}+\cdots+X_{n k_{n}}$ is the distribution function whose logarithm of its characteristic function $\hat{\varphi}_{n}(t)$ is given by

$$
\ln \hat{\varphi}_{n}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{k_{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(e^{i t x}-1\right) d F_{n k}(x)
$$

We also know that the limit distribution function is infinitely divisible [5, p. 73].
Proposition 2.7. [13] For a.e. $q \in(0,1)$ let $F^{(q)}=L\left(a_{q}, \sigma_{q}^{2}, M_{q}, N_{q}\right)$ be an infinitely divisible distribution function with zero mean. Suppose that $\sigma_{q}^{2}$ and the functions $M_{q},\left|N_{q}\right|$ are non-decreasing in $q$ and the integral $F(x)=\int_{0}^{1} F^{(q)}(x) d q$ exists for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have $F=\Phi$ if and only if $F^{(q)}=\Phi$ a.e. $q \in(0,1)$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $\left(X_{n k}, Z_{n}\right)$ be such that $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} 1$ and satisfy (RI). Then $F_{n} \xrightarrow{w} \Phi$ if and only if $F_{n}^{(q)} \xrightarrow{w} \Phi$ for every $q \in(0,1)$.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ By Proposition 2.2, there exists a subsequence ( $n^{\prime}$ ) of $(n)$ such that for a.e. $q \in(0,1)$, we have a distribution function $\bar{F}^{(q)}$ and a bounded sequence $\left(a_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)} * E_{a_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}} \xrightarrow{w} \bar{F}^{(q)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} 1$, by Proposition 2.1, we have $\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \rightarrow 1$ for all $q \in(0,1)$. Then for each $q \in(0,1)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} E\left[\left(S_{n}^{(q)}\right)^{2}\right]=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2}<\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (2.2) and the boundedness of $\left(a_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}\right)$, we have

$$
\sup _{n^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}} E\left[\left(S_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}+a_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}\right)^{2}\right]=\sup _{n^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}}\left[E\left[\left(S_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}\right)^{2}\right]+\left(a_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}\right)^{2}\right]<\infty \quad \text { a.e. } \quad q \in(0,1)
$$

From this fact and (2.1) we can apply Theorem 2.4 to $Y_{n^{\prime}}=S_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}+a_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}$ and then

$$
\lim _{n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} a_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}=\lim _{n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty}\left(E\left[S_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}+a_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}\right]\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x d \bar{F}^{(q)}(x)
$$

for a.e. $q \in(0,1)$. Let $a^{(q)}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x d \bar{F}^{(q)}(x)$. Thus $\lim _{n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} a_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}=a^{(q)}<\infty$ for a.e. $q \in(0,1)$ and

$$
F_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)} \xrightarrow{w} F^{(q)} \quad \text { a.e. } \quad q \in(0,1),
$$

where $F^{(q)}=\bar{F}^{(q)} * E_{-a^{(q)}}$. By Proposition 2.3, $\Phi(x)=\int_{0}^{1} F^{(q)}(x) d q$.
Next, we will show that $F^{(q)}$ is $\Phi$ for every $q \in(0,1)$. First we will show that $F^{(q)}$ satisfies all conditions of Proposition 2.7. Applying Theorem 2.4 to $Y_{n^{\prime}}=S_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}$, we have

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x d F^{(q)}(x)=\lim _{n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} E\left[S_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}\right]=0
$$

for a.e. $q \in(0,1)$. Thus $F^{(q)}$ has zero mean. Since $\left(X_{n k}\right)$ satisfies (RI), by Proposition 2.1 we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{1 \leq l \leq l_{n}(q)} P\left(\left|X_{n l}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right)=0
$$

for all $q \in(0,1)$. Applying Theorem 2.6, the sequence of the accompanying distribution functions of $S_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}$ converges weakly to $F^{(q)}$ for a.e. $q \in(0,1)$. Let
$F^{(q)}=L\left(a_{q}, \sigma_{q}^{2}, M_{q}, N_{q}\right)$. From monotonicity of the $l_{n}(q)$ we can use Theorem 2.5 to show that $\sigma_{q}^{2}, M_{q},\left|N_{q}\right|$ are non-decreasing in $q$. Therefore Proposition 2.7 can be applied and it follows that $F^{(q)}=\Phi$ for a.e. $q \in(0,1)$. So $F_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)} \xrightarrow{w} \Phi$ for a.e. $q \in(0,1)$. Next we will show that $F_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)} \xrightarrow{w} \Phi$ for all $q \in(0,1)$. Let $q \in(0,1)$ and $A=\left\{t \in(0,1) \mid F_{n^{\prime}}^{(t)} \xrightarrow{w} \Phi\right\}$. Then there exist $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ in $A$ such that $q_{1}<q<q_{2}$. From Theorem 2.5 and the non-decreasing monotonicity of the $l_{n^{\prime}}(q)$, we have for $u<0$,

$$
0=\lim _{n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{l_{n^{\prime}}\left(q_{1}\right)} F_{n^{\prime} k}(u) \leq \lim _{n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{l_{n^{\prime}}(q)} F_{n^{\prime} k}(u) \leq \lim _{n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{l_{n^{\prime}}\left(q_{2}\right)} F_{n^{\prime} k}(u)=0
$$

Hence $\left(F_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)}\right)$ satisfies condition (i) of Theorem 2.5 for $M(u)=0$. Similarly, we can show that the conditions (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 2.5 hold for $N(u)=0$ and $\sigma^{2}=1$. Hence, $F_{n^{\prime}}^{(q)} \xrightarrow{w} \Phi$. By the same argument we can show that every convergent subsequence of $\left(F_{n}^{(q)}\right)$ converges weakly to $\Phi$ for all $q$. Thus $\left(F_{n}^{(q)}\right)$ converges weakly to $\Phi$ for all $q \in(0,1)$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Follows directly from Proposition 2.3.
Lemma 2.9. Let $\bar{K}, K_{1}, K_{2}, \ldots$ be bounded, non-decreasing, right-continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}$ into $[0, \infty)$ and vanish at $-\infty$. Assume that the followings hold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t, x) d K_{n}(x) \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t, x) d \bar{K}(x) \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every real number $t$ where $f(t, \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
f(t, x)= \begin{cases}\left(e^{i t x}-1-i t x\right) \frac{1}{x^{2}} & \text { if } x \neq 0 \\ -\frac{t^{2}}{2} & \text { if } x=0\end{cases}
$$

(ii) $\left(K_{n}(+\infty)\right)$ is bounded.

Then $K_{n} \xrightarrow{w} \bar{K}$.
Proof. By Helley Theorem [23, p. 133] there exist a subsequence $\left(K_{n_{k}}\right)$ of $\left(K_{n}\right)$ and a bounded, non-decreasing, right-continuous function $\overline{\bar{K}}$ such that $K_{n_{k}} \xrightarrow{w}$ $\overline{\bar{K}}$. Since $f(t, \cdot)$ is bounded for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t, x) d K_{n_{k}}(x) \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t, x) d \overline{\bar{K}}(x)
$$

From this fact and (i) we have

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t, x) d \overline{\bar{K}}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t, x) d \bar{K}(x)
$$

By the uniqueness of Kolmogorov' formula [8], we have $\overline{\bar{K}}=\bar{K}$. So $K_{n_{k}} \xrightarrow{w} \bar{K}$. By the same argument we have that every subsequence of $\left(K_{n}\right)$, it contains a subsequence which converges weakly to $\bar{K}$. This implies that $\left(K_{n}\right)$ converges weakly to $\bar{K}$.

### 2.2. Proof of Main Results

In this section we prove our main results of convergent conditions.

## Proof of Theorem 1.2.

$(\Rightarrow)$ To prove $K_{Z_{n}}(u) \xrightarrow{p} K(u)$, by Proposition 2.1 it suffices to show that

$$
K_{l_{n}(q)} \xrightarrow{w} K
$$

for every $q \in(0,1)$. By Theorem 2.6, Theorem 2.8 and continuity Theorem, $\ln \hat{\varphi}_{l_{n}(q)}(t) \rightarrow-t^{2} / 2$ for every real number $t$, where $\hat{\varphi}_{l_{n}(q)}$ is the characteristic function of the accompanying distribution function of $S_{n}^{(q)}$. This implies

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t, x) d K_{l_{n}(q)}(x) \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t, x) d K(x)
$$

So (i) of Lemma 2.9 is satisfied. Since $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} 1$, by Proposition 2.1, $\left(K_{l_{n}(q)}(+\infty)\right)$ is bounded for every $q$ in $(0,1)$. Therefore the condition (ii) of Lemma 2.9 is satisfied. Thus $K_{l_{n}(q)} \xrightarrow{w} K$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ To prove the sufficient condition, by Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 2.6 it suffices to show that $\hat{\varphi}_{l_{n}(q)}(t) \rightarrow e^{-t^{2} / 2}$ for $q \in(0,1)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $q \in(0,1)$ and $t$ be any real number. It follows from $(i)$ and Proposition 2.1 that

$$
K_{l_{n}(q)} \xrightarrow{w} K .
$$

Since $f(t, \cdot)$ is bounded and continuous,

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t, x) d K_{l_{n}(q)}(x) \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t, x) d K(x)
$$

i.e., $\ln \hat{\varphi}_{l_{n}(q)}(t) \rightarrow-t^{2} / 2$ which implies $\hat{\varphi}_{l_{n}(q)}(t) \rightarrow e^{-t^{2} / 2}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3.
To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that (RL) is equivalent to the condition (i) of Theorem 1.2.
$(\Rightarrow)$ Let $u$ be the continuity point of $K$.
Case 1: $u<0$.
Since $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \int_{|x| \geq-u} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2}-\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \int_{|x|<-u} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x) \xrightarrow{p} 1-1=0$, we
have $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{u} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x) \xrightarrow{p} 0$,i.e., $K_{Z_{n}}(u) \xrightarrow{p} K(u)$.

Case 2: $u>0$.
From the fact that $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \int_{|x| \geq u} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)=0$ we have $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{-u} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x) \xrightarrow{p} 0$. So

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{u} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{-u} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \int_{|x|<u} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x) \xrightarrow{p} 0+1=1
$$

That is $K_{Z_{n}}(u) \xrightarrow{p} K(u)$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Assume that (i) of Theorem 1.2 holds. Note that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \int_{|x|<\varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)-\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{-\varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x) \xrightarrow{p} 1-0=1
$$

Thus (RL) is satisfied.
Proof of Theorem 1.4.
$(\Rightarrow)$ Follows from Theorem 1.3.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Since $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} 1$ and $\left(X_{n k}, Z_{n}\right)$ satisfies $(\mathrm{RL})$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \int_{|x| \geq \varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x) \xrightarrow{p}$ 0 for every $\varepsilon>0$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{1 \leq k \leq Z_{n}} P\left(\left|X_{n k}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) & =\sup _{1 \leq k \leq Z_{n}} \int_{|x| \geq \varepsilon} d F_{n k}(x) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \int_{|x| \geq \varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

converges in probability to 0, i.e., $\left(X_{n k}, Z_{n}\right)$ satisfies (RI). So (i) follows from Theorem 1.3.

## 3. Error of Estimation

To prove main theorems (Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6 ), we need the following well-known theorem.

Theorem 3.1. [5 p. 196-197] Let $A, T$ and $\varepsilon>0$ be constants, $F$ a nondecreasing function and $G$ a function of bounded variation. If

1. $F(-\infty)=G(-\infty), F(+\infty)=G(+\infty)$,
2. $\int|F(x)-G(x)| d x<\infty$,
3. $G^{\prime}(x)$ exists for all $x$ and $\left|G^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq A$,
4. $\int_{-T}^{T}\left|\frac{f(t)-g(t)}{t}\right| d t=\varepsilon$ where $f(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i t x} d F(x)$ and $g(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i t x} d G(x)$,
then for every number $a>1$ there corresponds a positive number $c(a)$ depending only on a such that

$$
|F(x)-G(x)| \leq a \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \pi}+c(a) \frac{A}{T}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5.
For each $n$, let $\operatorname{Im} Z_{n}=\left\{k_{n j} \mid k_{n j}<k_{n(j+1)}\right\}, q_{n j}=\sum_{k=1}^{k_{n j}} P\left(Z_{n}=k\right)$ and $q_{n 0}=0$. Then for $q \in\left[q_{n(j-1)}, q_{n j}\right)$ we have $l_{n}(q)=k_{n j}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{n}(x) & =P\left(S_{Z_{n}} \leq x\right) \\
& =\sum_{k_{n j} \in \operatorname{Im} Z_{n}} P\left(S_{n}^{(q)} \leq x\right) P\left(Z_{n}=k_{n j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k_{n j} \in \operatorname{Im} Z_{n}} P\left(S_{n}^{(q)} \leq x\right)\left(q_{n j}-q_{n(j-1)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k_{n j} \in \operatorname{Im} Z_{n}\left(q_{n(j-1)}, q_{n j}\right)} F_{n}^{(q)}(x) d q \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} F_{n}^{(q)}(x) d q .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F_{n}(x)-\Phi(x)\right| \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|F_{n}^{(q)}-\Phi(x)\right| d q \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi_{l_{n}(q)}$ and $\varphi_{n k}$ be the characteristic functions of $S_{n}^{(q)}$ and $X_{n k}$, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\varphi_{l_{n}(q)}(t)-e^{\frac{t^{2}}{2}}\right| \leq\left|\ln \varphi_{l_{n}(q)}(t)-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right| \\
& \leq\left|\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)}\left(1-\varphi_{n k}(t)\right)-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right|+\left|\ln \varphi_{l_{n}(q)}(t)-\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)}\left(1-\varphi_{n k}(t)\right)\right|  \tag{3.2}\\
& =\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t, x) d\left(K_{l_{n}(q)}(x)-K(x)\right)\right|+\left|\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \ln \varphi_{n k}(t)-\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)}\left(1-\varphi_{n k}(t)\right)\right| \\
& \leq A_{n}+B_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$A_{n}=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t, x) d\left(K_{l_{n}(q)}(x)-K(x)\right)\right|$ and $B_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)}\left|\ln \varphi_{n k}(t)-\left(1-\varphi_{n k}(t)\right)\right|$.
Shapiro [17] showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n} \leq t^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \int_{|x| \geq \varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)+\frac{t^{2}}{2}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2}-1\right|+\frac{5}{3} \varepsilon|t|^{3} \max \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2}, 1\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we find a bound of $B_{n}$. By Taylor formula, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n k}(t)=1+\frac{1}{2} \theta \sigma_{n k}^{2} t^{2} \quad \text { for some }|\theta| \leq 1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T_{l_{n}(q)}=\frac{1}{g_{n}\left(l_{n}(q), \varepsilon\right)}$ and $t$ be such that $|t|<T_{l_{n}(q)}$. Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\varphi_{n k}(t)-1\right| & =|\theta| \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{n k}^{2} t^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{n k}^{2} T_{l_{n}(q)}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{\sigma_{n k}^{2}}{2\left(g_{n}\left(l_{n}(q), \varepsilon\right)\right)^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{4}{5}\left(\sigma_{n k}\right)^{\frac{2}{5}} \\
& \leq \frac{4}{5} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\ln \varphi_{n k}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j}\left(1-\varphi_{n k}(t)\right)^{j}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\ln \varphi_{n k}(t)-\left(1-\varphi_{n k}(t)\right)\right| & \leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left|1-\varphi_{n k}(t)\right|^{j}}{j} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\left|1-\varphi_{n k}(t)\right|^{2}}{1-\left|1-\varphi_{n k}(t)\right|}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{5}{2}\left|1-\varphi_{n k}(t)\right|^{2} \quad(\text { by }  \tag{3.5}\\
& \leq \frac{5}{8} \sigma_{n k}^{4} t^{4} \quad(\text { by }(3.4)) \\
& \leq \frac{5}{8} t^{4}\left[\max _{1 \leq j \leq l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n j}^{2}\right] \sigma_{n k}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

So for $|t|<T_{l_{n}(q)}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n} \leq \frac{5}{8} t^{4}\left[\max _{1 \leq k \leq l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2}\right] \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.2) - (3.6) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\varphi_{l_{n}(q)}(t)-e^{\frac{t^{2}}{2}}\right| & \leq \frac{5}{8} t^{4}\left[\max _{1 \leq k \leq l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2}\right]+t^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \int_{|x| \geq \varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x) \\
& +\frac{t^{2}}{2}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2}-1\right|+\frac{5}{3} \varepsilon|t|^{3} \max \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2}, 1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $|t|<T_{l_{n}(q)}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-T_{l_{n}(q)}}^{T_{l_{n}(q)}}\left|\frac{\varphi_{l_{n}(q)}(t)-e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2}}}{t}\right| d t \\
& \leq \frac{5}{4}\left[\max _{1 \leq k \leq l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2}\right] \int_{0}^{T_{l_{n}(q)}} t^{3} d t+2 \sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \int_{|x| \geq \varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x) \int_{0}^{T_{l_{n}(q)}} t d t \\
& +\left|\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2}-1\right| \int_{0}^{T_{l_{n}(q)}} t d t+\frac{10}{3} \varepsilon \max \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2}, 1\right) \int_{0}^{T_{l_{n}(q)}} t^{2} d t \\
& \leq\left[\frac{1}{3} \max _{1 \leq k \leq l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2}\right] \frac{1}{\left[\frac{1}{3} \max _{1 \leq k \leq l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2}\right]^{\frac{4}{5}}} \\
& +\left[\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \int_{|x| \geq \varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)+\frac{1}{2}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2}-1\right|\right] \\
& \times \frac{1}{\left[\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \int_{|x| \geq \varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)+\frac{1}{2}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2}-1\right|\right]^{\frac{2}{3}}} \\
& +\frac{10 \varepsilon}{9} \max \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2}, 1\right) \frac{1}{\left[\frac{10 \varepsilon}{9} \max \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \sigma_{n k}^{2}, 1\right) \varepsilon\right]^{\frac{3}{4}}} \\
& =g_{n}\left(l_{n}(q), \varepsilon\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now applying Theorem 3.1 we see that for any $a>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{-\infty<x<\infty}\left|F_{n}^{(q)}(x)-\Phi(x)\right| \leq \frac{a}{2 \pi} g\left(l_{n}(q), \varepsilon\right)+\frac{c(a)}{T_{n}}=C g_{n}\left(l_{n}(q), \varepsilon\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=\frac{a}{2 \pi}+c(a)$. Then the theorem follows from (3.1), (3.7) and the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} g_{n}\left(l_{n}(q), \varepsilon\right) d q & =\sum_{k_{n j} \in \operatorname{Im} Z_{n}} \int_{\left[q_{n(j-1)}, q_{n j}\right)} g_{n}\left(l_{n}(q), \varepsilon\right) d q \\
& =\sum_{k_{n j} \in \operatorname{Im} Z_{n}} g_{n}\left(k_{n j}, \varepsilon\right)\left(q_{n j}-q_{n(j-1)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k_{n j} \in \operatorname{Im} Z_{n}} g_{n}\left(k_{n j}, \varepsilon\right) P\left(Z_{n}=k_{n j}\right) \\
& =E\left(g_{n}\left(Z_{n}, \varepsilon\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.6.
$(\Rightarrow)$ Let $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $0<\varepsilon_{n}<1$ and $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$. In order to show $E\left(g\left(Z_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$, it suffices to show that $g\left(Z_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{p} 0$. Note that $g_{n}\left(Z_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right)=C_{n}+D_{n}+E_{n}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{n} & =\left[\frac{1}{3} \max _{1 \leq k \leq Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{5}} \\
D_{n} & =\left[\frac{10 \varepsilon_{n}}{9} \max \left(\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2}, 1\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
E_{n} & =\left[\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \int_{|x|>\varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)+\frac{1}{2}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2}-1\right|\right]^{\frac{1}{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 1.4, $\left(X_{n k}, Z_{n}\right)$ satisfies (RL). From this fact and the fact that $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} 1$ we have $\left(D_{n}\right)$ and $\left(E_{n}\right)$ converge in probability to 0 . To prove $C_{n} \xrightarrow{p} 0$, it suffices to show $\max _{1 \leq k \leq Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} 0$. This is true by Theorem 1.2, (RI) and the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{1 \leq k \leq Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2}= & \max _{1 \leq k \leq Z_{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x) \\
= & \max _{1 \leq k \leq Z_{n}} \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{5}}} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)+\max _{1 \leq k \leq Z_{n}} \int_{\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{5}}<|x| \leq 1} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x) \\
& +\max _{1 \leq k \leq Z_{n}} \int_{|x|>1} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x) \\
\leq & \frac{\varepsilon}{5}+\max _{1 \leq k \leq Z_{n}} P\left(\left|X_{n k}\right|>\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{5}}\right)+K_{Z_{n}}(-1)+K_{Z_{n}}(+\infty)-K_{Z_{n}}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ This follows directly from Theorem 1.5.

## 4. Examples

Example 1. For each $n$, let $Z_{n}$ be such that

$$
P\left(Z_{n}=n\right)=1-\frac{1}{n^{2}} \text { and } P\left(Z_{n}=n+1\right)=\frac{1}{n^{2}}
$$

For each $n$ and $k$, define $X_{n k}$ as follows.
If $k \neq n+1$, let $X_{n k}$ be defined by

$$
P\left(X_{n k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)=P\left(X_{n k}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} .
$$

In case $k=n+1$, let $X_{n k}$ be defined by

$$
P\left(X_{n k}=2^{n}\right)=P\left(X_{n k}=-2^{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Note that $\mu_{n k}=0$,

$$
\sigma_{n k}^{2}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{n} & \text { if } k \neq n+1 \\ 2^{2 n} & \text { if } k=n+1\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
l_{n}(q)= \begin{cases}n & \text { if } 0<q<1-\frac{1}{n^{2}} \\ n+1 & \text { if } 1-\frac{1}{n^{2}} \leq q<1\end{cases}
$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$. It is easy to see that $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} 1$. From Proposition 2.1 and the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{l_{n}(q)} \int_{|x|<\varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x) & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\int_{\left\{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)+\int_{\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}} x^{2} d F_{n k}(x)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}-0\right)\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $\left(X_{n k}, Z_{n}\right)$ satisfies (RL). Hence, by Theorem 1.4, the sequence of distribution functions of random sums $S_{Z_{n}}$ converges weakly to $\Phi$.

Example 2. Let $Z_{n}$ be such that $P\left(Z_{n}=n+j\right)=1 / 2^{j}, j=1,2,3, \ldots$ and for each $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $X_{n k}=X_{k} / \sqrt{n}$ where $P\left(X_{k}=-1\right)=P\left(X_{k}=1\right)=1 / 2$. Then

$$
\sup _{-\infty<x<\infty}\left|F_{n}(x)-\Phi(x)\right| \leq \frac{C}{n^{\frac{1}{5}}}
$$

for some constant $C$.

## 5. Remarks

1. Theorem 1.1 is a corollary of Theorem 1.4 by using Proposition 2.1 and the fact that $l_{n}(q)=k_{n}$ for every $q \in(0,1)$.
2. In [13], Bethmann gave the conditions of convergence which are similar to Theorem 1.4 but the assumption " $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} 1$ " is changed to " $E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2}\right) \rightarrow$ $1 "$. We note that there exist sequences of random variables which satisfy our assumption but do not satisfy the assumption of Bethmann and conversely.

For examples, $\left(X_{n k}, Z_{n}\right)$ in Example 1 satisfy the condition $\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} 1$ but $E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \infty$. Conversely, if we let $X_{n k}=0$ for $j \neq n+1, P\left(X_{n(n+1)}=\right.$ $\sqrt{2})=P\left(X_{n(n+1)}=-\sqrt{2}\right)=1 / 2$ and $P\left(Z_{n}=n\right)=P\left(Z_{n}=n+1\right)=1 / 2$ we see that $E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2}\right) \rightarrow 1$ but $E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n}} \sigma_{n k}^{2}\right)$ does not converge in probability to 1.
3. There are other authors (eg. [2,19, 24, 26-28]) gave a bound of this estimation. But the assumptions and results are different.
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