

## Temperature Determination from Interior Measurements: the Case of Temperature Nonlinearly Dependent Heat Source\*

Pham Hoang Quan and Dang Duc Trong

*Dept. of Mathematics and Informatics, Ho Chi Minh City National University,  
227 Nguyen Van Cu, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam*

**Abstract.** We consider the problem of recovering the temperature  $u(x, y)$  in a body represented by the half-plane  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$  from measurements performed at interior points of the body. The function  $u(x, y)$  satisfies the nonlinear elliptic equation

$$\Delta u = f(x, y, u(x, y)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad y > 0.$$

The problem is ill-posed. Using the method of Fourier transforms and the method of truncated, we shall prove the uniqueness and give a regularization result. Error estimate is given.

We consider the problem of determining the temperature  $u(x, y)$  in a body represented by the half-plane  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$  from measurements performed at interior points of the body. The temperature  $u(x, y)$  satisfies the following equation

$$\Delta u = f(x, y, u(x, y)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad y > 0 \tag{1}$$

subject to the conditions

$$u(x, 1) = \varphi(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2}$$

and

$$u(x, y) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } |x|, y \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3}$$

The paper consists of two parts. In Part I, we determine  $u(x, y)$  in the half plane  $x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 1$ . In Part II, we determine  $u(x, y)$  in the strip  $x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq y < 1$ .

---

\*This work was supported by the Council for Natural Sciences of Vietnam.

**Part I**

Put

$$G(x, y, \xi, \eta) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \ln \frac{(x - \xi)^2 + (y - \eta)^2}{(x - \xi)^2 + (y + \eta - 2)^2}. \quad (4)$$

For  $y > 1$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , integrating the identity

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}(uG_\xi - Gu_\xi) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}(uG_\eta - Gu_\eta) = -Gf \quad (5)$$

over the domain  $(-m, m) \times (1, n) \setminus B((x, y), \varepsilon)$ , where  $B((x, y), \varepsilon)$  is the ball with center at  $(x, y)$  and radius  $\varepsilon > 0$  and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get, after some rearrangements,

$$u(x, y) = Au(x, y), \quad (6)$$

where

$$Au(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_\eta(x, y; \xi, 1)\varphi(\xi)d\xi - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_1^{+\infty} G(x, y; \xi, \eta)f(\xi, \eta, u(\xi, \eta))d\xi d\eta. \quad (7)$$

Then, we readily get the following result:

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that for all  $(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$*

$$|f'_\zeta(\xi, \eta, \zeta)| \leq p(\xi, \eta), \quad (8)$$

where  $p(\xi, \eta) \in L^1(\mathbb{R} \times (1, +\infty))$ ,  $p \geq 0$  satisfies

$$K \equiv \sup_{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times (1, +\infty)} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_1^{+\infty} G(x, y; \xi, \eta)p(\xi, \eta)d\xi d\eta \right| < 1. \quad (9)$$

Put

$$J = \left\{ u \in C(\mathbb{R} \times (1, +\infty)) \mid \lim_{\sqrt{x^2+y^2} \rightarrow +\infty} u(x, y) = 0 \right\}. \quad (10)$$

Then  $A : J \rightarrow J$  is a contraction and hence  $u$  is uniquely determined and can be found by successive approximation.

**Part II**

In Part I (Theorem 1), we found  $u(x, y)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $y \geq 1$ . Therefore,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, 1)$  is determined. Consider the equation

$$\Delta u = f(x, y, u(x, y)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad y \in (0, 1), \quad (11)$$

subject to the conditions (12)-(13) below

$$u(x, 1) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, 1) = \psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (13)$$

Let  $C_\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1])$  denote the Banach space of bounded complex valued continuous functions  $w$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1)$  with the norm

$$\|w\|_\infty = \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0,1)} |w(x, y)|$$

### 1. Uniqueness of Solution

We first consider the uniqueness problem for (11)–(13)

**Theorem 2.** *We write  $u_{(y)}(x) = u(x, y)$ .*

*Let  $b > 0$ .*

*Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the set  $\{u \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1)) \mid u_{(y)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \text{supp } \hat{u}_{(y)} \subset [-b, b] \forall y \in [0, 1)\}$  where*

$$\hat{u}_{(y)}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u_{(y)}(x) e^{-i\zeta x} dx \tag{14}$$

*Suppose that  $f$  satisfies*

$$|f(\xi, \eta, u_1(\xi, \eta)) - f(\xi, \eta, u_2(\xi, \eta))| \leq p(\xi, \eta) |u_1(\xi, \eta) - u_2(\xi, \eta)|, \tag{15}$$

*for all  $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1)$  and  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ , where  $p$  is a nonnegative function satisfying*

$$\frac{2be^b}{\pi} \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta < 1. \tag{16}$$

*Then (11)–(13) has at most one solution in  $\mathcal{I}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u_1, u_2$  be two solutions of (11)–(13). Putting  $v = u_1 - u_2$ , we have

$$\Delta v = f(x, y, u_1(x, y)) - f(x, y, u_2(x, y)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad y \in (0, 1), \tag{17}$$

$$v(x, 1) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x, 1) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{19}$$

Let

$$\Gamma(x, y; \xi, \eta) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \ln [(x - \xi)^2 + (y - \eta)^2],$$

$$G(x, y; \xi, \eta) = \Gamma(x, y; \xi, \eta) - \Gamma(x, -y; \xi, \eta).$$

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < y < 1$ , integrating the identity

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}(-vG_\xi + Gv_\xi) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}(-vG_\eta + Gv_\eta) = G[f(\xi, \eta, u_1(\xi, \eta)) - f(\xi, \eta, u_2(\xi, \eta))] \tag{20}$$

over the domain  $(-n, n) \times (0, 1) \setminus B((x, y), \varepsilon)$  and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get, after some rearrangements,

$$\begin{aligned}
v(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v(\xi, 0) \frac{y}{(x-\xi)^2 + y^2} d\xi \\
&+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \ln \frac{(x-\xi)^2 + (y-\eta)^2}{(x-\xi)^2 + (y+\eta)^2} [f(\xi, \eta, u_1(\xi, \eta)) - f(\xi, \eta, u_2(\xi, \eta))] d\xi d\eta. \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

Letting  $y \rightarrow 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v(\xi, 0) \frac{1}{(x-\xi)^2 + 1} d\xi \\
&+ \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^1 \ln \frac{(x-\xi)^2 + (1-\eta)^2}{(x-\xi)^2 + (1+\eta)^2} \cdot [f(\xi, \eta, u_1(\xi, \eta)) - f(\xi, \eta, u_2(\xi, \eta))] d\xi d\eta = 0. \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

Put

$$F_{(y)}(x) = \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}, L_{(\eta, y)}(x) = \ln \frac{x^2 + (y-\eta)^2}{x^2 + (y+\eta)^2} \quad (0 < y, \eta < 1, x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Then,

$$\hat{F}_{(y)}(\zeta) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-y|\zeta|}$$

and

$$\hat{L}_{(\eta, y)}(\zeta) = \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{1}{|\zeta|} [e^{-(y+\eta)|\zeta|} - e^{-|y-\eta||\zeta|}]. \quad (23)$$

We write  $v_{(y)}(x) = v(x, y)$ . Put

$$H_{(\eta, u_1 - u_2)}(\xi) = f(\xi, \eta, u_1(\xi, \eta)) - f(\xi, \eta, u_2(\xi, \eta)). \quad (24)$$

By (23), Eq. (22) can be rewritten as

$$v_{(0)}(\cdot) * F_{(1)}(x) + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 L_{(\eta, 1)} * H_{(\eta, u_1 - u_2)}(x) d\eta = 0$$

where  $\varphi * \psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(x-\xi) \psi(\xi) d\xi$  with  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Taking the Fourier transform, we have

$$\hat{v}_{(0)}(\zeta) \hat{F}_{(1)}(\zeta) + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 \hat{L}_{(\eta, 1)}(\zeta) \hat{H}_{(\eta, u_1 - u_2)}(\zeta) d\eta = 0$$

and by (23)

$$\hat{v}_{(0)}(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{|\zeta|} [e^{-\eta|\zeta|} - e^{\eta|\zeta|}] \hat{H}_{(\eta, u_1 - u_2)}(\zeta) d\eta. \quad (25)$$

From (21), we have

$$v_{(y)}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} v_{(0)}(\cdot) * F_{(y)}(x) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^1 L_{(\eta, y)} * H_{(\eta, u_1 - u_2)}(x) d\eta. \quad (26)$$

Taking the Fourier transform, we have

$$\hat{v}_{(y)}(\zeta) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \hat{v}_{(0)}(\zeta) \hat{F}_{(y)}(\zeta) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^1 \hat{L}_{(\eta, y)}(\zeta) \hat{H}_{(\eta, u_1 - u_2)}(\zeta) d\eta. \quad (27)$$

By (23) and (25), Eq. (27) takes the form

$$\hat{v}_{(y)}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{|\zeta|} [e^{(\eta-y)|\zeta|} - e^{-|y-\eta||\zeta|}] \hat{H}_{(\eta, u_1 - u_2)}(\zeta) d\eta. \quad (28)$$

for  $\zeta \in [-b, b]$ . By (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{H}_{(\eta, u_1 - u_2)}(\zeta)| &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [f(\xi, \eta, u_1(\xi, \eta)) - f(\xi, \eta, u_2(\xi, \eta))] e^{-i\zeta\xi} d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(\xi, \eta, u_1(\xi, \eta)) - f(\xi, \eta, u_2(\xi, \eta))| d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(\xi, \eta) |u_1(\xi, \eta) - u_2(\xi, \eta)| d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|v\|_{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(\xi, \eta) d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Using the inequalities  $\left| \frac{e^{\eta|\zeta|} - e^{-\eta|\zeta|}}{|\zeta|} \right| \leq 2e^{|\zeta|} \leq 2e^b$  for  $\zeta \in [-b, b]$  and  $\eta \in [0, 1]$  and  $\left| \frac{e^{-\alpha|\zeta|} - e^{-\beta|\zeta|}}{|\zeta|} \right| \leq 2e^{|\zeta|} \leq 2e^b$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 2]$ , we can prove that

$$|\hat{v}_{(y)}(\zeta)| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^b \|v\|_{\infty} \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta$$

for all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $y \in [0, 1]$ . Hence

$$\|\hat{v}_{(\cdot)}(\cdot)\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^b \|v\|_{\infty} \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta. \quad (30)$$

Likewise

$$|v_{(y)}(x)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-b}^b \hat{v}_{(y)}(\zeta) e^{ix\zeta} d\zeta \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} 2b \|\hat{v}_{(\cdot)}(\cdot)\|_{\infty} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in [0, 1].$$

Thus

$$\|v\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} 2b \|\hat{v}_{(\cdot)}(\cdot)\|_{\infty}. \quad (31)$$

The inequalities (30)-(31) imply

$$\|v\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2}{\pi} b e^b \|v\|_{\infty} \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta.$$

Hence  $u_1 = u_2$  and the proof is complete.  $\blacksquare$

## 2. Nonlinear Approximation and Regularization

In this section, we determine an approximation of the solution of (11)–(13) in the form

$$u_{\varepsilon} = v_{\varepsilon} + w_{\varepsilon},$$

where  $v_{\varepsilon}$  is an approximation to the solution  $v$  of the problem

$$\Delta v = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in (0, 1), \quad (32)$$

$$v(x, 1) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (33)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x, 1) = \psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (34)$$

and  $w_{\varepsilon}$  is an approximation to the solution  $w$  of the problem

$$\Delta w = g(x, y, w), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in (0, 1), \quad (35)$$

$$w(x, 1) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (36)$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}(x, 1) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (37)$$

in which  $g(x, y, w) = f(x, y, w + v_0)$  with  $v_0$  being the exact solution of Problem (36)–(38).

### 2.1. Regularization of Problem (32)–(34)

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < y < 1$ , integrating the identity

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}(-vG_\xi + Gv_\xi) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}(-vG_\eta + Gv_\eta) = 0$$

over the domain  $(-n, n) \times (0, 1) \setminus B((x, y), \varepsilon)$  and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get, after some rearrangements,

$$v(x, y) = - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [\varphi(\xi)G_\eta(x, y, \xi, 1) - G(x, y, \xi, 1)\psi(\xi)] d\xi + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_\eta(x, y, \xi, 0)v(\xi, 0)d\xi. \tag{38}$$

Letting  $y \rightarrow 1$  in (38), we have

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(x - \xi)^2 + 1} v_{(0)}(\xi) d\xi + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [-\varphi(\xi)G_\eta(x, 1, \xi, 1) + G(x, 1, \xi, 1)\psi(\xi)] d\xi = \varphi(x). \tag{39}$$

This equation can be rewritten in operator form as follows

$$F_{(1)} * v_{(0)}(\cdot)(x) = \pi K_{(1)}(x) + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}}\varphi(x), \tag{40}$$

where

$$K_{(y)}(x) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [-\varphi(\xi)G_\eta(x, y, \xi, 1) + G(x, y, \xi, 1)\psi(\xi)] d\xi. \tag{41}$$

Put

$$M_{(y,1)}(x) = \frac{1 - y}{x^2 + (y - 1)^2} - \frac{1 + y}{x^2 + (y + 1)^2}.$$

We have

$$\hat{M}_{(y,1)}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}\pi [e^{(y-1)|\zeta|} - e^{-(y+1)|\zeta|}]. \tag{42}$$

On the other hand, in view of (41), (42) and (23), we get

$$K_{(y)}(x) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} [2\varphi * M_{(y,1)}(x) + \psi * L_{(1,y)}(x)]. \tag{43}$$

By (23) and (40), we have

$$\hat{v}_{(0)}(\zeta) = e^{|\zeta|}(\sqrt{2\pi}\hat{K}_{(1)}(\zeta) + \hat{\varphi}(\zeta)). \tag{44}$$

Applying the Fourier transform with respect to the variable  $x$  in the relation (38), we get, in view of (44),

$$\hat{v}_{(y)}(\zeta) = N(\zeta, y) \tag{45}$$

where

$$N(\zeta, y) = e^{|\zeta|}\hat{F}_{(y)}(\zeta) \left( 2\hat{K}_{(1)}(\zeta) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\hat{\varphi}(\zeta) \right) - \sqrt{2\pi}\hat{K}_{(y)}(\zeta).$$

We get the following result, the proof of which is immediate (and is not reproduced here).

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose  $v_{(y)}(\cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\hat{v}_{(y)}(\cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  for  $y \in [0, 1)$ . Let  $v_0$  be an exact solution of (45) with exact data  $N_0$  in the right hand side and let  $N$  be measured data such that  $\|N - N_0\|_2 < \varepsilon, \|\cdot\|_2$  the norm in  $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1))$ . Then there exists a regularized solution  $v_\varepsilon$  such that*

$$\|v_0 - v_\varepsilon\|_2 < \varepsilon.$$

## 2.2. Regularization of Problem (35)–(37)

Let  $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1))$  be an exact solution of (45) and let  $v_\varepsilon \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1))$  be a regularized solution.

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < y < 1$ , integrating the identity

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}(-uG_\xi + Gu_\xi) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}(-uG_\eta + Gu_\eta) = Gg$$

over the domain  $[(-n, n) \times (0, 1)] \setminus B((x, y), \varepsilon)$  and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get, after some rearrangements,

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} w(\xi, 0)G_\eta(x, y, \xi, 0)d\xi \\ &\quad - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^1 G(x, y, \xi, \eta)g(\xi, \eta, w(\xi, \eta))d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} w(\xi, 0)G_\eta(x, y, \xi, 0)d\xi \\ &\quad - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^1 G(x, y, \xi, \eta)f(\xi, \eta, v_0(\xi, \eta) + w(\xi, \eta))d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

We write  $w_{(y)}(x) = w(x, y)$ .

Suppose that  $f$  satisfies the following conditions

$$f(\xi, \eta, 0) = 0, \tag{48}$$

$$|f(\xi, \eta, \zeta_1) - f(\xi, \eta, \zeta_2)| \leq |p(\xi, \eta)| |\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| \tag{49}$$

for all  $(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $p \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1))$ .

Under the foregoing condition on  $f$ , we state (and prove) the following Lemma 1, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose that  $f$  satisfies the conditions (48)–(49) and that  $v_\varepsilon \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1))$ .*



Let  $T_{(v_\varepsilon)} : L^2(\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1)) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1))$  be defined by

$$T_{(v_\varepsilon)}w(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-b}^b \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|\zeta|} [e^{(\eta-y)|\zeta|} - e^{-|y-\eta||\zeta|}] f_{(\eta, w, v_\varepsilon)}(\xi) e^{-i\xi\zeta} e^{i\zeta x} d\xi d\eta d\zeta \quad (50)$$

where

$$f_{(\eta, w, v_\varepsilon)}(\xi) \equiv f(\xi, \eta, v_\varepsilon(\xi, \eta) + w(\xi, \eta))$$

and  $b$  is a fixed positive number such that

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{4}{\pi} b e^{2b} \|p\|_2^2 < 1.$$

Then  $T_{(v_\varepsilon)}$  is a contraction.

*Proof.* Put

$$Q_{(y)}(\zeta) = Q(y, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|\zeta|} [e^{(\eta-y)|\zeta|} - e^{-|y-\eta||\zeta|}] f_{(\eta, w, v_\varepsilon)}(\xi) e^{-i\xi\zeta} d\xi d\eta, \\ \zeta \in [-b, b], y \in [0, 1)$$

and

$$Q_{(y)}(\zeta) = 0, \quad \zeta \notin [-b, b], y \in [0, 1).$$

Using the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{|\zeta|} |e^{(\eta-y)|\zeta|} - e^{-|y-\eta||\zeta|}| \leq 4e^b \quad \forall \eta, y \in [0, 1], \zeta \in [-b, b]$$

and

$$|f_{(\eta, w, v_\varepsilon)}(\xi)| \leq |p(\xi, \eta)| (|v_\varepsilon(\xi, \eta)| + |w(\xi, \eta)|) \quad \forall (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1), w \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1)),$$

we get  $Q_{(y)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\widehat{T_{(v_\varepsilon)}w_{(y)}}(\zeta) = Q_{(y)}(\zeta)$ .

It follows that

$$T_{(v_\varepsilon)}w \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1)),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{(v_\varepsilon)}w_1 - T_{(v_\varepsilon)}w_2\|_2^2 &= \|\widehat{T_{(v_\varepsilon)}w_{1(\cdot)}}(\cdot) - \widehat{T_{(v_\varepsilon)}w_{2(\cdot)}}(\cdot)\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-b}^b \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|\zeta|^2} [e^{(\eta-y)|\zeta|} - e^{-|y-\eta||\zeta|}]^2 p^2(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta d\zeta dy \|w_1 - w_2\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4}{\pi} b e^{2b} \|p\|_2^2 \|w_1 - w_2\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \alpha \|w_1 - w_2\|_2^2 \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Hence  $T_{(v_\varepsilon)}$  is a contraction. This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1))$  be an exact solution of (45) and let  $v_\varepsilon \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1))$  be a regularized solution.

Suppose that  $f$  satisfies the conditions (48)–(49).

Assume the exact solution  $w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1))$  of (35)–(37) satisfies

$$\widehat{w}_{0(\eta)}(\zeta)e^{|\zeta|}\sqrt{|\zeta|} \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times (0, 1)). \quad (52)$$

Then there exists a function  $w_\varepsilon$  such that

$$\|w_\varepsilon - w_0\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{18E^2\|p\|_2^2}{\pi} + 2\|v_\varepsilon - v_0\|_2^2},$$

where

$$E = \|\widehat{w}_{0(\eta)}(\zeta)e^{|\zeta|}\sqrt{|\zeta|}\|_2. \quad (53)$$

*Proof.* Let  $b$  be the positive solution of the equation

$$\frac{8}{\pi}be^{2b}\|p\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{3}. \quad (54)$$

Let  $T_{(v_\varepsilon)} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$  be defined by

$$T_{(v_\varepsilon)}w(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-b}^b \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|\zeta|} [e^{(\eta-y)|\zeta|} - e^{-|y-\eta||\zeta|}] f_{(\eta, w, v_\varepsilon)}(\xi) e^{-i\xi\zeta} e^{i\zeta x} d\xi d\eta d\zeta. \quad (55)$$

Since  $T_{(v_\varepsilon)}$  is a contraction, there exists a unique  $w_\varepsilon \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$T_{(v_\varepsilon)}w_\varepsilon = w_\varepsilon$$

and  $w_\varepsilon$  can be obtained by successive approximation.

As in the proof of Theorem 2, we have

$$\widehat{w}_{0(y)}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|\zeta|} [e^{(\eta-y)|\zeta|} - e^{-|y-\eta||\zeta|}] f_{(\eta, w_0, v_0)}(\xi) e^{-i\xi\zeta} d\xi d\eta.$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|w_0 - w_\varepsilon\|_2^2 = \|\widehat{w}_{0(\cdot)} - \widehat{w}_{\varepsilon(\cdot)}\|_2^2 \\
 & \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_0^1 |\widehat{w}_{0(y)}(\zeta) - \widehat{T}_{(v_\varepsilon)} w_{\varepsilon(y)}(\zeta)|^2 dy d\zeta \\
 & \leq 2 \int_{|\zeta|>b} \int_0^1 (\widehat{w}_{0(y)}(\zeta))^2 dy d\zeta \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-b}^b \int_0^1 \left| \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|\zeta|} [e^{(\eta-y)|\zeta|} - e^{-|y-\eta||\zeta|}] \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left. [f_{(\eta, w_0, v_0)}(\xi) - f_{(\eta, w_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)}(\xi)] e^{-i\xi\zeta} d\xi d\eta \right|^2 dy d\zeta \\
 & \leq 2 \int_{|\zeta|>b} \int_0^1 \frac{(\widehat{w}_{0(y)}(\zeta) \sqrt{|\zeta|} e^{|\zeta|})^2}{be^{2b}} dy d\zeta \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-b}^b \int_0^1 \left| \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|\zeta|} [e^{(\eta-y)|\zeta|} - e^{-|y-\eta||\zeta|}] \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left. [f_{(\eta, w_0, v_0)}(\xi) - f_{(\eta, w_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)}(\xi)] e^{-i\xi\zeta} d\xi d\eta \right|^2 dy d\zeta \\
 & \leq \frac{2E^2}{be^{2b}} + \frac{1}{3} (\|w_0 - w_\varepsilon + v_0 - v_\varepsilon\|_2)^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\frac{1}{3} \|w_0 - w_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \leq \frac{16E^2 \|p\|_2^2}{\pi} + \frac{2}{3} \|v_0 - v_\varepsilon\|_2^2$$

Hence

$$\|w_0 - w_\varepsilon\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{48E^2 \|p\|_2^2}{\pi} + 2\|v_0 - v_\varepsilon\|_2^2}.$$

This completes the proof. ■

#### Regularization of Problem (11)–(13)

From Proposition 1 and Theorem 3 we readily get the following result:

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $N(\zeta, y)$  be defined in (45).*

*Let  $u_0$  be an exact solution of (11)–(13) corresponding to the exact data  $N_0(\zeta, y)$  and let  $N$  be a measured data such that*

$$\|N - N_0\|_2 < \varepsilon.$$

*Under assumptions in Proposition 1 and Theorem 3, there exists a regularized solution*

$$u_\varepsilon = v_\varepsilon + w_\varepsilon$$

such that

$$\|u_\varepsilon - u_0\|_2 \leq \varepsilon + \sqrt{\frac{48E^2\|p\|_2^2}{\pi} + 2\varepsilon^2}.$$

## References

1. D.D. Ang, R. Gorenflo, V.K. Le, and D.D. Trong, *Moment Theory and Some Inverse Problems in Potential Theory and Heat Conduction*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Heidelberg, 2002.
2. J.V. Beck, B. Blackwell and C.R. St. Clair, Jr., *Inverse Heat Conduction*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1985.
3. A. Friedman, *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type*, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., Prentice-Hall Inc., 1966.
4. C.W. Groetsch, *Inverse Problems in the Mathematical Sciences*, Vieweg, 1993.
5. T.T. Le, D.N. Thanh and P.H. Tri, Surface temperature determination from Borehole measurements: A finite slab model, *Acta Mathematica Vietnamica* **20** (1995) 193–206.