Vietnam Journal of MATHEMATICS © VAST 2005

# Regularity and Isomorphism Theorems of Generalized Order-Preserving Transformation Semigroups

Yupaporn Kemprasit<sup>1</sup> and Sawian Jaidee<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Khon Kean University Khon Kean 40002, Thailand

> Received April 15, 2003 Revised June 6, 2005

**Abstract.** The full order-preserving transformation semigroup OT(X) on a poset X has long been studied. In this paper, we study the semigroup  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  where X and Y are chains, OT(X,Y) is the set of all order-preserving maps from X into Y,  $\theta \in OT(Y,X)$  and the operation \* is defined by  $\alpha * \beta = \alpha \theta \beta$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in OT(X,Y)$ . We characterize when  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  is regular,  $(OT(X,Y),\theta) \cong OT(X)$  and  $(OT(X,Y),\theta) \cong OT(Y)$ .

### 1. Introduction

The full transformation semigroup on a set X is denoted by T(X) and for  $\alpha \in T(X)$ , let ran  $\alpha$  denote the range of  $\alpha$ . It is well-known that T(X) is regular for any set X, that is, for every  $\alpha \in T(X)$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha \beta \alpha$  for some  $\beta \in T(X)$ .

Next, let X and Y be posets. A map  $\alpha: X \to Y$  is said to be order-preserving if for  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,  $x_1 \leq x_2$  implies  $x_1 \alpha \leq x_2 \alpha$ . A bijection  $\varphi: X \to Y$  is called an order-isomorphism if  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^{-1}$  are order-preserving. It is clear that if both X and Y are chains and  $\varphi: X \to Y$  is an order-preserving bijection, then  $\varphi$  is an order-isomorphism. We say that X and Y are order-isomorphic if there is an order-isomorphic if there exists a bijection  $\varphi: X \to Y$  such that for  $x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \leq x_2$  if and only if  $x_2 \varphi \leq x_1 \varphi$ . Let OT(X) denote the subsemigroup of T(X)

consisting of all order-preserving transformations  $\alpha: X \to X$ . The semigroup OT(X) may be called the full order-preserving transformation semigroup on X(see [6]). The full order-preserving transformation semigroup on a poset has long been studied. For examples, see [5, Theorem V.8.9], [2, (Exercise 6.1.7), 3, 7, 1, 4]. Theorem V.8.9 of [5] gives an interesting isomorphism theorem as follows: For posets X and Y,  $OT(X) \cong OT(Y)$  if and only if X and Y are order-isomorphic or anti- order-isomorphic. Let  $\mathbb Z$  and  $\mathbb R$  denote the set of integers and the set of real numbers, respectively. In [3], Kemprasit and Changphas characterized when OT(X) is regular where X is a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb Z$  or X is a nonempty interval of  $\mathbb R$  with their natural order as follows:

**Theorem 1.1** [4]. For any nonempty subset X of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , OT(X) is regular.

**Theorem 1.2** [4]. For a nonempty interval X of  $\mathbb{R}$ , OT(X) is regular if and only if X is closed and bounded.

In this paper, the semigroup OT(X) is replaced by the semigroup  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  where OT(X,Y) is the set of all order-preserving maps  $\alpha:X\to Y,\,\theta\in OT(Y,X)$  and the operation \* is defined by  $\alpha*\beta=\alpha\theta\beta$  for all  $\alpha,\beta\in OT(X,Y)$ . Note that  $OT(X)=(OT(X,X),1_X)$  where  $1_X$  is the identity map on X. We confine our attention to study the semigroup  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  when X and Y are chains. In this paper we characterize the regularity of the semigroup  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$ . Further we provide necessary and sufficient conditions for this semigroup to be isomorphic to OT(X) and, respectively, isomorphic to OT(Y). Our main results are Theorems 3.1, 3.5 and 3.6. From now on we assume that X and Y are chains and  $\theta\in OT(Y,X)$ .

### 2. Lemmas

The following series of the lemmas is required to obtain our main results.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $a,b \in X$  and  $c,d \in Y$  be such that a < b,c < d and  $c\theta = d\theta$ . If  $\alpha: X \to Y$  is defined by

$$x\alpha = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } x < b, \\ d & \text{if } x \ge b, \end{cases}$$

then  $\alpha \in OT(X,Y), |ran \ \alpha| = 2 \ and |ran(\alpha \theta)| = 1.$ 

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\alpha \in OT(X,Y)$ , ran  $\alpha = \{c,d\}$  and ran $(\alpha\theta) = (\operatorname{ran} \alpha)\theta = \{c\theta,d\theta\} = \{c\theta\}.$ 

**Lemma 2.2.** If |X| > 1 and  $(OT(X,Y), \theta)$  is regular, then  $\theta$  is one-to-one.

*Proof.* Let |X| > 1 and assume that  $\theta$  is not one-to-one. Then there are  $a, b \in X$  and  $c, d \in Y$  such that a < b, c < d and  $c\theta = d\theta$ . Define  $\alpha : X \to Y$  as in Lemma 2.1. By Lemma 2.1,  $\alpha \in OT(X,Y)$ ,  $|\operatorname{ran} \alpha| = 2$  and  $|\operatorname{ran}(\alpha\theta)| = 1$ . Since for  $\beta \in OT(X,Y)$ ,  $|\operatorname{ran}(\alpha\theta\beta\theta\alpha)| \le |\operatorname{ran}(\alpha\theta)| = 1$ , it follows that  $\alpha \ne \alpha\theta\beta\theta\alpha$ . Hence

 $\alpha$  is not a regular element of  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$ , so  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  is not a regular semigroup.

**Lemma 2.3.** If  $(OT(X,Y), \theta)$  has an identity  $\eta$ , then  $\theta$  is one-to-one and ran  $\eta = Y$ .

*Proof.* By assumption  $\eta\theta\lambda=\lambda\theta\eta=\lambda$  for all  $\lambda\in OT(X,Y)$ . For  $y\in Y$ , let  $X_y$  denote the constant map with domain X and range  $\{y\}$ . Then  $X_y\in OT(X,Y)$  for all  $y\in Y$ , and hence

$$X_y \theta \eta = X_y$$
 for all  $y \in Y$ 

which implies that

$$y(\theta \eta) = yX_y\theta \eta = yX_y = y$$
 for all  $y \in Y$ .

Therefore  $\theta \eta = 1_Y$ , the identity map on Y. We then deduce that  $\theta$  is one-to-one and ran  $\eta = Y$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $e, f \in Y$  be such that e < f and  $a \in X$ .

(i) If x < a for all  $x \in \operatorname{ran} \theta$  and  $\alpha : X \to Y$  is defined by

$$x\alpha = \begin{cases} e & \text{if } x < a, \\ f & \text{if } x \ge a, \end{cases}$$

then  $\alpha \in OT(X, Y)$ ,  $|\operatorname{ran} \alpha| = 2$  and  $|\operatorname{ran}(\theta \alpha)| = 1$ .

(ii) If x > a for all  $x \in \operatorname{ran} \theta$  and  $\beta : X \to Y$  is defined by

$$x\beta = \begin{cases} e & \text{if } x \le a, \\ f & \text{if } x > a, \end{cases}$$

then  $\beta \in OT(X,Y)$ ,  $|\operatorname{ran} \beta| = 2$  and  $|\operatorname{ran}(\theta\beta)| = 1$ .

Proof.

- (i) We clearly have that  $\alpha \in OT(X,Y)$ , ran  $\alpha = \{e,f\}$  and ran $(\theta\alpha) = (\operatorname{ran} \theta)\alpha = \{e\}$ .
- (ii) It is also clear that  $\beta \in OT(X,Y)$ , ran  $\beta = \{e,f\}$  and ran $(\theta\beta) = (\operatorname{ran} \theta)\beta = \{f\}$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** If |Y| > 1 and  $(OT(X, Y), \theta)$  is regular, then for every  $x \in X, y \le x \le z$  for some  $y, z \in \text{ran } \theta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $e, f \in Y$  be such that e < f and assume that it is not true that for every  $x \in X, y \le x \le z$  for some  $y, z \in \operatorname{ran} \theta$ . Then there is an element  $a \in X$  such that a > x for all  $x \in \operatorname{ran} \theta$  or a < x for all  $x \in \operatorname{ran} \theta$ .

Case 1. a > x for all  $x \in \operatorname{ran} \theta$ . Define  $\alpha : X \to Y$  as in Lemma 2.4(i). Then  $\alpha \in OT(X,Y), |\operatorname{ran} \alpha| = 2$  and  $|\operatorname{ran}(\theta\alpha)| = 1$ . Thus  $|\operatorname{ran}(\alpha\theta\lambda\theta\alpha)| = 1$  for all  $\lambda \in OT(X,Y)$ , so  $\alpha \neq \alpha\theta\lambda\theta\alpha$  for every  $\lambda \in OT(X,Y)$ . Hence  $\alpha$  is not regular in  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$ .

Case 2. a < x for all  $x \in \operatorname{ran} \theta$ . Define  $\beta : X \to Y$  as in Lemma 2.4(ii). Then  $\beta \in OT(X,Y)$ ,  $|\operatorname{ran} \beta| = 2$  and  $|\operatorname{ran}(\theta\beta)| = 1$  which implies that  $|\operatorname{ran}(\beta\theta\lambda\theta\beta)| = 1$ 

1 for every  $\lambda \in OT(X,Y)$ . Thus  $\beta \neq \beta \theta \lambda \theta \beta$  for every  $\lambda \in OT(X,Y)$ , so  $\beta$  is not a regular element in  $(OT(X,Y), \theta)$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** If |Y| > 1 and  $(OT(X,Y), \theta)$  has an identity, then for every  $x \in X, y \le x \le z$  for some  $y, z \in \operatorname{ran} \theta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\eta$  be the identity of  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$ . Then

$$\eta\theta\lambda = \lambda\theta\eta = \lambda$$
 for all  $\lambda \in OT(X,Y)$ .

Suppose the conclusion is false. Then there exists an element  $a \in X$  such that either a > x for all  $x \in \operatorname{ran} \theta$  or a < x for all  $x \in \operatorname{ran} \theta$ . By Lemma 2.4, there exists an element  $\gamma \in OT(X,Y)$  such that  $|\operatorname{ran} \gamma| = 2$  but  $|\operatorname{ran}(\theta\gamma)| = 1$ . It then follows that  $\eta\theta\gamma \neq \gamma$ , a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $a \in X \setminus \operatorname{ran} \theta$  be such that b < a < c for some  $b, c \in \operatorname{ran} \theta$  and  $e, f, g \in Y$  such that e < f < g. If  $\alpha : X \to Y$  is defined by

$$x\alpha = \begin{cases} e & \text{if } x < a, \\ f & \text{if } x = a, \\ g & \text{if } x > a, \end{cases}$$

then  $\alpha \in OT(X,Y)$ ,  $|\operatorname{ran} \alpha| = 3$  and  $|\operatorname{ran}(\theta \alpha)| = 2$ .

*Proof.* Obviously,  $\alpha \in OT(X,Y)$  and ran  $\alpha = \{e, f, g\}$ . Since  $a \notin \operatorname{ran} \theta$ ,  $\operatorname{ran}(\theta\alpha) = (\operatorname{ran} \theta)\alpha = (\{x \in \operatorname{ran} \theta \mid x < a\} \cup \{x \in \operatorname{ran} \theta \mid x > a\})\alpha = \{e, f\}$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** Let |Y| > 2. If  $(OT(X,Y), \theta)$  is regular or  $(OT(X,Y), \theta)$  has an identity, then ran  $\theta = X$ .

Proof. Let  $e, f, g \in Y$  be such that e < f < g. Suppose that  $\operatorname{ran} \theta \neq X$ . Let  $a \in X \setminus \operatorname{ran} \theta$ . If a < x for all  $x \in \operatorname{ran} \theta$  or a > x for all  $x \in \operatorname{ran} \theta$ , then by Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6,  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  is not regular and  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  has no identity, respectively. Next, assume that b < a < c for some  $b, c \in \operatorname{ran} \theta$ . Define  $\alpha : X \to Y$  as in Lemma 2.7. Then  $\alpha \in OT(X,Y)$ ,  $|\operatorname{ran} \alpha| = 3$  and  $|\operatorname{ran}(\theta\alpha)| = 2$ . Hence for every  $\lambda \in OT(X,Y)$ ,  $|\operatorname{ran}(\alpha\theta\lambda\theta\alpha)| \leq |\operatorname{ran}(\lambda\theta\alpha)| \leq |\operatorname{ran}(\theta\alpha)| = 2$ , so  $\alpha \neq \alpha\theta\lambda\theta\alpha$  and  $\alpha \neq \lambda\theta\alpha$  for every  $\lambda \in OT(X,Y)$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is not a regular element of  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  and for every  $\lambda \in OT(X,Y)$ ,  $\lambda$  is not an identity of  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$ .

Hence the lemma is proved.

**Lemma 2.9.** If |Y| = 2 and ran  $\theta = \{\min X, \max X\}$ , then  $(OT(X, Y), \theta)$  is an idempotent semigroup (a band).

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in OT(X,Y)$ . Then either  $|\operatorname{ran} \alpha| = 1$  or  $|\operatorname{ran} \alpha| = 2$ . Since  $\operatorname{ran}(\alpha\theta\alpha) \subseteq \operatorname{ran} \alpha$ , it follows that  $\alpha\theta\alpha = \alpha$  if  $|\operatorname{ran} \alpha| = 1$ . Next, assume that  $|\operatorname{ran} \alpha| = 2$ . Then  $\operatorname{ran} \alpha = Y$ . Let  $Y = \{e, f\}$  with e < f. Thus  $X = e\alpha^{-1} \cup f\alpha^{-1}$  which is a disjoint union,  $\min X \in e\alpha^{-1}$  and  $\max X \in f\alpha^{-1}$ . Since  $Y\theta = \{e, f\}\theta = \{\min X, \max X\}$  and e < f, it follows that  $e\theta = \min X$  and  $f\theta = \max X$ . Consequently,

$$(e\alpha^{-1})\alpha\theta\alpha = \{e\theta\}\alpha = \{\min X\}\alpha = \{e\} = (e\alpha^{-1})\alpha,$$
$$(f\alpha^{-1})\alpha\theta\alpha = \{f\theta\}\alpha = \{\max X\}\alpha = \{f\} = (f\alpha^{-1})\alpha,$$

which implies that  $\alpha = \alpha \theta \alpha$ , so  $\alpha$  is an idempotent of  $(OT(X,Y), \theta)$ .

**Lemma 2.10.** If |Y| = 2, ran  $\theta = \{\min X, \max X\}$  and  $(OT(X,Y), \theta)$  has an identity, then |X| = 2.

Proof. Let  $Y = \{e, f\}$  with e < f and  $\eta$  be the identity of  $(OT(X, Y), \theta)$ . Since  $\theta : Y \to \operatorname{ran} \theta = \{\min X, \max X\}$ , we deduce that  $e\theta = \min X$  and  $f\theta = \max X$ . But  $\theta$  is one-to-one from Lemma 2.3, thus  $\min X < \max X$ , and hence  $|X| \ge 2$ . To show that |X| = 2, suppose in the contrary that there is an element a in  $X \setminus \{\min X, \max X\}$ . Then  $\min X < a < \max X$ ,  $\operatorname{ran} \eta = Y$  by Lemma 2.3, so  $a\eta = e$  or  $a\eta = f$ . Define  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 : X \to Y$  by

$$x\lambda_1 = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} e & \mbox{if } x < a, \\ f & \mbox{if } x \geq a, \end{array} 
ight. \ \ {
m and} \ \ x\lambda_2 = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} e & \mbox{if } x \leq a, \\ f & \mbox{if } x > a. \end{array} 
ight.$$

Then  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in OT(X, Y)$ .

Case 1.  $a\eta = e$ . Then  $a\eta\theta\lambda_1 = (e\theta)\lambda_1 = (\min X)\lambda_1 = e < f = a\lambda_1$ .

Case 2.  $a\eta = f$ . Then  $a\eta\theta\lambda_2 = (f\theta)\lambda_2 = (\max X)\lambda_2 = f > e = a\lambda_2$ .

These two cases yield a contradiction since  $\eta$  is an identity of  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$ . Hence we prove that |X|=2, as required.

**Lemma 2.11.** Let  $\theta$  be an order-isomorphism. Then the following statements hold.

- (i) The map  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha \theta$  is an isomorphism of  $(OT(X,Y), \theta)$  onto OT(X).
- (ii) The map  $\alpha \mapsto \theta \alpha$  is an isomorphism of  $(OT(X,Y), \theta)$  onto OT(Y). Proof. Note that  $\theta^{-1} \in OT(X,Y)$ . If  $\alpha, \beta \in OT(X,Y)$ , then

$$(\alpha\theta\beta)\theta = (\alpha\theta)(\beta\theta), \ \theta(\alpha\theta\beta) = (\theta\alpha)(\theta\beta),$$
  

$$\alpha\theta = \beta\theta \Rightarrow \alpha = \alpha\theta\theta^{-1} = \beta\theta\theta^{-1} = \beta,$$
  

$$\theta\alpha = \theta\beta \Rightarrow \alpha = \theta^{-1}\theta\alpha = \theta^{-1}\theta\beta = \beta.$$

Also, for  $\gamma \in OT(X)$  and  $\lambda \in OT(Y)$ , we have  $\gamma \theta^{-1}, \theta^{-1}\lambda \in OT(X,Y)$  and  $(\gamma \theta^{-1})\theta = \gamma$  and  $\theta(\theta^{-1}\lambda) = \lambda$ . Hence (i) and (ii) are proved.

## 3. Regularity and Isomorphism Theorems

Now we are ready to provide our main resuls.

**Theorem 3.1.** The semigroup  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  is regular if and only if one of the following statements holds.

- (i) OT(X) is regular and  $\theta$  is an order-isomorphism.
- (ii) |X| = 1.
- (iii) |Y| = 1.
- (iv) |Y| = 2 and ran  $\theta = \{\min X, \max X\}$ .

*Proof.* To prove necessity, assume that  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  is regular and suppose that (ii),(iii) and (iv) are false. Then

$$|X| > 1$$
,  $|Y| > 1$  and  $(|Y| \neq 2 \text{ or ran } \theta \neq \{\min X, \max X\})$ .

Therefore we have |X| > 1 and either |Y| > 2 or |Y| = 2 and ran  $\theta \neq \{\min X, \max X\}$ . From that |X| > 1, we have by Lemma 2.2 that  $\theta$  is one-to-one. First suppose that |Y| = 2 and ran  $\theta \neq \{\min X, \max X\}$ . Since |Y| = 2 and  $\theta$  is one-to-one,  $|\operatorname{ran} \theta| = 2$ . Note that  $\min X$  or  $\max X$  may not exist. Let  $\operatorname{ran} \theta = \{e, f\}$  with e < f. Then  $\{e, f\} \neq \{\min X, \max X\}$ .

Case 1. min X does not exist. Then there exists  $a \in X$  such that a < e, so a < e < f.

Case 2. max X does not exist. Then a > f for some  $a \in X$ , so a > f > e.

Case 3.  $\min X$  and  $\max X$  exist. But  $\{e, f\} \neq \{\min X, \max X\}$ , so  $\min X < e$  or  $\max X > f$ . Then either  $\min X < e < f$  or  $\max X > f > e$ .

From Case 1 - Case 3, we conclude that there exists an element  $a \in X$  such that a < x for all  $x \in \operatorname{ran} \theta$  or a > x for all  $x \in \operatorname{ran} \theta$ . It follows from Lemma 2.5 that  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  is not regular. Hence this case cannot occur. Thus |Y| > 2, and by Lemma 2.8, we have  $\operatorname{ran} \theta = X$ . Consequently,  $\theta$  is an order-isomorphism because X and Y are chains. We then deduce from Lemma 2.11(i) that  $(OT(X,Y),\theta) \cong OT(X)$ . But  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  is regular, so OT(X) is regular. Hence (i) holds.

To prove sufficiency, assume that one of (i)-(iv) holds. If (i) is true, then  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  is regular by Lemma 2.11(i).

If |X| = 1, then for  $\alpha \in OT(X,Y)$ ,  $|\operatorname{ran} \alpha| = 1$ , so  $\alpha = \alpha \theta \alpha$  since  $\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \theta \alpha) \subseteq \operatorname{ran} \alpha$ . If |Y| = 1, then |OT(X,Y)| = 1. Hence, if (ii) or (iii) holds, then  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  is regular. If (iv) is true, then by Lemma 2.9  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  is an idempotent semigroup, so it is regular.

The two following corollaries are directly obtained from Theorems 3.1, 1.1 and 1.2.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let X and Y be nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  is regular if and only if one of the following statements holds.

- (i)  $\theta$  is an order-isomorphism.
- (ii) |X| = 1.
- (iii) |Y| = 1.
- (iv) |Y| = 2 and ran  $\theta = \{\min X, \max X\}$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** Let X and Y be intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$  containing more than one element. Then  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  is regular if and only if X is closed and bounded and  $\theta$  is an order-isomorphism.

**Proposition 3.4.** The semigroup  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  has an identity if and only if |Y| = 1 or  $\theta$  is an order-isomorphism.

*Proof.* If |Y| = 1, then |OT(X,Y)| = 1, so  $(OT(X,Y), \theta)$  has an identity. If  $\theta$  is an order-isomorphism, then by Lemma 2.11(i),  $(OT(X,Y), \theta) \cong OT(X)$ , so  $(OT(X,Y), \theta)$  has an identity since OT(X) does.

For the converse, assume that  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  has an identity, say  $\eta$ , and |Y| > 1. By Lemma 2.3,  $\theta$  is one-to-one. If |Y| > 2, then we have from Lemma 2.8 that ran  $\theta = X$ . Next, assume that |Y| = 2, say  $Y = \{e, f\}$  with e < f. Then ran  $\theta = \{e\theta, f\theta\}$  and  $e\theta < f\theta$ . We deduce from Lemma 2.6 that  $e\theta \le x \le f\theta$  for all  $x \in X$ . Consequently,  $\min X = e\theta$  and  $\max X = f\theta$ . It then follows from Lemma 2.10 that |X| = 2. Thus  $X = \{e\theta, f\theta\} = \operatorname{ran} \theta$ . This shows that ran  $\theta = X$  for every case of  $|Y| \ge 2$ . Therefore  $\theta$  is an order-isomorphism.

**Theorem 3.5.** The semigroups  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  and OT(X) are isomorphic if and only if  $\theta$  is an order-isomorphism.

*Proof.* We deduce from Lemma 2.11(i) that if  $\theta$  is an order-isomorphism, then  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)\cong OT(X)$ .

Conversely, assume that  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)\cong OT(X)$ . Then |OT(X,Y)|=|OT(X)|. Since OT(X) has an identity,  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  has an identity. We have by Proposition 3.4 that |Y|=1 or  $\theta$  is an order-isomorphism. If |Y|=1, then |OT(X,Y)|=1, and hence |OT(X)|=1 which implies that |X|=1.

Therefore the theorem is proved.

**Theorem 3.6.** The semigroups  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  and OT(Y) are isomorphic if and only if |Y| = 1 or  $\theta$  is an order-isomorphism.

*Proof.* If |Y| = 1, then |OT(X,Y)| = 1 = |OT(Y)|, so  $(OT(X,Y), \theta) \cong OT(Y)$ . Also,  $(OT(X,Y), \theta) \cong OT(Y)$  by Lemma 2.11(ii) if  $\theta$  is an order-isomorphism. The converse holds by Proposition 3.4 since OT(Y) has an identity.

Proposition 3.4, Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 yield the following theorem directly.

**Theorem 3.7.** If |Y| > 1, then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i)  $(OT(X,Y),\theta)$  has an identity.
- (ii)  $(OT(X,Y),\theta) \cong OT(X)$ .
- (iii)  $(OT(X,Y),\theta) \cong OT(Y)$ .
- (iv)  $\theta$  is an order-isomorphism.

#### References

1. V. H. Fernandes, Semigroups of order-preserving mappings on a finite chain,

- $Semigroup\ Forum\ {\bf 54}\ (1997)\ 230–236.$
- P. M. Higgins, Techniques of Semigroup Theory, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1992.
- 3. P. M. Higgins, Combinatorial results on semigroups of order-preserving mappings, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **113** (1993) 281–296.
- 4. Y. Kemprasit and T. Changphas, Regular order-preserving transformation semi-groups, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **62** (2000) 511–524.
- 5. E. S. Lyapin, *Semigroups*, Translation of Mathematical Monographs Vol. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providece, R.I., 1974.
- 6. T. Saito, K. Aoki, and K. Kajitori, Remarks on isomorphisms of regressive transformation semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **53** (1996) 129–134.
- A. S. Vernitskii and M. V. Volkop, A proof and a generalization of Higgins' devision theorem for semigroups of order preserving mappings, *Izv. vuzov. Matematika*, 1 (1995) 38–44.