Vietnam Journal of MATHEMATICS © VAST 2005

Cayley Graphs of Abelian Groups Which Are Not Normal Edge-Transitive

Mehdi Alaeiyan, Hamid Tavallaee, and Ali A. Talebi

Department of Mathematics Iran University of Science and Technology Narmak, Tehran 16844, Iran

> Received April 15, 2004 Revised July 4, 2005

Abstract. For a group G, and a subset S of G such that $1_G \notin S$, let $\Gamma = Cay(G, S)$ be the corresponding Cayley graph. Then Γ is said to be normal edge transitive, if $N_{Aut(\Gamma)}(G)$ is transitive on edges. In this paper we determine all connected, undirected edge-transitive Cayley graphs of finite abelian groups with valency at most five, which are not normal edge transitive. This is a partial answer to a question of Praeger.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite abelian group and S a subset of G such that $1_G \notin S, |S| \leq 5$, and $\langle S \rangle = G$. The corresponding Cayley digraph, denoted by $\Gamma = Cay(G, S)$ is the digraph with vertex set G and arcs (x, y) such that $yx^{-1} \in S$. The digraph is also assumed to be undirected that is $S^{-1} = S$, (and in this case each unordered pair $\{x, y\}$ such that (x, y) and (y, x) are arcs is an edge of the corresponding undirected graph).

The graph Cay(G, S) is vertex-transitive since it admits G, acting by right multiplication, as a subgroup of automorphisms. Thus $G \leq Aut(Cay(G, S))$ and this action of G is regular on vertices, that is, G is transitive on vertices and only the identity element of G fixes a vertex. A graph Γ is (isomorphic to) a Cayley graph for some group if and only if its automorphism group $Aut(\Gamma)$ has a subgroup which is regular on vertices, (see [2, Lemma 16.3]). For small values of n, the vast majority of undirected vertex-transitive graphs with n vertices are Cayley graphs (see [5, Table 1]).

A Cayley graph $\Gamma = Cay(G,S)$ is said to be edge-transitive if $Aut(\Gamma)$ is

transitive on edges. Also, if Γ is undirected, then an unordered pair of edges $\{(x,y),(y,x)\}$ is called an unordered edge, and Γ is said to be edge-transitive as an undirected graph if $Aut(\Gamma)$ is transitive on unordered edges. In this paper we present an approach to studying the family of Cayley graphs for a given finite group G, which focuses attention on those graphs Γ for which $N_{Aut(\Gamma)}(G)$ is transitive on edges, and those undirected graphs Γ for which $N_{Aut(\Gamma)}(G)$ is transitive on unordered edges. Such a graph is said to be normal edge-transitive, or normal edge-transitive as an undirected graph, respectively. Not every edge-transitive Cayley graph is normal edge-transitive. This can be seen by considering the complete graphs K_n , on n vertices.

Example 1.1. The complete graph K_n , is an undirected Cayley graph for any group of order n, and its automorphism group S_n acts transitively on edges, and hence also on unordered edges. However K_n is normal edge-transitive (and also normal edge-transitive as an undirected graph) if and only if n is a prime power. If $n = p^a$ (p a prime and $a \ge 1$), then taking $G = Z_p^a$ we have $K_n \cong Cay(G, G \setminus \{1\})$ and $N_{S_n}(G) = AGL(a, p)$ is transitive on edges (and on undirected edges).

However in most situations, it is difficult to find the full automorphism group of a graph. Although we know that a Cayley graph Cay(G,S) is vertextransitive, simply because of its definition, in general it is difficult to decide whether it is edge-transitive. On the other hand we often have sufficient information about the group G to determine $N = N_{Aut(Cay(G,S))}(G)$; for N is the semidirect product N = G.Aut(G,S), where $Aut(G,S) = \{\sigma \in Aut(G) | S^{\sigma} = S\}$.

Thus it is often possible to determine whether Cay(G, S) is normal edgetransitive.

Independently of our investigation, and as another attempt to study the structure of finite Cayley graphs, Xu [7] defined a Cayley graph $\Gamma = Cay(G, S)$ to be normal if G is a normal subgroup of the full automorphism group $Aut(\Gamma)$. Xu's concept of normality for a Cayley graph is a very strong condition. For example, K_n is normal if and only if $n \leq 4$. However any edge-transitive Cayley graph which is normal, in the sense of Xu's definition, is automatically normal edge-transitive.

Praeger posed the following question in [6]: What can be said about the structure of Cayley graphs which are edge-transitive but not normal edge-transitive? In the next theorem we will identify all edge-transitive Cayley graph of an abelian group which are not normal edge-transitive and have valency at most 5. This is a partial answer to Question 5 of [6].

Theorem 1.2. Let G be an abelian group and let S be a subset of G not containing the identity element 1_G . Suppose $\Gamma = Cay(G, S)$ is a connected undirected Cayley graph of G relative to S and $|S| \leq 5$. If Γ is an edge-transitive Cayley graph and is not normal edge-transitive as an undirected graph, then Γ , G satisfy one of (1) - (13) follows:

- (1) $G = Z_4, S = G \setminus \{1\}, \Gamma = K_4.$
- (2) $G = Z_4 \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, b\}, \Gamma = Q_3 \text{ the cube.}$

- (3) $G = Z_6 = \langle a \rangle, S = \{a, a^3, a^5\}, \Gamma = K_{3,3}.$
- (4) $G = Z_4 \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, a^2b, b\}, \Gamma = K_{4,4}.$ (5) $G = Z_4 \times Z_2^2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, b, c\}, \Gamma = Q_4, \text{ the 4-dimensional }$
- (6) $G = Z_m \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$, $m \geq 3$, and m is odd $S = \{a, ab, a^{-1}, a^{-1}b\}, \Gamma = \{a, ab, a^{-1}, a^{-1}b\}$ $C_m[2k_1].$
- $(7) \ \ G = Z_4 \times Z_2^3 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle \times \langle d \rangle, \\ S = \{a, a^{-1}, b, c, d\}, \\ \Gamma = K_2 \times Q_4 = Q_5.$
- (8) $G = Z_4 \times Z_2^2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle S = \{a, a^{-1}, ab, a^{-1}b, c\}, \Gamma = K_2 \times C_4[2K_1].$
- (9) $G = Z_4^2 \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}, c\}, \Gamma = C_4 \times Q_3.$
- (10) $G = Z_4 \times Z_2^2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, b, c, a^2bc\}, \Gamma = Q_4^d.$
- (11) $G = Z_6 = \langle a \rangle, S = \{a, a^2, a^3, a^4, a^5\}, \Gamma = C_3[K_2] = K_6.$
- (12) $G = Z_{10} = \langle a \rangle, S = \{a, a^3, a^7, a^9, a^5\}, \Gamma = K_{5,5}.$
- (13) $G = Z_6 \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, a^2b, a^{-2}b, b\}, \Gamma = K_{6,6} 6K_2.$

Corollary 1.3.

- (1) All edge transitive connected Cayley graphs with valency at most 5 of a finite abelian group of odd order are normal edge-transitive.
- (2) All edge-transitive connected Cayley graph with valency at most 5 of a finite cyclic group are normal edge-transitive except for

$$G=Z_4$$
 and $\Gamma=K_4$, or $G=Z_6$ and $\Gamma=K_{3,3}$ or $G=Z_6$ and $\Gamma=K_6$ or $G=Z_{10}$ and $\Gamma=K_{5,5}$.

Our work is entirely dependent on two papers [1] and [3] that classify the graphs Γ as in the first paragraph for which $Aut(\Gamma) \neq N_{Aut(\Gamma)}(G)$.

Suppose that Γ is as in the first paragraph above, and that Γ is edge-transitive but not normal edge-transitive. Then it follows that $Aut(\Gamma) \neq N_{Aut(\Gamma)}(G)$, and hence that Γ is one of the graphs classified in [1] or [3]. There are exactly 15 individual pairs (Γ, G) and 8 infinite families of pairs (Γ, G) in the classification in [1, 3]. Our task is to examine these lists. We will determine which graphs in the lists are edge-transitive and which graphs in the lists are normal edge-transitive.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is in Secs. 3 and 4. We consider the Cayley graph of abelian groups with valency at most four in Sec 3 and with valency 5 in Sec. 4.

2. Primary Analysis

For a graph Γ , we denote the automorphism group of Γ by $Aut(\Gamma)$. The following propositions are basic.

Proposition 2.1. [4] Let $\Gamma = Cay(G, S)$ be a Cayley graph of group G relative

- (1) $Aut(\Gamma)$ contains the right regular permutation of G, so Γ is vertex- transi-
- (2) Γ is connected if and only if $G = \langle S \rangle$.
- (3) Γ is undirected if and only if $S^{-1} = S$.

Proposition 2.2. [2] A graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is a Cayley graph of a group if and only if $Aut\Gamma$ contains a regular subgroup.

Let $\Gamma = Cay(G, S)$ be a Cayley graph of G on S, and let

$$Aut(G, S) = \{ \alpha \in Aut(G) | S^{\alpha} = S \}.$$

Obviously, $Aut(\Gamma) \geq G.Aut(G, S)$. Writing $A = Aut(\Gamma)$, we have.

Proposition 2.3.

- (1) $N_A(G) = G.Aut(G, S)$.
- (2) A = G.Aut(G, S) is equivalent to $G \triangleleft A$.

Proof. Since the normalizer of G in the symmetric group Sym(G) is the holomorph of G, that is GAut(G), we have $N_A(G) = GAut(G) \cap A = G(Aut(G) \cap A)$.

Obviously, $Aut(G) \cap A = Aut(G, S)$. Thus (1) holds. (2) is an immediate consequence of (1).

Proposition 2.4. [6] Let $\Gamma = Cay(G, S)$ be a Cayley graph for a finite group G with $S \neq \phi$. Then Γ is normal edge-transitive if and only if Aut(G, S) is either transitive on S or has two orbits in S which are inverses of each other.

Let X and Y be two graphs. The direct product $X \times Y$ is defined as the graph with vertex set $V(X \times Y) = V(X) \times V(Y)$ such that for any two vertices $u = [x_1, y_1]$ and $v = [x_2, y_2]$ in $V(X \times Y), [u, v]$ is an edge in $X \times Y$ whenever $x_1 = x_2$ and $[y_1, y_2] \in E(Y)$ or $y_1 = y_2$ and $[x_1, x_2] \in E(X)$. Two graphs are called relatively prime if they have no nontrivial common direct factor. The lexicographic product X[Y] is defined as the graph vertex set $V(X[Y]) = V(X) \times V(Y)$ such that for any two vertices $u = [x_1, y_1]$ and $v = [x_2, y_2]$ in V(X[Y]), [u, v] is an edge in X[Y] whenever $[x_1, x_2] \in E(X)$ or $x_1 = x_2$ and $[y_1, y_2] \in E(Y)$. Let $V(Y) = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\}$. Then there is a natural embedding nX in X[Y], where for $1 \le i \le n$, the ith copy of X is the subgraph induced on the vertex subset $\{(x, y_i)|x \in V(X)\}$ in X[Y]. The deleted lexicographic product X[Y] - nX is the graph obtained by deleting all the edges of (this natural embedding of) nX from X[Y].

3. The Cayley Graph of Abelian Groups with Valency at Most Four

Let $\Gamma = Cay(G,S)$ be a connected undirected Cayley graph of an abelian group G on S, with the valency of Γ being at most four. Then we will give proof of our main theorem. If an edge-transitive Cayley graph is normal, then that is automatically normal edge-transitive. Thus this implies that we first must consider non-normal graphs.

By using [1, Theorem 1.2] all non- normal Cayley graphs of an abelian group are as follows.

- (1) $G = Z_4, S = G \setminus \{1\}, \Gamma = K_4.$
- (2) $G = Z_4 \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, b\}, \Gamma = Q_3 \text{ the cube.}$

- (3) $G = Z_6 = \langle a \rangle, S = \{a, a^3, a^5\}, \Gamma = K_{3,3}.$
- (4) $G = Z_2^3 = \langle u \rangle \times \langle v \rangle \times \langle w \rangle, S = \{w, wu, wv, wuv\}, \Gamma = K_{4,4}.$ (5) $G = Z_4 \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle, S = \{a, a^2, a^3, b\}, \Gamma = Q_3^c$, the complement of the
- (6) $G = Z_4 \times Z_2^2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, a^2b, b\}, \Gamma = K_{4,4}.$ (7) $G = Z_4 \times Z_2^2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, b, c\}, \Gamma = Q_4$, the 4-dimensional
- (8) $G = Z_6 \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, a^3, b\}, \Gamma = K_{3,3} \times K_2.$
- (9) $G = Z_4 \times Z_4 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}\}, \Gamma = C_4 \times C_4.$ (10) $G = Z_m \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle, m \ge 3, S = \{a, ab, a^{-1}, a^{-1}b\}, \Gamma = C_m[2k_1].$ (11) $G = Z_{4m} = \langle a \rangle, m \ge 2, S = \{a, a^{2m+1}, a^{-1}, a^{2m-1}\}, \Gamma = C_{2m}[2k_1].$
- (12) $G = Z_5, S = G \setminus \{1\}, \Gamma = K_5.$
- (13) $G = Z_{10} = \langle a \rangle, S = \{a, a^3, a^7, a^9\}, \Gamma = K_{5,5} 5K_2.$

Lemma 3.1. The graphs Γ in cases (4), (9), (10)[for m even], (11), (12), and (13) from the list above are normal edge transitive.

Proof. We will apply Proposition 2.4, and will show in each case that Aut(G,S)is transitive on S.

In the case (4) G may be regarded as a vector space and elements of Aut(G)are determined by their action on the basis u, v, w. We define three maps f, g, has follows, that they lie in Aut(G), and that the subgroup they generate is transitive on (S). [f maps u - > v, v - > u, w - > w, g maps u - > u, v - > wuv, w->w, and h maps u->u, v->v, w->wu].

In the case (9), elements of Aut(G) are determined by their action on the generators a, b. We define two maps α, β as follows, that they lie in Aut(G, S), and that the subgroup they generate is transitive on S. $[\alpha \text{ maps } a->a^{-1},b->a^{-1}]$ $b^{-1}, \beta \text{ maps } a -> b, b -> a$.

In the case (10), elements of Aut(G) are determined by their action on the generators a, b. We define three maps α, β, γ as follows, that they lie in Aut(G,S), and that the subgroup they generate is transitive on S. α maps $a->a^{-1},b->b^{-1},\beta \text{ maps } a->a^{-1}b,b->b,\gamma \text{ maps } a->ab,b->b$].

In the case (11), elements of Aut(G) are determined by their action on the generator a. We define three maps α, β, γ as follows, that they lie in Aut(G, S), and that the subgroup they generate is transitive on S.[α maps $a - > a^{-1}, \beta$ maps $a - > b^{2m-1}$, γ maps $a - > a^{2m+1}$].

In the case (12), $G = Z_5, S = G - \{1\}$ we conclude by Example 1.1.

In the case 13, $G = Z_{10}, S = \{a, a^3, a^7, a^9\}$ we have Aut(G, S) = Aut(G)and Aut(G) is transitive on S. Then we conclude by Proposition 2.4.

Lemma 3.2. The graphs Γ in cases (5), and (8) from the list above are not edge transitive.

Proof. In the case (5), $\Gamma = Q_3^c$ and so $Aut(\Gamma) = Aut(Q_3) = AGL(3,2)$. The graph Q_3 has vertex set Z_2^3 and $x=(x_1,x_2,x_3)$ is joined to $y=(y_1,y_2,y_3)$ by an edge if and only if x - y has exactly 1 non-zero entry. Hence x is adjacent to y in Γ if and only if x-y has two or three non-zero entries. The group $Aut(\Gamma)$

has two orbits on edges, namely edges x, y where x - y has two non-zero entries, and pairs x, y where x - y has three non-zero entries.

In the case (8), $\Gamma = K_{3,3} \times K_2$ forms of two complete bipartite graphs $K_{3,3}, K'_{3,3}$ with $V(K_{3,3}) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ and $V(K'_{3,3}) = \{x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, y'_1, y'_2, y'_3\}$ that $(x_i, y_j) \in E(K_{3,3}), 1 \le i, j \le 3$, and $(x'_i, y'_j) \in E(K'_{3,3}), 1 \le i, j \le 3$ and also $(t, t') \in E(K_{3,3} \times K_2)$ for $t \in \{x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ there is no automorphism f such that $f(x_1, y_1) = (x_1, x'_1)$, because the arc (x_1, y_1) lie on five circuits of length 4, but the arc (x_1, x'_1) lies on three circuits of length 4.

Lemma 3.3. The graphs Γ in cases (1), (2), (3), (6), (7), and (10) [for m odd] from the list above satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.2.

Proof. By using Proposition 2.4, since in normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs, all elements of S have same order, hence these graphs are not normal edge-transitive. Since the complete graph K_n and complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ are edge transitive, hence it is sufficient to show that graphs $\Gamma = Q_3$, $\Gamma = Q_4$ and $\Gamma = C_m[2K_1]$ are edge-transitive.

By [2, chapter 20, 20a] the cube graph $\Gamma = Q_k$ is distance-transitive, that is, for all vertices u, v, x, y of Γ such that d(u, v) = d(x, y) there is an automorphism α in $Aut(\Gamma)$ satisfying $\alpha(u) = x$ and $\alpha(v) = y$. Hence Q_k is edge-transitive.

In the final case for graph $\Gamma = C_m[2K_1]$ let $V(C_m) = \{x_0, x_1, ..., x_{m-1}\}$ and $V(2K_1) = \{y_1, y_2\}$. The graph C_m is edge-transitive and the automorphism group $Aut(\Gamma)$ contains C_2wrD_{2m} and permutation $\sigma = ((x_0, y_1, (x_0, y_2)))$ on $V(\Gamma)$. By combination of automorphisms the subgroup $H = \langle C_2wrD_{2m}, \sigma \rangle$ of $Aut(\Gamma)$ is transitive on $E(\Gamma)$. Hence $\Gamma = C_m(2K_1)$ is edge-transitive. By Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 we conclude Theorem 2.1 for $|S| \leq 4$.

4. Edge-Transitive Cayley Graph of Abelian Groups with Valency Five

Our purpose in this section is to show all edge-transitive Cayley graphs of abelian groups with valency five which are not normal edge-transitive. As in Sec. 3, we first consider all non-normal Cayley graphs with the above condition.

Let Γ be a graph and α a permutation $V(\Gamma)$ and C_n a circuit of length n. The twisted product $\Gamma \times_{\alpha} C_n$ of Γ by C_n with respect to α is defined by

$$V(\Gamma \times_{\alpha} C_n) = V(\Gamma) \times V(C_n) = \{(x,i) \mid x \in V(\Gamma), i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1\}$$

$$E(\Gamma \times_{\alpha} C_n) = \{[(x,i), (x,i+1)] \mid x \in V(\Gamma), i = 0, 1, ..., n - 2\} \cup \{[(x,n-1), (x^{\alpha}, 0)] \mid x \in V(\Gamma)\} \cup \{[(x,i), (y,i)] \mid [x,y] \in E(\Gamma), i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1\}.$$

Now we introduce some graphs which appears in our main theorem. The graph Q_4^d denotes the graph obtained by connecting all long diagonals of 4- cube Q_4 , that is connecting all vertex u and v in Q_4 such that d(u,v)=4. The graph $K_{m,m}\times_c C_n$ is the twisted product of $K_{m,m}$ by C_n such that c is a cycle permutation on each part of the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,m}$. The graph $Q_3\times_d C_n$

is the twisted product of Q_3 by C_n such that d transposes each pair elements on long diagonals of Q_3 . The graph $C_{2m}^d[2K_1]$ is defined by:

$$V(C_{2m}^d[2K_1]) = V(C_{2m}[2K_1])$$

$$E(C_{2m}^d[2K_1]) = E(C_{2m}[2K_1]) \cup \{[(x_i, y_j), (x_{i+m}, y_j)] \mid i = 0, 1, ..., m - 1, j = 1, 2\}$$

where $V(C_{2m}) = \{x_0, x_1, ..., x_{2m-1}\}$ and $V(2K_1) = \{y_1, y_2\}$.

By using [3, Theorem 1.1] all non-normal Cayley graphs of an abelian group with valency five are as follows:

- (1) $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^4 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle \times \langle d \rangle, S = \{a, b, c, d, abc\} \text{ and } \Gamma = K_2 \times K_{4,4}.$
- (2) $G = Z_4 \times Z_2^2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, a^2, b, c\} \text{ and } \Gamma = C_4 \times K_4.$ (3) $G = Z_4 \times Z_2^2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, b, c, a^2b\} \text{ and } \Gamma = K_2 \times K_{4,4}.$
- (4) $G = Z_4 \times Z_2^3 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle \times \langle d \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, b, c, d\} \text{ and } \Gamma = K_2 \times Q_4 = K_2 \times Q_4$
- (5) $G = Z_6 \times Z_2^2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, a^3, b, c\} \text{ and } \Gamma = K_{3,3} \times C_4.$
- (6) $G = Z_m \times Z_2^2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle$ with $m \geq 3, S = \{a, a^{-1}, ab, a^{-1}b, c\}$ and $\Gamma = K_2 \times C_m[2K_1].$
- (7) $G = Z_{4m} \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ with $m \geq 3, S = \{a, a^{-1}, a^{2m-1}, a^{2m+1}, b\}$ and $\Gamma = K_2 \times C_m[2K_1].$
- (8) $G = Z_{10} = \langle a \rangle, S = \{a^2, a^4, a^6, a^8, a^5\}$ and $\Gamma = K_2 \times K_5$.
- (9) $G = Z_{10} \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, a^3, a^7, b\}, \Gamma = K_2 \times (K_{5,5} 5K_2).$
- (10) $G = Z_m \times Z_4 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ with $m \geq 3, S = \{a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}b^2\}$ and $\Gamma = \{a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}b^2\}$ $C_m \times K_4$.
- (11) $G = Z_m \times Z_6 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ with $m \geq 3, S = \{a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}, b^3\}$ and $\Gamma =$ $C_m \times K_{3,3}$.
- (12) $G = Z_m \times Z_4 \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle$ with $m \geq 3, S = \{a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}, c\}$ and $\Gamma = C_m \times Q_3.$
- (13) $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^3 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle, S = \{a, b, c, ab, ac\} \text{ and } \Gamma = K_2[2K_2].$
- (14) $G = Z_4 \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, b, a^2, a^2b\} \text{ and } \Gamma = K_2[2K_2].$
- (15) $G = Z_4 \times Z_2^2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, b, c, a^2bc\} \text{ and } \Gamma = Q_4^d$
- (16) $G = Z_{2m} = \langle a \rangle$ with $m \geq 3, S = \{a, a^{-1}, a^{m+1}, a^{m-1}, a^m\}$ and $\Gamma =$ $C_m[K_2].$
- (17) $G = Z_{2m} \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ with $m \geq 2, S = \{a, a^{-1}, ab, a^{-1}b, b\}$ and $\Gamma = C_{2m}[K_2].$
- (18) $G = Z_{2m} \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ with $m \geq 2, S = \{a, a^{-1}, ab, a^{-1}ba^m\}$ and $\Gamma = C_{2m}^d[2K_1].$
- (19) $G = Z_{10} = \langle a \rangle, S = \{a, a^3, a^7, a^9, a^5\} \text{ and } \Gamma = K_{5,5}.$
- (20) $G = Z_6 \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle, S = \{a, a^{-1}, a^2b, a^{-2}b, b\}$ and $\Gamma = K_{6,6} 6K_2$.
- (21) $G = Z_{2m} \times Z_4 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ with $m \geq 2, S = \{a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}, a^m b^2\}$ and $\Gamma = Q_3 \times C_m.$
- (22) $G = Z_{6m} = \langle a \rangle$ with m odd and $m \geq 3, S = \{a^2, a^{-2}, a^m, a^{5m}, a^{3m}\}$ and $\Gamma = K_{3,3} \times_c C_m$.
- (23) $G = Z_{6m} \times Z_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ with $m \ge 2, S = \{a, a^{-1}, ba^m, ba^{-m}, ba^{3m}\}$ and $\Gamma = K_{3,3} \times_c C_{2m}$.

We want to show that some of the above mentioned cases satisfy Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 4.1. If Γ is in one of the cases (1)-(23), from the list above, but is not in cases (4), (6) (for m=4), (12)(for m=4), (15), (16)(for m=3), (19), (20) or (21)(for m=4), then Γ is not edge-transitive.

Proof. If $Aut(\Gamma)$ is edge-transitive, then since $Aut(\Gamma)$ is vertex transitive and has odd valency, $Aut(\Gamma)$ must be transitive on arcs. We show that in each case $Aut(\Gamma)$ is not transitive on arcs. In the cases (1) and (3), let $V(K_2) = \{y_1, y_2\}$ and $V(K_{4,4}) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_1^{'}, x_2^{'}, x_3^{'}, x_4^{'}\}$ such that $(x_i, x_j^{'}) \in E(K_{4,4})$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$. There is no automorphism f such that $f([(y_1, x_1), (y_2, x_1)]) = [(y_1, x_1), (y_1, x_1^{'})]$, because the arc $((y_1, x_1), (y_2, x_1))$ lies on four circuits of length 4, but the arc $((y_1, x_1), (y_1, x_1^{'}))$ lies on nine circuits of length 4.

In the cases (2) and (10), let $V(C_m) = \{1, 2, 3, ..., m\}$ and $V(K_4) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$. There is no automorphism f such that $f((2, x_1), (2, x_4)) = ((2, x_1), (3, x_1))$, because if $m \neq 3$, the arc of $((2, x_1), (2, x_4))$ lies on some circuit of length 3, but the arc $((2, x_1), (3, x_1))$ does not lie on any circuit of length 3. If m = 3, the arc $((2, x_1), (3, x_1))$ lies on one circuit of length 3, but the arc $((2, x_1), (2, x_4))$ lies on two circuits of length 3.

In the cases (5) and (11), let $V(K_{3,3}) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_1', x_2', x_3'\}$ and $V(C_m) = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$. We have $(x_i, x_j') \in E(K_{3,3})$, for $1 \le i, j \le 3$, $(k, k+1) \in E(C_m)$, $1 \le k \le m-1$ and $(m,1) \in E(C_m)$. There is no automorphism f such that $f((x_1, 1), (x_1', 1)) = ((x_1, 1), (x_1, 2))$, because if m = 3, the arc $((x_1, 1), (x_1, 2))$ lies on some circuit of length 3, but the arc $((x_1, 1), (x_1', 1))$ does not lie on any circuit of length 3. If m = 4, the arc $((x_1, 1), (x_1, 2))$ lies on four circuits of length 4, but the arc $((x_1, 1), (x_1', 1))$ lies on six circuits of length 4. If m > 4, the arc $((x_1, 1), (x_1, 2))$ lies on three circuits of length 4, but the arc $((x_1, 1), (x_1', 1))$ lies on six circuits of length 4.

In the cases $(6)(m \neq 4), (7), \Gamma = K_2 \times C_m[2K_1]$, suppose that $Aut(\Gamma)$ is edge-transitive. Then since $Aut(\Gamma)$ is vertex-transitive and has odd valency, $Aut(\Gamma)$ must be transitive on arcs, and so for a vertex x, the stabiliser $Aut(\Gamma)_x$ is transitive on 5 vertices adjacent to x. However if m = 3 then the subgraph induced on these 5 vertices is $K_1 \cup C_4$ which is not vertex-transitive. If $m \geq 5$ there is one vertex x' at distance 2 from x that is joined to exactly 4 of the 5 vertices joined to x. $Aut(\Gamma)_x$ fixes the unique vertex joined to x but not joined to x'. This is a contradiction to the fact that $Aut(\Gamma)$ is arc-transitive.

In the case (8), let $V(K_5) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $V(K_2) = \{x_1, x_2\}$. The arc $((1, x_1), (2, x_1))$ lies on some circuit of length 3, but the arc $((1, x_1), (1, x_2))$ does not lie on any circuit of length 3.

In the case (9), let $V(K_{5,5} - 5K_2) = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_5, x_1', x_2', ...x_5'\}$, $V(K_2) = \{y_1, y_2\}$ such that $(x_i, x_j') \in E(K_{5,5} - 5K_2)$ for $i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq 5$. There is no automorphism f such that $f((y_1, x_1), (y_1, x_2')) = ((y_1, x_1), (y_2, x_1))$, because the arc $((y_1, x_1), (y_1, x_2'))$ lies on six circuits of length 4, but the arc $((y_1, x_1), (y_2, x_1))$ lies on four circuits of length 4.

In the cases (12), (21) [for $m \neq 4$], let $V(C_m) = \{0, 1, 2, 3, ..., m-1\}$ and Q_3 contains two circuits C_4 , C_4 respectively with the sets of vertices $V(C_4) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $V(C_4) = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4\}$. In addition, $(x_i, x_i) \in E(Q_3)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. There is no automorphism f such that $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $f((x_1, 0), (x_1, 0)) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$

 $((x_1,0),(x_1,1))$, because if m=3, the arc $((x_1,o),(x_1',0))$ does not lie on any circuit of length 3, but the arc $((x_1,0),(x_1,1))$ lies on some circuits of length 3. If m>4, the arc $((x_1,0),(x_1',0))$ lies on four circuits of length 4, but the arc $((x_1,0),(x_1,1))$ lies on three circuits of length 4.

In the cases (13) and (14), let $V(K_2) = \{x, y\}$ and $V(2K_2) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, and also $E(2K_2)$ contains two edges (1, 2), (3, 4). There is no automorphism f such that f((x, 1), (y, 1)) = ((y, 1), (y, 2)), because the arc ((x, 1), (y, 1)) lies on one circuit of length 3, but the arc ((y, 1), (y, 2)) lies on four circuits of length 3.

In the case (16) for $[m \neq 3]$, let $V(C_m) = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ and $V(K_2) = \{x, y\}$. There is no automorphism f such that f((1, y), (1, x)) = ((1, y), (2, y)), because the arc ((1, y), (1, x)) lies on four circuits of length 3, but the arc ((1, y), (2, y)) lies on two circuits of length 3.

The case (17) is a special case of (16), since $2m \neq 3$.

In the case (18), there is no automorphism f such that $f((x_0, y_2), (x_1, y_2)) = ((x_0, y_2), (x_m, y_2))$, because the arc $((x_0, y_2), (x_1, y_2))$ lies on six circuits of length 4, but the arc $((x_0, y_2), (x_m, y_2))$ lies on two circuits of length 4.

In the cases (22) and (23), let $V(C_m) = \{0, 1, ..., m-1\}, V(K_{3,3}) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_1^{'}, x_2^{'}, x_3^{'}\}$ and also $(x_i, x_j^{'}) \in E(K_{3,3})$ for $1 \le i, j \le 3$. There is no automorphism f such that $f((x_1, 0), (x_1^{'}, 0)) = ((x_1, 0), (x_1, 1))$, because the arc $((x_1, 0), (x_1^{'}, 0))$ lies on six circuits of length 4, but the arc $((x_1, 0), (x_1, 1))$ lies on three circuits of length 4.

Lemma 4.2. If Γ is in one of the cases (4), (6)(for m=4), (12)(for m=4), (15), (16)(for m=3), (19), (20), (21)(for m=4) from the list above, then Γ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.2.

Proof. By using Proposition 2.4, since in a normal edge-transitive Cayley graph all elements of the set S have the same order, so these graphs are not normal edge-transitive. We show that these graphs are edge-transitive.

In the cases (4), (12)(m=4)and (21)(m=4) $\Gamma \simeq K_2 \times Q_4 \simeq C_4 \times Q_3 \simeq Q_5$ and Q_5 is edge transitive.

In the case (6), m=4, $\Gamma = Q_4$, and Q_4 is edge transitive.

In the case (15) we will obtain similarly graph $\Gamma = Q_4$.

In the case (16) for [m=3] we have $\Gamma \simeq K_6$.

The case (19) is obvious and in the case (20), $\Gamma = K_{6,6} - 6K_2$, and we will obtain the same result in graph $K_{6,6}$. Thus we conclude Theorem 1.2 for |S| = 5 by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2.

References

- Y. G. Baik, Y. Q. Feng, H. S. Sim, and M. Y. Xu, On the normality of Cayley graphs of abelian group, Algebra Collog. 5 (1998) 297–304.
- N. Biggs, Algebraic Graph Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.
- Y. G. Baik, Y. Q. Feng, and H. S. Sim, The normality of Cayley graphs of finite abelian groups with Valency 5, System Science and Mathematical Science 13 (2000) 420–431.

- 4. C. Godsil, G. Royle, Algebraic Graph Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- 5. B. D. McKay and C. E. Praeger, Vertex transitive graphs which are not Cayley graphs I', J. Austral, Math, Soc. Ser. A 56 (1994) 53–63.
- 6. C. E. Praeger, Finite Normal Edge-Transitive Cayley Graphs, $Bull.\ Austral.\ Math.\ Soc.\ {\bf 60}\ (1999)\ 207–220.$
- 7. M. Y. Xu, Automorphism groups and isomorphism of Cayley graphs, *Discrete Math.* **182** (1998) 309–319.