

An Extension of Uniqueness Theorems for Meromorphic Mappings

Gerd Dethloff¹ and Tran Van Tan²

¹*Université de Bretagne Occidentale UFR Sciences et Techniques
Département de Mathématiques 6, avenue Le Gorgeu,*

BP 452 29275 Brest Cedex, France

²*Dept. of Math., Hanoi University of Education, 136 Xuan Thuy Road
Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam*

Received February 22, 2005

Revised June 20, 2005

Abstract. In this paper, we give some results on the number of meromorphic mappings of \mathbb{C}^m into $\mathbb{C}P^n$ under a condition on the inverse images of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. At the same time, we give an answer for an open question posed by H. Fujimoto in 1998.

1. Introduction

In 1926, Nevanlinna showed that for two nonconstant meromorphic functions f and g on the complex plane \mathbb{C} , if they have the same inverse images for five distinct values, then $f = g$, and that g is a special type of a linear fractional transformation of f if they have the same inverse images, counted with multiplicities, for four distinct values.

In 1975, Fujimoto [2] generalized Nevanlinna's result to the case of meromorphic mappings of \mathbb{C}^m into $\mathbb{C}P^n$. This problem continued to be studied by Smiley [9], Ji [5] and others.

Let f be a meromorphic mapping of \mathbb{C}^m into $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and H be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ such that $\text{im} f \not\subseteq H$. Denote by $v_{(f,H)}$ the map of \mathbb{C}^m into \mathbb{N}_0 such that $v_{(f,H)}(a)$ ($a \in \mathbb{C}^m$) is the intersection multiplicity of the image of f and H at $f(a)$. Let k be a positive integer or $+\infty$. We set

$$v_{(f,H)}^{(k)}(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v_{(f,H)}(a) > k, \\ v_{(f,H)}(a) & \text{if } v_{(f,H)}(a) \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Let f be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping of \mathbb{C}^m into $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q$ be q hyperplanes in general position with

$$(a) \quad \dim \left\{ z : v_{(f,H_i)}^{(k)}(z) > 0 \text{ and } v_{(f,H_j)}^{(k)}(z) > 0 \right\} \leq m-2 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq q.$$

For each positive integer p , denote by $F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, p)$ the set of all linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mappings g of \mathbb{C}^m into $\mathbb{C}P^n$ such that:

$$(b) \quad \min \{ v_{(g,H_j)}^{(k)}, p \} = \min \{ v_{(f,H_j)}^{(k)}, p \},$$

$$(c) \quad g = f \text{ on } \bigcup_{j=1}^q \{ z : v_{(f,H_j)}^{(k)}(z) > 0 \}.$$

In [5], Ji proved the following

Theorem J. [5] *If $q = 3n+1$ and $k = +\infty$, then for three mappings $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1)$, the mapping $f_1 \times f_2 \times f_3 : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ is algebraically degenerate, namely, $\{(f_1(z), f_2(z), f_3(z)), z \in \mathbb{C}^m\}$ is contained in a proper algebraic subset of $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$.*

In 1929, Cartan declared that there are at most two meromorphic functions on \mathbb{C} which have the same inverse images (ignoring multiplicities) for four distinct values. However in 1988, Steinmetz [10] gave examples which showed that Cartan's declaration is false. On the other hand, in 1998, Fujimoto [4] showed that Cartan's declaration is true if we assume that meromorphic functions on \mathbb{C} share four distinct values counted with multiplicities truncated by 2. He gave the following theorem

Theorem F. [4] *If $q = 3n+1$ and $k = +\infty$ then $F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 2)$ contains at most two mappings.*

He also proposed an open problem asking if the number $q = 3n+1$ in Theorem F can be replaced by a smaller one. Inspired by this question, in this paper we will generalize the above results to the case where the number $q = 3n+1$ is in fact replaced by a smaller one. We also obtain an improvement concerning truncating multiplicities.

Denote by Ψ the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ into $\mathbb{C}P^{n^2+2n}$ which is defined by sending the ordered pair $((w_0, \dots, w_n), (v_0, \dots, v_n))$ to $(\dots, w_i v_j, \dots)$ (in lexicographic order).

Let $h : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ be a meromorphic mapping. Let $(h_0 : \dots : h_{n^2+2n})$ be a representation of $\Psi \circ h$. We say that h is linearly degenerate (with the algebraic structure in $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ given by the Segre embedding) if h_0, \dots, h_{n^2+2n} are linearly dependent over \mathbb{C} .

Our main results are stated as follows:

Theorem 1. *There are at most two distinct mappings in $F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, p)$ in each of the following cases:*

- i) $1 \leq n \leq 3, q = 3n + 1, p = 2$ and $23n \leq k \leq +\infty$
- ii) $4 \leq n \leq 6, q = 3n, p = 2$ and $\frac{(6n-1)n}{n-3} \leq k \leq +\infty$
- iii) $n \geq 7, q = 3n - 1, p = 1$ and $\frac{(6n-4)n}{n-6} \leq k \leq +\infty$

Theorem 2. Assume that $q = \left\lceil \frac{5(n+1)}{2} \right\rceil, (65n + 171)n \leq k \leq +\infty$, where $\lceil x \rceil := \max\{d \in \mathbb{N} : d \leq x\}$ for a positive constant x . Then one of the following assertions holds :

- i) $\#F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1) \leq 2$.
- ii) For any $f_1, f_2 \in F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1)$, the mapping $f_1 \times f_2 : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ is linearly degenerate (with the algebraic structure in $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ given by the Segre embedding).

We finally remark that we obtained similar uniqueness theorems with moving targets in [11], but only with a bigger number of targets and with much bigger truncations.

2. Preliminaries

We set $\|z\| := (|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_m|^2)^{1/2}$ for $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m, B(r) := \{z : \|z\| < r\}, S(r) := \{z : \|z\| = r\}, d^c := \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}(\bar{\partial} - \partial), \omega := (dd^c\|z\|^2)^{m-1}$ and $\omega := d^c \log \|z\|^2 \wedge (dd^c \log \|z\|^2)^{m-1}$.

Let F be a nonzero holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^m . For an m -tuple $\nu := (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m)$ of nonnegative integers, set $|\nu| := \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_m$ and $D^\nu F := \frac{\partial^{|\nu|} F}{\partial z_1^{\nu_1} \dots \partial z_m^{\nu_m}}$. We define the map $v_F : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ by $v_F(z) := \max\{p : D^\nu F(z) = 0 \text{ for all } |\nu| < p\}$. Let k be a positive integer or $+\infty$. Define the map $v_F^{(k)}$ of \mathbb{C}^m into \mathbb{N}_0 by

$$v_F^{(k)}(z) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v_F(z) > k, \\ v_F(z) & \text{if } v_F(z) \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Let η be a nonzero meromorphic function on \mathbb{C}^m . We define the map $v^{(k)}$ as follows. For each $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$, choose nonzero holomorphic functions F and G on a neighborhood U of z such that $\eta = \frac{F}{G}$ on U and $\dim(F^{-1}(0) \cap G^{-1}(0)) \leq m - 2$. Then put $v^{(k)}(z) := v_F^{(k)}(z)$. Set

$$|v^{(k)}| := \overline{\{z : v^{(k)}(z) > 0\}}.$$

Define

$$N^{(k)}(r, \nu) := \int_1^r \frac{n^{(k)}(t)}{t^{2m-1}} dt, \quad (1 < r < +\infty)$$

where

$$n^k(t) := \int_{|v^k| \cap B(t)} v^k \quad \text{for } m \geq 2,$$

and

$$n^k(t) := \sum_{|z| \leq t} v^k(z) \quad \text{for } m = 1.$$

Set $N(r, v) := N^{+\infty}(r, v)$. For l a positive integer or $+\infty$, set

$$N_l^k(r, v) := \int_1^r \frac{n_l^k(t)}{t^{2m-1}} dt, \quad (1 < r < +\infty)$$

where $n_l^k(t) := \int_{|v^k| \cap B(t)} \min\{v^k, l\}$ for $m \geq 2$ and $n_l^k(t) := \sum_{|z| \leq t}$

$\min\{v^k(z), l\}$ for $m = 1$. Set $\bar{N}(r, v) := N_1^{+\infty}(r, v)$ and $\bar{N}^k(r, v) := N_1^k(r, v)$. For a closed subset A of a purely $(m-1)$ -dimensional analytic subset of \mathbb{C}^m , we define

$$\bar{N}(r, A) := \int_1^r \frac{\bar{n}(t)}{t^{2m-1}} dt, \quad (1 < r < +\infty),$$

where

$$\bar{n}(t) := \begin{cases} \int_{A \cap B(t)} & \text{for } m \geq 2, \\ \#(A \cap B(t)) & \text{for } m = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ be a meromorphic mapping. For arbitrarily fixed homogeneous coordinates $(w_0 : \dots : w_n)$ on $\mathbb{C}P^n$, we take a reduced representation $f = (f_0 : \dots : f_n)$, which means that each f_i is a holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^m and $f(z) = (f_0(z) : \dots : f_n(z))$ outside the analytic set $\{f_0 = \dots = f_n = 0\}$ of codimension ≥ 2 .

Set $\|f\| := (|f_0|^2 + \dots + |f_n|^2)^{1/2}$. The characteristic function of f is defined by

$$T_f(r) := \int_{S(r)} \log \|f\| - \int_{S(1)} \log \|f\|, \quad r > 1.$$

For a nonzero meromorphic function on \mathbb{C}^m , the characteristic function $T(r)$ of f is defined by considering f as a meromorphic mapping of \mathbb{C}^m into $\mathbb{C}P^1$.

Let $H = \{a_0 w_0 + \dots + a_n w_n = 0\}$ be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ such that $\text{im } f \not\subseteq H$. Set $(f, H) := a_0 f_0 + \dots + a_n f_n$. We define

$$N_f^k(r, H) := N^k(r, v_{(f,H)}) \quad \text{and} \quad N_{l,f}^k(r, H) := N_l^k(r, v_{(f,H)}).$$

Sometimes we write $\bar{N}_f^k(r, H)$ for $N_{1,f}^k(r, H)$, $N_{l,f}(r, H)$ for $N_{l,f}^{+\infty}(r, H)$ and $N_f(r, H)$ for $N_{+\infty,f}^{+\infty}(r, H)$.

Set $\rho_f(H) := \frac{\|f\|(|a_0|^2 + \dots + |a_n|^2)^{1/2}}{(f, H)}$. We define the proximity function by

$$m_f(r, H) := \int_{S(r)} \log |\rho_f(H)| - \int_{S(1)} \log |\rho_f(H)|.$$

For a nonzero meromorphic function f , the proximity function is defined by

$$m(r, f) := \int_{S(r)} \log^+ |f|.$$

We note that $m(r, f) = m(r, +\infty) + O(1)$ ([4], p. 135).

We state First and Second Main Theorems of Value Distribution Theory.

First Main Theorem. *Let $f : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ be a meromorphic mapping and H a hyperplane in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ such that $\text{im } f \not\subseteq H$. Then*

$$N_f(r, H) + m_f(r, H) = T_f(r).$$

For a nonzero meromorphic function f we have

$$N(r, v_\perp) + m(r, f) = T(r) + O(1).$$

Second Main Theorem. *Let $f : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping and H_1, \dots, H_q be hyperplanes in general position in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Then*

$$(q - n - 1)T_f(r) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q N_{n,f}(r, H_j) + o(T_f(r))$$

except for a set $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ of finite Lebesgue measure.

The following so-called logarithmic derivative lemma plays an essential role in Nevanlinna theory.

Theorem 2.1. ([5], Lemma 3.1) *Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function on \mathbb{C}^m . Then for any i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, we have*

$$m\left(r, \frac{\overline{z_i}}{f}\right) = o(T(r)) \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E,$$

where $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ of finite Lebesgue measure.

Let F, G and H be nonzero meromorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^m . For each l , $1 \leq l \leq m$, we define the Cartan auxiliary function by

$$\Phi^l(F, G, H) := F \cdot G \cdot H \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{F} & \frac{1}{G} & \frac{1}{H} \\ -\frac{1}{z_l}\left(\frac{1}{F}\right) & -\frac{1}{z_l}\left(\frac{1}{G}\right) & -\frac{1}{z_l}\left(\frac{1}{H}\right) \end{vmatrix}.$$

By [4] (Proposition 3.4) we have the following

Theorem 2.2. *Let F, G, H be nonzero meromorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^m . Assume that $\Phi'(F, G, H) \equiv 0$ and $\Phi'\left(\frac{1}{F}, \frac{1}{G}, \frac{1}{H}\right) \equiv 0$ for all $l, 1 \leq l \leq m$. Then one of the following assertions holds*

- i) $F = G$ or $G = H$ or $H = F$.
- ii) $\frac{F}{G}, \frac{G}{H}, \frac{H}{F}$ are all constant.

3. Proof of the Theorems

First of all, we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 1. *Let f_1, \dots, f_d be linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mappings of \mathbb{C}^m into $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q$ be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Then there exists a dense subset $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ such that for any $c = (c_0, \dots, c_n) \in \mathcal{C}$, the hyperplane H_c defined by $c_0 \cdot 0 + \dots + c_n \cdot n = 0$ satisfies*

$$\dim(f_i^{-1}(H_j) \cap f_i^{-1}(H_c)) \leq m - 2 \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, d\} \text{ and } j \in \{1, \dots, q\}.$$

Proof. We refer to [5], Lemma 5.1. ■

Let $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1)$, for $q \geq n + 1$. Set

$$T(r) := T_{f_1}(r) + T_{f_2}(r) + T_{f_3}(r).$$

For each $c \in \mathcal{C}$, set $F_{ic}^j := \frac{(f_i, H_j)}{(f_i, H_c)}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$.

Lemma 2. *Assume that there exist $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, q\}, c \in \mathcal{C}, l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and a closed subset A of a purely $(m - 1)$ -dimensional analytic subset of \mathbb{C}^m satisfying*

- 1) $\Phi_c^l := \Phi^l(F_{1c}^{j_0}, F_{2c}^{j_0}, F_{3c}^{j_0}) \neq 0$, and
- 2) $\min\{v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}^{(k)}, \rho\} = \min\{v_{(f_2, H_{j_0})}^{(k)}, \rho\} = \min\{v_{(f_3, H_{j_0})}^{(k)}, \rho\}$ on $\mathbb{C}^m \setminus A$, where ρ is a positive integer. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + N_{p-1, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) &\leq N(r, v_{j_0}^l) + (p-1)\overline{N}(r, A) \\ &\leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + (p+2)\overline{N}(r, A) + o(T(r)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $l = 1$. For an arbitrary point $a \in \mathbb{C}^m \setminus A$ satisfying $v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}^{(k)}(a) > 0$, we have $v_{(f_i, H_{j_0})}^{(k)}(a) > 0$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We choose a such that $a \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^3 f_i^{-1}(H_c)$. We distinguish two cases, which lead to equations (1) and (2).

Case 1. If $v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}(a) \geq \rho$, then $v_{(f_i, H_{j_0})}(a) \geq \rho$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. This means that a is a zero point of $F_{ic}^{j_0}$ with multiplicity $\geq \rho$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_c^1 &= F_{1c}^{j_0} F_{3c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \right) - F_{1c}^{j_0} F_{2c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}} \right) \\ &+ F_{2c}^{j_0} F_{1c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} \right) - F_{2c}^{j_0} F_{3c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \right) \\ &+ F_{3c}^{j_0} F_{2c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}} \right) - F_{3c}^{j_0} F_{1c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand $F_{1c}^{j_0} F_{3c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \right) = \frac{-F_{1c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} F_{3c}^{j_0}}{F_{3c}^{j_0}}$, so a is a zero point of $F_{1c}^{j_0} F_{3c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \right)$ with multiplicity $\geq \rho - 1$. By applying the same argument also to all other combinations of indices, we see that a is a zero point of Φ_c^1 with multiplicity $\geq \rho - 1$. (1)

Case 2. If $v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}(a) \leq \rho$, then $\rho_0 := v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}(a) = v_{(f_2, H_{j_0})}(a) = v_{(f_3, H_{j_0})}(a) \leq \rho$. There exists a neighborhood U of a such that $v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})} \leq \rho$ on U . Indeed, there exists otherwise a sequence $\{a_s\}_{s=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{C}^m$, with $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} a_s = a$ and $v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}(a_s) \geq \rho + 1$ for all s . By the definition, we have $D(f_1, H_{j_0})(a_s) = 0$ for all $|s| < \rho + 1$. So $D(f_1, H_{j_0})(a) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} D(f_1, H_{j_0})(a_s) = 0$ for all $|s| < \rho + 1$.

Thus $v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}(a) \geq \rho + 1$. This is a contradiction. Hence $v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})} \leq \rho$ on U .

We can choose U such that $U \cap A = \emptyset$, $v_{(f_i, H_{j_0})} \leq \rho$ on U and (f_i, H_c) has no zero point on U for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then $v_{F_{1c}^{j_0}} = v_{F_{2c}^{j_0}} = v_{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \leq \rho$ on U . So $U \cap \{F_{1c}^{j_0} = 0\} = U \cap \{F_{2c}^{j_0} = 0\} = U \cap \{F_{3c}^{j_0} = 0\}$. Choose a such that a is regular point of $U \cap \{F_{1c}^{j_0} = 0\}$. By shrinking U we may assume that there exists a holomorphic function h on U such that dh has no zero point and $F_{ic}^{j_0} = h^{\rho_0} u_i$ on U , where $u_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are nowhere vanishing holomorphic functions on U (note that $v_{F_{1c}^{j_0}}(a) = v_{F_{2c}^{j_0}}(a) = v_{F_{3c}^{j_0}}(a) = \rho_0$). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_c^1 &= u_1 \frac{(u_3 \frac{1}{z_1} u_2 - u_2 \frac{1}{z_1} u_3) h^{\rho_0}}{u_2 u_3} + u_2 \frac{(u_1 \frac{1}{z_1} u_3 - u_3 \frac{1}{z_1} u_1) h^{\rho_0}}{u_3 u_1} \\ &+ u_3 \frac{(u_2 \frac{1}{z_1} u_1 - u_1 \frac{1}{z_1} u_2) h^{\rho_0}}{u_1 u_2}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

a is a zero point of Φ_c^1 with multiplicity $\geq \rho_0$. (2)

By (1), (2) and our choice of a , there exists an analytic set $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ with codimension ≥ 2 such that $v_{\frac{1}{z_1}} \geq \min\{v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}, \rho - 1\}$ on

$$\{z : v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}^{(k)}(z) > 0\} \setminus (M \cup A). \quad (3)$$

For each $j \in \{1, \dots, q\} \setminus \{j_0\}$, let a (depending on j) be an arbitrary point in \mathbb{C}^m such that $v_{(f_1, H_j)}^{(k)}(a) > 0$ (if there exist any). Then $v_{(f_i, H_j)}^{(k)}(a) > 0$

for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, since $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1)$. We can choose $a \notin \widehat{f}_i^{-1}(H_c) \cup \widehat{f}_i^{-1}(H_{j_0})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then there exists a neighborhood U of a such that $v_{(f_i, H_j)} \leq k$ on U and $(f_i, H_{j_0}), (f_i, H_c)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) have no zero point on U . We have $B := \widehat{f}_1^{-1}(H_j) \cap U = \widehat{f}_2^{-1}(H_j) \cap U = \widehat{f}_3^{-1}(H_j) \cap U$ and $\frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} = \frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}} = \frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}}$ on B . Choose a such that a is a regular point of B . By shrinking U , we may assume that there exists a holomorphic function h on U such that dh has no zero point and $U \cap \{h = 0\} = B$. Then $\frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}} - \frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} = h_2$ and $\frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} - \frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} = h_3$ on U where h_2, h_3 are holomorphic functions on U . Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_c^1 &= F_{1c}^{j_0} F_{2c}^{j_0} F_{3c}^{j_0} \left| \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} & h_2 & h_3 \\ -\frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} & 2\frac{1}{z_1}h + h\frac{1}{z_1} & 3\frac{1}{z_1}h + h\frac{1}{z_1} \end{array} \right| \\ &= F_{1c}^{j_0} F_{2c}^{j_0} F_{3c}^{j_0} h^2 \left| \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 3 \\ \frac{1}{z_1} & \frac{1}{z_1} \end{array} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, a is a zero point of Φ_c^1 with multiplicity ≥ 2 . Thus, for each $j \in \{1, \dots, q\} \setminus \{j_0\}$, there exists an analytic set $N \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ with codimension ≥ 2 such that $v_{\frac{1}{c}} \geq 2$ on

$$\{z : v_{(f_1, H_j)}^{(k)}(z) > 0\} \setminus N. \quad (4)$$

By (3) and (4), we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \overline{N}_{\widehat{f}_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + N_{\rho-1, \widehat{f}_1}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) \leq N(r, v_{\frac{1}{c}}) + (p-1)\overline{N}(r, A).$$

Similarly, we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \overline{N}_{\widehat{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + N_{\rho-1, \widehat{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) \leq N(r, v_{\frac{1}{c}}) + (p-1)\overline{N}(r, A), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (5)$$

Let a be an arbitrary zero point of some $F_{ic}^{j_0}$, $a \notin \widehat{f}_i^{-1}(H_c)$, say $i = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_c^1 &= (F_{2c}^{j_0} - F_{3c}^{j_0}) F_{1c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} \right) + (F_{3c}^{j_0} - F_{1c}^{j_0}) F_{2c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}} \right) \\ &\quad + (F_{1c}^{j_0} - F_{2c}^{j_0}) F_{3c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

So we have

$$v_{\frac{1}{\Phi_c^1}}(a) \leq 1 + \max\{v_{\frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}}}(a), i = 2, 3\} \leq 1 + v_{\frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}}}(a) + v_{\frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}}}(a).$$

Furthermore, if $0 < v_{F_{1c}^{j_0}}(a) \leq k$ (and, hence, $v_{(f_1, H_{j_0})}^{(k)}(a) > 0$) and $a \notin A$, then by (3) we may assume that $v_{\frac{1}{\Phi_c^1}}(a) = 0$ (outside an analytic set of codimension ≥ 2).

Let a be an arbitrary pole of all $F_{ic}^{j_0}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. By (6) we have

$$v_{\frac{1}{\Phi_c^1}}(a) \leq \max\{v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}}}(a), i = 1, 2, 3\} + 1 < \sum_{i=1}^3 v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}}}(a) \quad (8)$$

It follows from (6) that a pole of Φ_c^1 is a zero or a pole of some $F_{ic}^{j_0}$. Thus, by (6), (7) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(r, v_{\frac{1}{\Phi_c^1}}\right) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 N\left(r, v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}}}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\overline{N}(r, v_{F_{ic}^{j_0}}) - \overline{N}^{(k)}(r, v_{F_{ic}^{j_0}})\right) + 3\overline{N}(r, A) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 N\left(r, v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}}}\right) + \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^3 N(r, v_{F_{ic}^{j_0}}) + 3\overline{N}(r, A) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 N\left(r, v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}}}\right) + \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^3 T_{F_{ic}^{j_0}}(r) + 3\overline{N}(r, A) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 N\left(r, v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}}}\right) + \frac{1}{k+1} T(r) + 3\overline{N}(r, A) + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_c^1 &= F_{1c}^{j_0} \left[F_{3c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \right) - F_{2c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + F_{2c}^{j_0} \left[F_{1c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} \right) - F_{3c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{3c}^{j_0}} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + F_{3c}^{j_0} \left[F_{2c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{2c}^{j_0}} \right) - F_{1c}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{1c}^{j_0}} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

so $m(r, \Phi_c^1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 m(r, F_{ic}^{j_0}) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^3 m\left(r, F_{ic}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}} \right)\right) + o(1)$. By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$m\left(r, F_{ic}^{j_0} \frac{1}{z_1} \left(\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}} \right)\right) = o(T_{F_{ic}^{j_0}}(r)).$$

Thus, we get

$$m(r, \Phi_c^1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 m(r, F_{ic}^{j_0}) + o(T(r)), \quad (10)$$

(note that $T_{F_{ic}^{j_0}}(r) \leq T_{f_i}(r) + O(1)$).

By (9), (10) and by First Main Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N(r, v_{\frac{1}{c}}) &\leq T_{\frac{1}{c}}(r) + O(1) = N(r, v_{\frac{1}{\Phi_c^1}}) + m(r, \Phi_c^1) + O(1) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(N(r, v_{\frac{1}{F_{ic}^{j_0}}}) + m(r, F_{ic}^{j_0}) \right) + \frac{1}{k+1} T(r) + 3\bar{N}(r, A) + o(T(r)) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 T_{F_{ic}^{j_0}}(r) + \frac{1}{k+1} T(r) + 3\bar{N}(r, A) + o(T(r)) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 T_{f_i}(r) + \frac{1}{k+1} T(r) + 3\bar{N}(r, A) + o(T(r)) \\
&= \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + 3\bar{N}(r, A) + o(T(r)). \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

By (5) and (11) we get Lemma 2. \blacksquare

The following lemma is a version of Second Main Theorem without taking account of multiplicities of order $> k$ in the counting functions.

Lemma 3. *Let f be a linearly nondegenerate meromorphic mapping of \mathbb{C}^m into $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q$ ($q \geq n+2$) be hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ in general position. Take a positive integer k with $\frac{qn}{q-n-1} \leq k \leq +\infty$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
T_f(r) &\leq \frac{k}{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn} \sum_{j=1}^q N_{n,f}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_f(r)) \\
&\leq \frac{nk}{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn} \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}_f^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_f(r))
\end{aligned}$$

for all $r > 1$ except a set E of finite Lebesgue measure.

Proof. By First and Second Main Theorems, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(q-n-1)T_f(r) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^q N_{n,f}(r, H_j) + o(T_f(r)) \\
&\leq \frac{k}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^q N_{n,f}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + \frac{n}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^q N_f(r, H_j) + o(T_f(r)) \\
&\leq \frac{k}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^q N_{n,f}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + \frac{qn}{k+1} T_f(r) + o(T_f(r)), \quad r \notin E,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\left(q-n-1 - \frac{qn}{k+1} \right) T_f(r) \leq \frac{k}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^q N_{n,f}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_f(r)).$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\bar{r}}(r) &\leq \frac{k}{(q-n-1)(k+1)-qn} \sum_{j=1}^q N_{n,\bar{r}}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{\bar{r}}(r)) \\ &\leq \frac{nk}{(q-n-1)(k+1)-qn} \sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{\bar{r}}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{\bar{r}}(r)) \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Assume that there exist three distinct mappings $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, \rho)$. Denote by Q the set which contains all indices $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ satisfying $\Phi^l(F_{1c}^j, F_{2c}^j, F_{3c}^j) \neq 0$ for some $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and some $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. We now prove that

$$\#\{1, \dots, q\} \setminus Q \geq 3n - 1. \quad (12)$$

For the proof of (12) we distinguish three cases:

Case 1. $1 \leq n \leq 3, q = 3n + 1, p = 2, k \geq 23n$. Suppose that (12) does not hold, then $\#Q \geq 3$. For each $j_0 \in Q$, by Lemma 2 (with $A = \emptyset, p = 2$) we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \overline{N}_{\bar{r}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + \overline{N}_{\bar{r}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (13)$$

By (13) and Lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(q - n - 1 - \frac{qn}{k+1}\right) T_{\bar{r}_i}(r) &\leq \frac{nk}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{\bar{r}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{\bar{r}_i}(r)) \\ &\leq \frac{nk(k+2)}{2(k+1)^2} T(r) + \frac{nk}{2(k+1)} \overline{N}_{\bar{r}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) + o(T(r)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\left(q - n - 1 - \frac{qn}{k+1}\right) T(r) \leq \frac{3nk(k+2)}{2(k+1)^2} T(r) + \frac{nk}{2(k+1)} \sum_{i=1}^3 \overline{N}_{\bar{r}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) + o(T(r)),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} &[2(q-n-1)(k+1)^2 - 2qn(k+1) - 3nk(k+2)] T(r) \\ &\leq nk(k+1) \sum_{i=1}^3 \overline{N}_{\bar{r}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) + o(T(r)) = 3nk(k+1) \overline{N}_{\bar{r}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) + o(T(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{r \notin E} \frac{\overline{N}_{\bar{r}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0})}{T(r)} &\geq \frac{2(q-n-1)(k+1)^2 - 2qn(k+1) - 3nk(k+2)}{3nk(k+1)} \\ &= \frac{k^2 - 6nk - 6n + 2}{3k(k+1)}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$A_i := \{r > 1 : T_{\bar{f}_i}(r) = \min\{T_{\bar{f}_1}(r), T_{\bar{f}_2}(r), T_{\bar{f}_3}(r)\}\}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Then $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 = (1, +\infty)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the Lebesgue measure of A_1 is infinite. By (14) we have

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{r \in A_1 \setminus E} \frac{\overline{N}_{\bar{f}_1}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0})}{T_{\bar{f}_1}(r)} \geq \frac{k^2 - 6nk - 6n + 2}{k(k+1)}, \quad j_0 \in Q.$$

Take three distinct indices $j_1, j_2, j_3 \in Q$ (note that $\#Q \geq 3$). Then we have

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{r \in A_1 \setminus E} \frac{\overline{N}_{\bar{f}_1}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_1}) + \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_1}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_2}) + \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_1}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_3})}{T_{\bar{f}_1}(r)} \geq \frac{3(k^2 - 6nk - 6n + 2)}{k(k+1)},$$

which implies that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{r \in A_1 \setminus E} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j)}{T_{\bar{f}_1}(r)} \geq \frac{3(k^2 - 6nk - 6n + 2)}{k(k+1)}. \quad (15)$$

Since $\bar{f}_1 \not\equiv \bar{f}_2$ there exists $c \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\frac{(\bar{f}_1, H_1)}{(\bar{f}_1, H_c)} \not\equiv \frac{(\bar{f}_2, H_1)}{(\bar{f}_2, H_c)}$. Indeed, otherwise by Lemma 1 we have that $\frac{(\bar{f}_1, H_1)}{(\bar{f}_1, H)} \equiv \frac{(\bar{f}_2, H_1)}{(\bar{f}_2, H)}$ for all hyperplanes H in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. In particular $\frac{(\bar{f}_1, H_1)}{(\bar{f}_1, H_j)} \equiv \frac{(\bar{f}_2, H_1)}{(\bar{f}_2, H_j)}$ for all $j = 2, \dots, n+1$. We choose homogeneous coordinates $(\cdot : \dots : \cdot)$ on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with $H_j = \{x_j = 0\}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n+1$) and take reduced representations:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_1 &= (f_{1_1} : \dots : f_{1_{n+1}}), \\ \bar{f}_2 &= (f_{2_1} : \dots : f_{2_{n+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} \frac{f_{1_j}}{f_{1_1}} = \frac{f_{2_j}}{f_{2_1}} \\ (j = 2, \dots, n+1) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \frac{f_{1_1}}{f_{2_1}} = \dots = \frac{f_{1_{n+1}}}{f_{2_{n+1}}}, \quad \text{so } \bar{f}_1 \equiv \bar{f}_2.$$

This is a contradiction.

Since $\dim(\bar{f}_i^{-1}(H_1) \cap \bar{f}_i^{-1}(H_c)) \leq m-2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\frac{(\bar{f}_i, H_1)}{(\bar{f}_i, H_c)}}(r) &= \int_{S(r)} \log(|(\bar{f}_i, H_1)|^2 + |(\bar{f}_i, H_c)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(1) \\ &\leq \int_{S(r)} \log \|\bar{f}_i\| + O(1) = T_{\bar{f}_i}(r) + O(1), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{f}_1 = \bar{f}_2$ on $\bigcup_{j=1}^q \{z : v_{(\bar{f}_1, H_j)}^{(k)}(z) > 0\}$ and

$$\dim \left\{ z : v_{(\bar{f}_1, H_i)}^{(k)}(z) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v_{(\bar{f}_1, H_j)}^{(k)}(z) > 0 \right\} \leq m-2 \quad \text{for all } i \neq j,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j) &\leq N\left(r, v_{\frac{(\bar{f}_1, H_1)}{(\bar{f}_1, H_c)}} - \frac{(\bar{f}_2, H_1)}{(\bar{f}_2, H_c)}\right) \leq T_{\frac{(\bar{f}_1, H_1)}{(\bar{f}_1, H_c)}} - \frac{(\bar{f}_2, H_1)}{(\bar{f}_2, H_c)}(r) + 0(1) \\ &\leq T_{\frac{(\bar{f}_1, H_1)}{(\bar{f}_1, H_c)}}(r) + T_{\frac{(\bar{f}_2, H_1)}{(\bar{f}_2, H_c)}}(r) + 0(1) \leq T_{\bar{f}_1}(r) + T_{\bar{f}_2}(r) + 0(1), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{\bar{f}_1}(r) + T_{\bar{f}_2}(r)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j)} \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(q - n - 1 - \frac{qn}{k+1}\right) T_{\bar{f}_i}(r) &\leq \frac{nk}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{\bar{f}_i}(r)) \\ &= \frac{nk}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{\bar{f}_i}(r)), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{\bar{f}_i}(r)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j)} \leq \frac{nk}{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{\bar{f}_1}(r)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j)} &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{\bar{f}_1}(r) + T_{\bar{f}_2}(r)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j)} - \frac{T_{\bar{f}_2}(r)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j)} \\ &\geq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{\bar{f}_1}(r) + T_{\bar{f}_2}(r)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j)} - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{\bar{f}_2}(r)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j)} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{nk}{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j)}{T_{\bar{f}_1}(r)} &\leq \frac{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn}{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn - nk} \\ &= \frac{2k+1-3n}{k+1-3n}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By (15) and (16) we have

$$\frac{3(k^2 - 6nk - 6n + 2)}{k(k+1)} \leq \frac{2k+1-3n}{k+1-3n}.$$

This contradicts $k \geq 23n$. Thus, we get (12) in this case.

Case 2. $4 \leq n \leq 6$, $q = 3n$, $p = 2$, $k \geq \frac{(6n-1)n}{n-3}$.

Suppose that (12) does not hold, then there exists $j_0 \in Q$. By Lemma 2 (with $A = \emptyset$, $p = 2$) we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{3n} \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3 we have

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{3n} \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{\bar{f}_i}(r)) \geq \frac{(2n-2)(k+1) - (3n-1)n}{nk} T_{\bar{f}_i}(r),$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3n} \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{\bar{f}_i}(r)) \geq \frac{(2n-1)(k+1) - 3n^2}{nk} T_{\bar{f}_i}(r),$$

which implies that

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{3n} \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + \overline{N}_{\bar{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) + o(T_{\bar{f}_i}(r)) \geq \frac{(4n-3)(k+1) - (6n-1)n}{nk} T_{\bar{f}_i}(r).$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{(4n-3)(k+1) - (6n-1)n}{nk} T_{\bar{f}_i}(r) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Consequently, we get

$$\frac{(4n-3)(k+1) - (6n-1)n}{nk} T(r) \leq \frac{3(k+2)}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)),$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} ((4n-3)(k+1) - (6n-1)n) T(r) &\leq \frac{3nk(k+2)}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ &\leq 3n(k+1) T(r) + o(T(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain $k+1 \leq \frac{(6n-1)n}{n-3}$. This is a contradiction. Thus, we get (12) in this case.

Case 3. $n \geq 7$, $q = 3n-1$, $p = 1$, $k \geq \frac{(6n-4)n}{n-6}$.

Suppose that (12) does not hold, then there exists $j_0 \in Q$. By Lemma 2 (with $A = \emptyset, p = 1$) we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{3n-1} \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

(note that $N_{0, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) = 0$). On the other hand, by Lemma 3, we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{3n-1} \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \geq 2 \frac{(2n-3)(k+1) - (3n-2)n}{nk} T_{f_i}(r).$$

Hence, we get

$$\frac{2[(2n-3)(k+1) - (3n-2)n]}{nk} T_{f_i}(r) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)),$$

which implies

$$((4n-6)(k+1) - (6n-4)n) T_{f_i}(r) \leq \frac{nk(k+2)}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((4n-6)(k+1) - (6n-4)n) T(r) &\leq \frac{3nk(k+2)}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ &\leq 3n(k+1) T(r) + o(T(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$(4n-6)(k+1) - (6n-4)n \leq 3n(k+1)$$

implying

$$k+1 \leq \frac{(6n-4)n}{n-6},$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, we get (12) in this case.

So, in any case we have $\#\{1, \dots, q\} \setminus Q \geq 3n-1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $1, \dots, 3n-1 \notin Q$. Then we have

$$\Phi^l(F_{1c}^j, F_{2c}^j, F_{3c}^j) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for all } c \in \mathcal{C}, l \in \{1, \dots, m\}, j \in \{1, \dots, 3n-1\}.$$

On the other hand, \mathcal{C} is dense in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Hence, $\Phi^l(F_{1c}^j, F_{2c}^j, F_{3c}^j) \equiv 0$ for all $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, l \in \{1, \dots, m\}, j \in \{1, \dots, 3n-1\}$. In particular (for $H_c = H_j$) we have

$$\Phi^l \left(\frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_i)}, \frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_i)}, \frac{(f_3, H_j)}{(f_3, H_i)} \right) \equiv 0$$

for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3n-1, l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. (17)

In the following we distinguish the cases $n = 1$ and $n \geq 2$.

Case 1. If $n = 1$, then $a_j := H_j$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are distinct points in $\mathbb{C}P^1$. We have that

$$g_1 := \frac{(f_1, a_1)}{(f_1, a_2)}, \quad g_2 := \frac{(f_2, a_1)}{(f_2, a_2)}, \quad g_3 := \frac{(f_3, a_1)}{(f_3, a_2)}$$

are distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions. By (17) and by Theorem 2.2, there exist constants λ, μ such that

$$g_2 = \lambda g_1, \quad g_3 = \mu g_1, \quad (\lambda, \mu \notin \{1, \infty, 0\}, \lambda \neq \mu). \quad (18)$$

We have $v_{(f_1, a_3)} \geq k + 1$ on $\{z : (f_1, a_3)(z) = 0\}$. Indeed, otherwise there exists z_0 such that $0 < v_{(f_1, a_3)}(z_0) \leq k$. Then $v_{(f_i, a_3)}^{(k)}(z_0) > 0$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We have $(f_1, a_3)(z_0) = (f_2, a_3)(z_0) = 0$ so $f_1(z_0) = f_2(z_0) = a_3^*$, where we denote $a_j^* := (a_{j_1} : -a_{j_0})$ for every point $a_j = (a_{j_0} : a_{j_1}) \in \mathbb{C}P^1$. So $g_1(z_0) = g_2(z_0) = \frac{(a_3^*, a_1)}{(a_3^*, a_2)} \neq 0, \infty$ (note that $a_3 \neq a_1, a_3 \neq a_2$). So, by (18) we have $\lambda = \mu = 1$. This is a contradiction. Thus $v_{(f_1, a_3)} \geq k + 1$ on $\{z : (f_1, a_3)(z) = 0\}$. Similarly, $v_{(f_i, a_j)} \geq k + 1$ on $\{z : (f_i, a_j)(z) = 0\}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, j \in \{3, 4\}$.

Set $b_1 = \frac{(a_3^*, a_1)}{(a_3^*, a_2)}$, $b_2 = -\frac{(a_3^*, a_1)}{(a_3^*, a_2)}$, $b_3 = \frac{(a_3^*, a_1)}{(a_3^*, a_2)}$. Then we have

$$v_{g_2 - b_3} = \frac{v_{(f_2, a_3)(a_1^*, a_2)}}{(f_2, a_2)(a_3^*, a_2)} \geq k + 1 \quad \text{on } \{z : (g_2 - b_3)(z) = 0\},$$

$$v_{g_2 - b_1} = v_{g_1 - \lambda b_1} = \frac{v_{(f_1, a_3)(a_1^*, a_2)}}{(f_1, a_2)(a_3^*, a_2)} \geq k + 1 \quad \text{on } \{z : (g_2 - b_1)(z) = 0\}, \text{ and}$$

$$v_{g_2 - b_2} = v_{g_3 - \mu b_2} = \frac{v_{(f_3, a_3)(a_1^*, a_2)}}{(f_3, a_2)(a_3^*, a_2)} \geq k + 1 \quad \text{on } \{z : (g_2 - b_2)(z) = 0\}.$$

Since the points b_1, b_2, b_3 are distinct, by First and Second Main Theorems, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{g_2}(r) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \overline{N}(r, v_{g_2 - b_j}) + o(T_{g_2}(r)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^3 N(r, v_{g_2 - b_j}) + o(T_{g_2}(r)) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{k+1} T_{g_2}(r) + o(T_{g_2}(r)). \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts $k \geq 23$.

Case 2. If $n \geq 2$, for each $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3n - 1$, by (17) and Theorem 2.2, there exists a constant λ_{ij} such that

$$\frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_i)} = \lambda_{ij} \frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_i)} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{(f_3, H_j)}{(f_3, H_i)} = \lambda_{ij} \frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_i)}$$

or

$$\frac{(f_3, H_j)}{(f_3, H_i)} = \frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_i)}. \quad (19)$$

We now prove that $ij = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3n - 1$. Indeed, if there exists $i_0 j_0 \neq 1$, we may assume without loss of generality that $\frac{(f_2, H_{j_0})}{(f_2, H_{i_0})} = \frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}$. On the other hand $f_1 = f_2$ on $\Omega := \bigcup_{j=1}^q \{z : v_{(f_1, H_j)}^{(k)}(z) > 0\}$. Hence, $(f_1, H_{j_0}) = (f_2, H_{j_0}) = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus f_1^{-1}(H_{i_0})$. So we have

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \leq N\left(r, v_{\frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}}\right) + \left(\overline{N}(r, v_{(f_1, H_{i_0})}) - \overline{N}^{(k)}(r, v_{(f_1, H_{i_0})})\right).$$

Thus, by First and Second Main Theorems, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (q - n - 2)T_{f_1}(r) &\leq \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q N_{n, f_1}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\ &\leq n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q N_{1, f_1}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\ &\leq \frac{nk}{k+1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + \frac{n}{k+1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q N_{f_1}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\ &\leq \frac{nk}{k+1} N\left(r, v_{\frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}}\right) + \frac{nk}{k+1} \left(\overline{N}(r, v_{(f_1, H_{i_0})}) - \overline{N}^{(k)}(r, v_{(f_1, H_{i_0})})\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{(q-1)n}{k+1} T_{f_1}(r) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\ &\leq \frac{nk}{k+1} T_{\frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}}(r) + \frac{nk}{(k+1)^2} N_{f_1}(r, H_{i_0}) + \frac{(q-1)n}{k+1} T_{f_1}(r) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{nk}{k+1} + \frac{nk}{(k+1)^2} + \frac{(q-1)n}{k+1}\right) T_{f_1}(r) + o(T_{f_1}(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $(q - n - 2) \leq \frac{nk}{k+1} + \frac{nk}{(k+1)^2} + \frac{(q-1)n}{k+1} \leq n + \frac{qn}{k}$. This

contradicts any of the following cases:

- i) $2 \leq n \leq 3$, $q = 3n + 1$ and $k \geq 23n$,
- ii) $4 \leq n \leq 6$, $q = 3n$ and $k \geq \frac{(6n-1)n}{n-3}$,
- iii) $n \geq 7$, $q = 3n - 1$ and $k \geq \frac{(6n-4)n}{n-6}$.

Thus $ij = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3n - 1$.

By (19), for $i = 3n - 1, j \in \{1, \dots, 3n - 2\}$, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_{3n-1})} = \frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_{3n-1})}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (20)$$

For $1 \leq s < v \leq 3$, denote by L_{sv} the set of all $j \in \{1, \dots, 3n-2\}$ such that $\frac{(f_s, H_j)}{(f_s, H_{3n-1})} = \frac{(f_v, H_j)}{(f_v, H_{3n-1})}$. By (19) we have $L_{12} \cup L_{23} \cup L_{13} = \{1, \dots, 3n-2\}$. So by Dirichlet principle, one of the three sets contains at least n different indices, which are, without loss of generality, $j = 1, \dots, n$, which proves (20).

We choose homogeneous coordinates $(x_0 : \dots : x_n)$ on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with $H_j = \{x_j = 0\}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$), $H_{3n-1} = \{x_0 = 0\}$ and take reduced representations: $f_1 = (f_{1_0} : \dots : f_{1_n})$, $f_2 = (f_{2_0} : \dots : f_{2_n})$. Then by (20) we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{f_{1_j}}{f_{1_0}} = \frac{f_{2_j}}{f_{2_0}} \\ (j = 1, \dots, n) \end{cases} \quad \text{so} \quad \frac{f_{1_0}}{f_{2_0}} = \dots = \frac{f_{1_n}}{f_{2_n}}, \quad \text{hence} \quad f_1 \equiv f_2.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, for any case we have that f_1, f_2, f_3 can not be distinct. Hence, the proof of Theorem 1 is complete. \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume that $\#F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1) \geq 3$. Take arbitrarily three distinct mappings $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in F_k(\{H_j\}_{j=1}^q, f, 1)$. We have to prove that $f_s \times f_v : \mathbb{C}P^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ is linearly degenerate for all $1 \leq s < v \leq 3$.

Denote by Q the set which contains all indices $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ satisfying $\Phi^j(F_{1c}^j, F_{2c}^j, F_{3c}^j) \neq 0$ for some $c \in \mathcal{C}$. We distinguish two cases n odd and n even.

Case 1. If n is odd, then $q = \frac{5(n+1)}{2}$.

We now prove that $Q = \emptyset$. (21)

Indeed, otherwise there exists $j_0 \in Q$. Then by Lemma 2 (with $A = \emptyset, p = 1$) we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

(note that $N_{0, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_0}) = 0$). On the other hand, by Lemma 3 we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \geq \frac{2[(q-n-2)(k+1) - (q-1)n]}{nk} T_{f_i}(r), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence, we have

$$((2q-2n-4)(k+1) - 2(q-1)n) T_{f_i}(r) \leq \frac{(k+2)nk}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} ((2q-2n-4)(k+1) - 2(q-1)n) T(r) &\leq \frac{3(k+2)nk}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ &\leq 3n(k+1) T(r) + o(T(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$(2q - 2n - 4)(k + 1) - 2(q - 1)n \leq 3n(k + 1)$$

implying

$$k + 1 \leq (5n + 3)n.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, we get (21).

Case 2. If n is even, then $q = \frac{5n+4}{2}$.

We now prove that $\#Q \leq 1$. (22)

Indeed, suppose that this assertion does not hold, then there exist two distinct indices $j_0, j_1 \in Q$. By Lemma 2 (with $A = \emptyset, p = 1$) we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

which implies that, for $i = 1, 2, 3$

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \left(\overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) - \frac{1}{n} N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \right) &\leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ &- \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) - \frac{1}{n} N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \right) &\leq \frac{3(k+2)}{k+1} T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ &- \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \sum_{i=1}^3 N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

By Lemma 3 (with $q = \frac{5n+4}{2}$), we have

$$2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_i}(r)) \geq \frac{3n(k+1) - (5n+2)n}{k} T_{f_i}(r), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \sum_{i=1}^3 N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T(r)) \geq \frac{3n(k+1) - (5n+2)n}{nk} T(r). \quad (24)$$

By (23) and (24) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^q \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) - \frac{1}{n} N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \right) &\leq \frac{(5n+2)n(k+1) - 3n}{nk(k+1)} T(r) + o(T(r)) \\
&\leq \frac{5n+2}{k} T(r) + o(T(r)).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we obtain

$$\overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) - \frac{1}{n} N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, q\}.$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) - \frac{1}{n} N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \right) \\
&\leq \frac{5n+2}{k} T(r) + o(T(r)), \quad j \in \{1, \dots, q\} \setminus \{j_0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_1}) - \frac{1}{n} N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_1}) \right) \leq \frac{5n+2}{k} T(r) + o(T(r)). \quad (25)$$

Set $A_i := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : v_{(f_i, H_{j_1})}(z) = 1\}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have $\overline{A}_i \setminus A_i \subseteq \text{sing } f_i^{-1}(H_{j_1})$. Indeed, otherwise there exists $a \in (\overline{A}_i \setminus A_i) \cap \text{reg } f_i^{-1}(H_{j_1})$. Then $\rho_0 := v_{(f_i, H_{j_1})}(a) \geq 2$. Since a is a regular point of $f_i^{-1}(H_{j_1})$ we can choose nonzero holomorphic functions h and u on a neighborhood U of a such that dh and u have no zeroes and $(f_i, H_{j_1}) \equiv h^{\rho_0} u$ on U . Since $a \in \overline{A}_i$ there exists $b \in A_i \cap U$. Then, we get $1 = v_{(f_i, H_{j_1})}(b) = v_{h^{\rho_0} u}(b) = \rho_0 \geq 2$. This is a contradiction.

Thus, we see that $\overline{A}_i \setminus A_i \subseteq \text{sing } f_i^{-1}(H_{j_1})$.

Set $B := A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$. Then $\overline{B} \setminus B \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^3 \text{sing } f_i^{-1}(H_{j_1})$. This means that $\overline{B} \setminus B$ is included in an analytic set of codimension ≥ 2 . So we have

$$(n-1)\overline{N}(r, \overline{B}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 (n\overline{N}_{f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_1}) - N_{n, f_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_1})).$$

By (25) we have

$$\overline{N}(r, \overline{B}) \leq \frac{(5n+2)n}{(n-1)k} T(r) + o(T(r)),$$

where we note that $n \geq 2$, since n is even. It is clear that

$$\min\{v_{(f_1, H_{j_1})}^{(k)}, 2\} = \min\{v_{(f_2, H_{j_1})}^{(k)}, 2\} = \min\{v_{(f_3, H_{j_1})}^{(k)}, 2\} \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^m \setminus \overline{B} (\subseteq \mathbb{C}^m \setminus B).$$

By Lemma 2 (with $A = \overline{B}$, $p = 2$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_1}^q \overline{N}_{\overline{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + \overline{N}_{\overline{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_1}) &\leq \frac{k+2}{k+1} T(r) + 4\overline{N}(r, \overline{B}) + o(T(r)) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{k+2}{k+1} + \frac{4(5n+2)n}{(n-1)k} \right) T(r) + o(T(r)) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

(note that $j_1 \in Q$). By Lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_1}^q \overline{N}_{\overline{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{\overline{f}_i}(r)) &\geq \frac{(q-n-2)(k+1) - (q-1)n}{nk} T_{\overline{f}_i}(r), \text{ and} \\ \sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{\overline{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + o(T_{\overline{f}_i}(r)) &\geq \frac{(q-n-1)(k+1) - qn}{nk} T_{\overline{f}_i}(r). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_1}^q \overline{N}_{\overline{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + \overline{N}_{\overline{f}_i}^{(k)}(r, H_{j_1}) + o(T_{\overline{f}_i}(r)) \\ &\geq \frac{(2q-2n-3)(k+1) - (2q-1)n}{nk} T_{\overline{f}_i}(r) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

By (26) and (27) we have

$$\frac{(2q-2n-3)(k+1) - (2q-1)n}{nk} T_{\overline{f}_i}(r) \leq \left(\frac{k+2}{k+1} + \frac{4(5n+2)n}{(n-1)k} \right) T(r) + o(T(r)),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} ((3n+1)(k+1) - (5n+3)n) T(r) &\leq \left(\frac{3nk(k+2)}{k+1} + \frac{12(5n+2)n^2}{(n-1)} \right) T(r) + o(T(r)) \\ &\leq (3n(k+1) + \frac{12(5n+2)n^2}{(n-1)}) T(r) + o(T(r)), \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$k+1 \leq (5n+3)n + \frac{12(5n+2)n^2}{(n-1)}.$$

This contradicts $k \geq (65n+171)n$, $n \geq 2$. Hence, we have $\#Q \leq 1$. So we get (22).

By (21) and (22) we have $\#\{1, \dots, q\} \setminus Q \geq q-1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $1, \dots, q-1 \notin Q$. For any $j \in \{1, \dots, q-1\}$ we have

$$\Phi^l(F_{1c}^j, F_{2c}^j, F_{3c}^j) \equiv 0 \text{ for all } c \in \mathcal{C}, l \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

On the other hand, \mathcal{C} is dense in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Hence, we get that $\Phi^l(F_{1c}^j, F_{2c}^j, F_{3c}^j) \equiv 0$ for all $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$, $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, q-1\}$. In particular (for $H_c = H_j$), we get

$$\Phi' \left(\frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_i)}, \frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_i)}, \frac{(f_3, H_j)}{(f_3, H_i)} \right) \equiv 0$$

for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq q-1$, $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

For each $1 \leq i \neq j \leq q-1$, by Theorem 2.2, there exists a constant i_j such that

$$\frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_i)} = i_j \frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_i)} \text{ or } \frac{(f_3, H_j)}{(f_3, H_i)} = i_j \frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_i)} \text{ or } \frac{(f_3, H_j)}{(f_3, H_i)} = i_j \frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_i)}.$$

We now prove that

$$i_j = 1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq q-1. \quad (28)$$

Indeed, if there exists $i_{0j_0} \neq 1$, we may assume without loss of generality that $\frac{(f_2, H_{j_0})}{(f_2, H_{i_0})} = i_{0j_0} \frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}$. On the other hand, we have $f_1 = f_2$ on $D := \bigcup_{j=1}^q \{z : v_{(f_1, H_j)}^{(k)} > 0\}$. Hence, we get $(f_1, H_{j_0}) = (f_2, H_{j_0}) = 0$ on $D \setminus f_1^{-1}(H_{i_0})$. So we have

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j) \leq N \left(r, v_{\frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}} \right) + \left(\overline{N}(r, v_{(f_1, H_{i_0})}) - \overline{N}^{(k)}(r, v_{(f_1, H_{i_0})}) \right).$$

Thus, by First and Second Main Theorems, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (q-n-2)T_{f_1}(r) &\leq \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q N_{n, f_1}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\ &\leq n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q N_{1, f_1}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\ &\leq \frac{nk}{k+1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q \overline{N}_{f_1}^{(k)}(r, H_j) + \frac{n}{k+1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i_0}^q N_{f_1}(r, H_j) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\ &\leq \frac{nk}{k+1} N \left(r, v_{\frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}} \right) + \frac{nk}{k+1} \left(\overline{N}(r, v_{(f_1, H_{i_0})}) - \overline{N}^{(k)}(r, v_{(f_1, H_{i_0})}) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{(q-1)n}{k+1} T_{f_1}(r) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\ &\leq \frac{nk}{k+1} T_{\frac{(f_1, H_{j_0})}{(f_1, H_{i_0})}}(r) + \frac{nk}{(k+1)^2} N_{f_1}(r, H_{i_0}) + \frac{(q-1)n}{k+1} T_{f_1}(r) + o(T_{f_1}(r)) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{nk}{k+1} + \frac{nk}{(k+1)^2} + \frac{(q-1)n}{k+1} \right) T_{f_1}(r) + o(T_{f_1}(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $(q-n-2) \leq \frac{nk}{k+1} + \frac{nk}{(k+1)^2} + \frac{(q-1)n}{k+1} \leq n + \frac{nq}{k}$.

This contradicts $q = \left\lceil \frac{5(n+1)}{2} \right\rceil, k \geq (65n + 171)n$. Thus, we get that $i_j = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq q-1$.

For $1 \leq s < v \leq 3$, denote by L_{sv} the set of all $j \in \{1, \dots, q-2\}$ such that $\frac{(f_s, H_j)}{(f_s, H_{q-1})} = \frac{(f_v, H_j)}{(f_v, H_{q-1})}$. By (28), we have $L_{12} \cup L_{23} \cup L_{13} = \{1, \dots, q-2\}$.

If there exists some $L_{sv} = \emptyset$, we may assume without loss of generality that $L_{13} = \emptyset$. Then $L_{12} \cup L_{23} = \{1, \dots, q-2\}$. Since $q = \left\lceil \frac{5(n+1)}{2} \right\rceil$ we have $\#L_{12} \geq n$ or $\#L_{23} \geq n$. We may assume that $\#L_{12} \geq n$, and furthermore $1, \dots, n \in L_{12}$. Then $\frac{(f_1, H_j)}{(f_1, H_{q-1})} = \frac{(f_2, H_j)}{(f_2, H_{q-1})}$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, so $f_1 \equiv f_2$ (as in the proof of Theorem 1). This is a contradiction.

Thus, we have $L_{sv} \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq s < v \leq 3$. Then for any $1 \leq s < v \leq 3$, there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, q-2\}$ such that $\frac{(f_s, H_j)}{(f_s, H_{q-1})} = \frac{(f_v, H_j)}{(f_v, H_{q-1})}$. Hence, we finally get that $f_s \times f_v : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ is linearly degenerate. We thus have completed the proof of Theorem 2. ■

Acknowledgements. The second author would like to thank Professor Do Duc Thai for valuable discussions, the Université de Bretagne Occidentale for its hospitality and support, and the PICS-CNRS For MathVietnam for its support.

References

1. H. Cartan, Un nouveau théorème d'unicité relatif aux fonctions méromorphes, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **188** (1929) 301–330.
2. H. Fujimoto, The uniqueness problem of meromorphic maps into the complex projective space, *Nagoya Math. J.* **58** (1975) 1–23.
3. H. Fujimoto, Nonintegrated defect relation for meromorphic maps of complete Kähler manifolds into $P^{N_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times P^{N_k}(\mathbb{C})$, *Japan. J. Math.* **11** (1985) 233–264.
4. H. Fujimoto, Uniqueness problem with truncated multiplicities in value distribution theory, *Nagoya Math. J.* **152** (1998) 131–152.
5. S. Ji, Uniqueness problem without multiplicities in value distribution theory, *Pacific J. Math.* **135** (1988) 323–348.
6. R. Nevanlinna, Einige Eindeutigkeitssätze in der Theorie der meromorphen Funktionen, *Acta. Math.* **48** (1926) 367–391.
7. J. Noguchi and T. Ochiai, *Geometric Function Theory in Several Complex Variables*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **80** American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990.
8. B. Shiffman, *Introduction to the Carlson-Gri ths Equidistribution Theory*, Lecture Note in Math. Vol. 981, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
9. L. Smiley, Geometric conditions for unicity of holomorphic curves, *Contemp. Math.* **25** (1983) 149–154.
10. N. Steinmetz, A uniqueness theorem for three meromorphic functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.* **13** (1988) 93–110.

11. G. Dethloff and Tran Van Tan, Uniqueness problem for meromorphic mappings with truncated multiplicities and moving targets, *Nagoya Math. J.* (to appear).