Stability of Associated Primes
of Monomial Ideals[*]

Lê Tuân Hoa

Institute of Mathematics, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet Road, 10307 Hanoi, Vietnam

Dedicated to Professor Do Long Van on the occasion of his 65th birthday

Abstract. Let I be a monomial ideal of a polynomial ring R. In this paper we determine a number B such that $\operatorname{Ass}(I^n/I^{n+1}) = \operatorname{Ass}(I^B/I^{B+1})$ for all $n \geq B$.

1. Introduction

Let I be an ideal of a Noetherian ring R. It is a well-known result of Brodmann [1] that the sequences $\{\operatorname{Ass}(R/I^n)\}_{n\geq 1}$ and $\{\operatorname{Ass}(I^n/I^{n+1})\}_{n\geq 1}$ stabilize for large n. That is, there are positive numbers A and B such that $\operatorname{Ass}(R/I^n) = \operatorname{Ass}(R/I^A)$ for all $n \geq A$ and $\operatorname{Ass}(I^n/I^{n+1}) = \operatorname{Ass}(I^B/I^{B+1})$ for all $n \geq B$. Very little is known about the numbers A and B. One of the difficulties in estimating these numbers is that neither of the above sequences is monotonic; see [6] and also [5] for monomial ideals. In an earlier paper of McAdam and Eakin [6] and a recent paper of Sharp [9] there are some information about the behavior of these sequences. Moreover, for specific prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ one can decide in terms of the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of the associated graded ring of I when $\mathfrak{p}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Ass}(R/I^n)$ (see [9, Theorem 2.10]). For a very restricted

---

class of ideals the numbers A and B can be rather small (see [7]).

The aim of this paper is to find an explicit value for A and B for a monomial ideal I in a polynomial ring $R = K[t_1, ..., t_r]$ over a field. A special case was studied in [2], when I is generated by products of two different variables. Such an ideal is associated to a graph. The result looks nice: the number A can be taken as the number of variables (see [2, Proposition 4.2, Lemma 3.1, Corollary 2.2]). However the approach of [2] cannot be applied for arbitrary monomial ideals.

It is interesting to note that in our situation we can take $A = B$, since $\mathrm{Ass}\,(R/I^n) = \mathrm{Ass}\,(I^{n-1}/I^n)$ (see [12, Proposition 5]). In this paper, it is more convenient for us to work with $\mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1})$ (and hence with the number B). Let $\mathfrak{m} = (t_1, ..., t_r)$. Then one can reduce the problem of finding B to finding a number B' such that $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1})$ for all $n \geq B'$ or $\mathfrak{m} \notin \mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1})$ for all $n \geq B'$ (see Lemma 3.1). From this observation we have to study the vanishing (or non-vanishing) of the local cohomology module $H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(I^n/I^{n+1})$. The main technique to do that is to describe these sets as graded components of certain modules over toric rings raised from systems of linear constraints. Then we have to bound the degrees of generators of these modules, and also to bound certain invariants related to the Catelnuovo-Mumford regularity. The number B found in Theorem 3.1 depends on the number of variables r, the number of generators s and the maximal degree d of generators of I. This number is very big. However there are examples showing that such a number B should also involve d and r (see Examples 3.1 and 3.2).

The paper is divided into two sections. The first one is of preparatory character. There we will give a bound for the degrees of generators of a module raised from integer solutions of a system of linear constraints. Section 3 is devoted to determining the number B. First we will find a number from which the sequence $\{\mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1})\}_{n \geq 1}$ is decreasing (see Proposition 3.2). Then we will have to bound a number related to the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of the associated graded ring of I (Proposition 3.3) in order to use a result of [6] on the increasing property of this sequence. The main result of the paper is Theorem 3.1. This section will be ended with two examples which show how big B should be.

I would like to end this introduction with the remark that by a different method, Trung [12] is able to solve similar problems for the integral closures of powers of a monomial ideal.

2. Integer Solutions of Linear Constraints

Let S be the set of integer solutions of the following system of linear constraints

$$\begin{cases} a_{i1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{ie}x_e \geq 0, \ i = 1, ..., s, \\ x_1 \geq 0, ..., x_e \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is a fundamental fact in integer programming that the semigroup ring $K[S]$ is a finitely generated subring of $K[x_1, ..., x_e]$. An algebraic proof can be found in [10, Sec. 1.3]. What we need is an "effective" version of

this result. To this end we will consider an element of S as a point in the space $\mathbb{R}^e$. For a vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, ..., v_e) \in \mathbb{R}^e$, put

$$\|\mathbf{v}\| = \sqrt{v_1^2 + \cdots + v_e^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{v}\|_* = \max\{|v_1|, ..., |v_e|\}.$$

The proof of the following lemma and Lemma 2.2 is similar to that of [8, Theorem 17.1]. For convenience of the readers we give here the detail.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $\mathbf{a}_j = (a_{1j}, ..., a_{sj})^T \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ *denote the coefficient column of* x_i *in* (1). *Assume that* $\|\mathbf{a}_1\| \geq \cdots \geq \|\mathbf{a}_e\| > 0$. *Then* $K[S]$ *is generated by monomials* $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} := x_1^{v_1} \cdots x_e^{v_e}$ *such that*

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_* < e\|\mathbf{a}_1\| \cdots \|\mathbf{a}_{e-1}\| \leq e\|\mathbf{a}_1\| \cdots \|\mathbf{a}_e\|.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of all real solutions of (1). It is a polyhedral convex set in $\mathbb{R}^e$. By Minkovski's Theorem (see [8, Corollary 7.1a]), one can write

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}_+\mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{R}_+\mathbf{u}_k,$$

where $\mathbf{u}_1, ..., \mathbf{u}_k \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathbb{R}_+$ is the set of nonnegative numbers. Here we choose k the smallest possible. Then $\mathbb{R}_+\mathbf{u}_1, ..., \mathbb{R}_+\mathbf{u}_k$ are extreme rays. Each extreme ray is an intersection of $e - 1$ independent hyperplanes appeared in (1). Hence, we may without loss of generality assume that $\mathbf{u}_p$, $1 \leq p \leq k$, is a nonzero solution of a linear subsystem of the type

$$\begin{cases} b_{i1}x_1 + \cdots + b_{iq}x_q = -b_{i,q+1}x_{q+1}, & i = 1, ..., q, \\ x_{q+2} = \cdots = x_e = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $q \leq \min\{e - 1, s\}$, the matrix on the left-hand side is invertible, and each column vector $\mathbf{b}_j$ is a subvector of $\mathbf{a}_j$. By Cramer's rule we may choose $\mathbf{u}_p$ the integer solution:

$$\mathbf{u}_p = (D_1, ..., D_q, D_{q+1}, 0, ..., 0),$$

where $D_1, ..., D_{q+1}$ are determinants of the linear system consisting of the first q equations of (2). Note that if $\mathbf{c}_1, ..., \mathbf{c}_q \in \mathbb{R}^q$ are column vectors, then

$$\text{Det } (\mathbf{c}_1, ..., \mathbf{c}_q) \leq \|\mathbf{c}_1\| \cdots \|\mathbf{c}_q\|. \quad (3)$$

Hence

$$\|\mathbf{u}_p\|_* \leq \max_i \|\mathbf{b}_1\| \cdots \|\mathbf{b}_{i-1}\| \|\mathbf{b}_{i+1}\| \cdots \|\mathbf{b}_{q+1}\| \leq \|\mathbf{a}_1\| \cdots \|\mathbf{a}_q\| \leq \|\mathbf{a}_1\| \cdots \|\mathbf{a}_{e-1}\|.$$

From now on we assume that all elements $\mathbf{u}_1, ..., \mathbf{u}_k$ are integer points chosen in the above way. In particular they belong to S. Let $\mathbf{v} \in S$ be an arbitrary element. Since $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}$, by Caratheodory's theorem (see [8, Corollary 7.1i)]), one can find $\{i_1, ..., i_q\} \subseteq \{1, ..., k\}$, $q \leq e$ and numbers $\alpha_{i_1}, ..., \alpha_{i_q} \geq 0$, such that

$$\mathbf{v} = \alpha_{i_1}\mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_q}\mathbf{u}_{i_q}.$$

For a real number α, let $[\alpha]$ denote the largest integer not exceeding α. Let

$$\mathbf{u} = [\alpha_{i_1}]\mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \cdots + [\alpha_{i_q}]\mathbf{u}_{i_q},$$

and

$$\mathbf{w} = (\alpha_{i_1} - [\alpha_{i_1}])\mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \cdots + (\alpha_{i_q} - [\alpha_{i_q}])\mathbf{u}_{i_q}.$$

We have $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{N}^e$. However $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{N}^e = S$. Since $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}$, this means that the following set generates S:

$$\{\mathbf{u}_1, ..., \mathbf{u}_k\} \cup \{\alpha_{i_1}\mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_q}\mathbf{u}_{i_q} \in \mathbb{N}^e| \ q \leq e, \ 0 \leq \alpha_{i_j} < 1, \ 1 \leq i_1, ..., i_q \leq k\}.$$

For each vector $\mathbf{v} = \alpha_{i_1}\mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_q}\mathbf{u}_{i_q}$ in the second subset of the above union we have

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_* < q \max_{j=1,...,k} \|\mathbf{u}_j\|_* \leq e \max_{j=1,...,k} \|\mathbf{u}_j\|_* \leq e\|\mathbf{a}_1\| \cdots \|\mathbf{a}_{e-1}\|.$$

Hence the assertion holds true. ∎

The following simple example shows that the above result is essentially optimal.

Example 2.1. Consider the system of constraints

$$\begin{cases} dx_1 - x_2 & \geq 0, \\ \cdots \\ dx_{e-1} - x_e & \geq 0, \\ x_1 \geq 0, ..., x_e & \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

The corresponding polyhedral convex set has an extreme ray $\mathbb{R}_+\mathbf{u}$, where $\mathbf{u} = (1, d, ..., d^{e-1})$. Clearly, $\mathbf{u}$ is a minimal generator of S.

We now consider the set E of integer solutions of the following system of linear constraints:

$$\begin{cases} a_{i1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{ie}x_e \geq b_i, \ (i = 1, ..., s), \\ x_1 \geq 0, ..., x_e \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where $a_{ij}, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $S + E \subseteq E$, $K[E]$ is a module over $K[S]$. For simplicity, sometimes we also say that E is a S-module.

Lemma 2.2. *Keep the notation of Lemma* 2.1. *Let* $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, ..., b_s)^T \in \mathbb{Z}^s$. *Then the module* $K[E]$ *is generated over* $K[S]$ *by monomials* $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{v}$ *such that*

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_* < (e + \|\mathbf{b}\|)\|\mathbf{a}_1\| \cdots \|\mathbf{a}_e\|.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}'$ be the set of all real solutions of (4). Then $\mathcal{C}'$ is also a polyhedral convex set. By Minkovski's theorem one can write

$$\mathcal{C}' = \{\lambda_1\mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k\mathbf{u}_k + \mu_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \mu_l\mathbf{v}_l| \ \lambda_i, \mu_j \geq 0, \ \sum \mu_j = 1\},$$

where $\mathbf{u}_1, ..., \mathbf{u}_k$ are defined in the proof of the previous lemma, and $\mathbf{v}_1, ..., \mathbf{v}_l$ are extreme points. These extreme points are solutions of e independent affine

hyperplanes appeared in (4). By a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 2.1 we get that

$$\|\mathbf{v}_j\|_* \leq \|\mathbf{b}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{a}_1\| \cdots \|\mathbf{a}_{e-1}\|,$$

and that the set

$$\{\lambda_{i_1}\mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \cdots + \lambda_{i_q}\mathbf{u}_{i_q} + \mu_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \mu_l\mathbf{v}_l \in \mathbb{N}^e | q \leq e, \ 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_q \leq k,$$
$$0 \leq \lambda_{i_j} < 1, \ \mu_j \geq 0, \ \sum \mu_j = 1\}$$

generates the module E over S. All these elements have the $*$-norms less than

$$e \max_i \|\mathbf{u}_i\|_* + \max_j \|\mathbf{v}_j\|_* \leq (e + \|\mathbf{b}\|)\|\mathbf{a}_1\| \cdots \|\mathbf{a}_e\|,$$

which proves the assertion. ∎

Remark 2.1. In the sequel, by abuse of terminology, if

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_e x_e,$$

is a linear functional, then we say that $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ is a homogeneous linear constraint, while $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \geq b$ is a linear constraint.

3. Stability of $\mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1})$

We always assume that I is a non-zero monomial ideal of a polynomial ring $R = K[t_1, ..., t_r]$. If $r \geq 2$, then for a positive integer $j \leq r$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, ..., a_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ we set

$$\mathbf{a}[j] = (a_1, ..., a_{j-1}, a_{j+1}, ..., a_r).$$

Thus the monomial $\mathbf{t^{a[j]}}$ is obtained from $\mathbf{t^a}$ by setting $t_j = 1$. Let $I[j]$ be the ideal generated by all monomials $\mathbf{t^{a[j]}}$ such that $\mathbf{t^a} \in I$. Note that $\mathbf{t^{a_1[j]}}, ..., \mathbf{t^{a_s[j]}}$ generate $I[j]$ provided $\{\mathbf{t^{a_1}}, ..., \mathbf{t^{a_s}}\}$ is a generating system of I. Hence for all n we have

$$I^n[j] = I[j]^n.$$

The following observation is simple but useful. It comes from the fact that any associated prime of a monomial ideal is generated by a subset of variables.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $\mathfrak{m} = (t_1, ..., t_r)$ *and* $r \geq 2$. *Then for all* $n \geq 1$ *we have*

$$\mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1}) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\} = \cup_{i=1}^r \mathrm{Ass}\,(I[i]^n/I[i]^{n+1}).$$

Proof. It immediately follows from [12, Lemma 11 and Proposition 4]. Another way is to modify the proof of Lemma 11 in [12]. ∎

Using this lemma, by the induction on the number of variables, it is clear that in order to study the stability of $\mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1})$ we have to find a number n_0 such that $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1})$ for all $n \geq n_0$, or vice-versa, $\mathfrak{m} \notin \mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1})$

for all $n \geq n_0$. Note that $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1})$ if and only if the local cohomology module $H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(I^n/I^{n+1}) \neq 0$. Let

$$G = \oplus_{n \geq 0} I^n/I^{n+1}$$

denote the associated graded ring of I. Then $H^0_{\mathfrak{m}G}(G)$ is a graded G-module. Moreover, as a submodule of G, it is a finitely generated module. We have

Lemma 3.2. *For $r \geq 2$, $H^0_{\mathfrak{m}G}(G)_{n-1} \cong H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(I^{n-1}/I^n) \cong \frac{I^{n-1} \cap I[1]^n \cap \cdots \cap I[r]^n}{I^n}$.*

Proof. The first isomorphism is well-known (see, e.g., [3, Lemma 2.1] for a proof), while the second one follows from the fact

$$I^n : (x_1, ..., x_r)^\infty = \cap_{i=1}^r (I^n : x_i^\infty) = \cap_{i=1}^r I[i]^n.$$

Here we denote $I : J^\infty = \cup_{m=1}^\infty I : J^m$.   ∎

The first isomorphism of the above lemma allows us to study $H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(I^n/I^{n+1})$, $n \geq 0$, in the total. Our preliminary task is to bound the degree of generators of the module $H^0_{\mathfrak{m}G}(G)$. Let

$$J = I[1]^n \cap \cdots \cap I[r]^n.$$

We will try to associate the set of monomials in $J \cap I^{n-1}$ to the set of integer solutions of a system of linear constraints, so that we can use the results of Sec. 2. Our technique is based on the following remarks which will be used several times. Note that this technique was used in Sec. 7 of [4].

Remark 3.1.
 (i) An intersection of monomial ideals and a quotient of two monomial ideals are again monomial ideals.
 (ii) A monomial ideal is entirely defined by the set of its monomials. If $I_1 \subset I_2$ are monomial ideals, then the number of monomials in $I_2 \setminus I_1$ is equal to the dimension of the K-vector space I_2/I_1.
(iii) Assume that the monomials $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_1}, ..., \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_s}$ generate the ideal I. Then a monomial $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{b}} \in I^n$ if and only if there are nonnegative integers $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{s-1}$, such that $n \geq \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{s-1}$ and $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{b}}$ is divisible by

$$(\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_1})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_{s-1}})^{\alpha_{s-1}} (\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_s})^{n - \alpha_1 - \cdots - \alpha_{s-1}}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$b_j \geq a_{1j}\alpha_1 + \cdots + a_{(s-1)j}\alpha_{s-1} + a_{sj}(n - \alpha_1 - \cdots - \alpha_{s-1}),$$

for all $j = 1, ..., r$, where $\mathbf{a}_i = (a_{i1}, ..., a_{ir})$.

From now on assume that I is minimally generated by the monomials $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_1}, ..., \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_s}$. Note that if I is generated by powers of variables, i. e. $I = (t_{i_1}^{a_1}, ..., t_{i_p}^{a_p})$, then

$$\mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1}) = \{(t_{i_1}, ..., t_{i_p})\}$$

for all $n > 0$. Therefore, in the whole paper we may assume that

($\sharp$) $\mathbf{a}_s$ contains at least two non-zero components.

This will simplify our calculation.

Consider the following system of linear constraints

$$
\begin{cases}
y_j \geq a_{1j}x_1 + \cdots + a_{(s-1)j}x_{s-1} + a_{sj}(z - x_1 - \cdots - x_{s-1} - 1), \ (j = 1, ..., r), \\
z \geq x_1 + \cdots + x_{s-1} + 1, \\
y_j \geq a_{1j}x_{i1} + \cdots + a_{(s-1)j}x_{i(s-1)} + a_{sj}(z - x_{i1} - \cdots - x_{i(s-1)}), \\
(i, j = 1, ..., r; \ j \neq i), \\
z \geq x_{i1} + \cdots + x_{i(s-1)}, \ (i = 1, ..., r), \\
z \geq 0; \ y_1 \geq 0, ..., y_r \geq 0; \ x_1 \geq 0, ..., x_{s-1} \geq 0; \ x_{11} \geq 0, ..., x_{r(s-1)} \geq 0.
\end{cases}
$$
$$(5)$$

For short, we set

$$\mathbf{u} = (u_0, ..., u_{rs+s-1}) = (z, y_1, ..., y_r, x_1, ..., x_{s-1}, x_{11}, ..., x_{r(s-1)}).$$

By Remark 3.1, a monomial $\mathbf{t^b} \in J \cap I^{n-1}$ if and only if the system (5) has an integer solution $\mathbf{u}^*$ such that $u_0^* = n$, $u_1^* = b_1, ..., u_r^* = b_r$.

The corresponding system of homogeneous linear constraints is

$$
\begin{cases}
y_j \geq a_{1j}x_1 + \cdots + a_{(s-1)j}x_{s-1} + a_{sj}(z - x_1 - \cdots - x_{s-1}), \ (j = 1, ..., r), \\
z \geq x_1 + \cdots + x_s, \\
y_j \geq a_{1j}x_{i1} + \cdots + a_{(s-1)j}x_{i(s-1)} + a_{sj}(z - x_{i1} - \cdots - x_{i(s-1)}), \\
(i, j = 1, ..., r; \ j \neq i), \\
z \geq x_{i1} + \cdots + x_{i(s-1)}, \ (i = 1, ..., r), \\
z \geq 0; \ y_1 \geq 0, ..., y_r \geq 0; \ x_1 \geq 0, ..., x_{s-1} \geq 0; \ x_{11} \geq 0, ..., x_{r(s-1)} \geq 0.
\end{cases}
$$
$$(6)$$

An integer solution $(n, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x})$ of this system gives a monomial $\mathbf{t^b} \in J \cap I^n = I^n$. Denote the sets of all integer solutions of (5) and (6) by E and S, respectively. Then $K[S], K[E] \subseteq K[\mathbf{u}]$ and $K[E]$ is a $K[S]$-module. Equip $K[S]$ and $K[E]$ with an $\mathbb{N}$-grading by setting

$$\deg(\mathbf{u^c}) = c_0.$$

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal of $K[S]$ generated by all binomials $\mathbf{u}^{\underline{\alpha}} - \mathbf{u}^{\underline{\beta}}$, such that $\alpha_0 = \beta_0, ..., \alpha_r = \beta_r$.

Lemma 3.3. *There is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{N}$-graded rings*

$$K[S]/\mathcal{I} \cong \mathcal{R} := \oplus_{n \geq 0} I^n t^n.$$

Proof. The above discussion shows that there is an epimorphism of $\mathbb{N}$-graded rings:
$$K[S] \to \mathcal{R},$$
$$\mathbf{u^c} \mapsto t_1^{c_1} \cdots t_r^{c_r} t^{c_0}.$$

The kernel of this map is exactly $\mathcal{I}$. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.1 in [11], or we can argue directly as follows. By Lemma 2.1, $K[S]$ is generated by a finite number of monomials, say $\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{c}_1}, ..., \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{c}_p}$. Consider the polynomial ring $K[\mathbf{v}]$ of p new variables $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, ..., v_p)$. By [11], Lemma 4.1, the kernel of the epimorphism

$$\psi: \ K[\mathbf{v}] \to K[S], \quad \psi(v_i) = \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{c}_i},$$

is the ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ generated by binomials $\mathbf{v}^{\underline{\alpha}} - \mathbf{v}^{\underline{\beta}}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i \mathbf{c}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_i \mathbf{c}_i$. Such an ideal is called *toric ideal* associated to the matrix $\mathcal{A} := \{\mathbf{c}_1, ..., \mathbf{c}_p\}$. Let $\mathbf{c}_i' = (c_{i0}, ..., c_{ir})$ and $\mathcal{A}' := \{\mathbf{c}_1', ..., \mathbf{c}_p'\}$. Again by [11], Lemma 4.1, the kernel of the epimorphism

$$\chi: \ K[\mathbf{v}] \to \mathcal{R}, \quad \mathbf{v}_i \mapsto t_1^{c_{i1}} \cdots t_r^{c_{ir}} t^{c_{i0}},$$

is $I_{\mathcal{A}'}$. Clearly $I_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq I_{\mathcal{A}'}$, $\psi(I_{\mathcal{A}'}) = \mathcal{I}$. Hence χ induces an isomorphism

$$\varphi: \ K[S] \to \mathcal{R},$$

such that $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi = \mathcal{I}$ and $\varphi(\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{c}_i}) = \chi(v_i)$. This implies

$$\varphi(\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{c}}) = t_1^{c_1} \cdots t_r^{c_r} t^{c_0}$$

for all $\mathbf{c} \in S$.   ∎

By this isomorphism, we can consider the quotient module $K[E]/\mathcal{I}K[E]$ as a module over $\mathcal{R}$. Of course, $H^0_{\mathfrak{m}G}(G)$ can be considered as a module over $\mathcal{R}$, too.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $r \geq 2$. Then there is an epimorphism of $\mathbb{N}$-graded modules over $\mathcal{R}$*

$$K[E]/\mathcal{I}K[E] \to \oplus_{n \geq 1} \frac{J \cap I^{n-1}}{I^n} t^n = H^0_{\mathfrak{m}G}(G).$$

Proof. The set $M = \oplus_{n \geq 1}(J \cap I^{n-1})t^n$ is a module over $\mathcal{R}$ and contains the ideal $I\mathcal{R}$. The isomorphism φ in the proof of Lemma 3.3 induces a homomorphism

$$K[E]/\mathcal{I}K[E] \to M,$$
$$\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{c}} \mapsto t_1^{c_1} \cdots t_r^{c_r} t^{c_0},$$

which is clearly surjective. Since $H^0_{\mathfrak{m}G}(G) \cong M/I\mathcal{R}$, it is an image of $K[E]/\mathcal{I}K[E]$.
                                                                              ∎

Proposition 3.1. *Let $r \geq 2$ and d be the maximal degree of the generators of I, i.e. $d = \max_i(a_{i1} + \cdots + a_{ir})$. Then the $\mathcal{R}$-module $H^0_{\mathfrak{m}G}(G)$ is generated by homogeneous elements of degrees less than*

$$B_1 := d(rs + s + d)(\sqrt{r})^{r+1}(\sqrt{2}d)^{(r+1)(s-1)}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, it suffices to show that $K[E]$ is generated over $K[S]$ by monomials of degrees less than B_1. The system (5) has $rs + s$ variables. Denote

by $\delta(x)$ the vector obtained from the coefficient vector of a variable x by deleting already known zero entries. For simplicity we write it in the row form. Then

$$\delta(x_{ik}) = (a_{k1} - a_{s1}, ..., a_{k(i-1)} - a_{s(i-1)}, a_{k(i+1)} - a_{s(i+1)}, ..., a_{kr} - a_{sr}, -1).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\delta(x_{ik})\|^2 &\leq 1 + (a_{k1} - a_{s1})^2 + \cdots + (a_{kr} - a_{sr})^2 \\
&\leq 1 + (a_{k1}^2 + \cdots + a_{kr}^2) + (a_{s1}^2 + \cdots + a_{sr}^2) \\
&\leq 1 + (a_{k1} + \cdots + a_{kr})^2 + (a_{s1} + \cdots + a_{sr})^2 - 2\sum_{i<j} a_{si}a_{sj} \\
&< 2d^2 \quad \text{(by the condition ($\sharp$))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\delta(x_i)\|^2 < 2d^2.$$

For all $j = 1, .., r$, $\delta(y_j) = (1, ..., 1)$ (r entries 1). Hence

$$\|\delta(y_j)\|^2 = r.$$

Further,

$$\delta(z) = (\mathbf{a}_s, \mathbf{a}_s[1], ..., \mathbf{a}_s[r], 1, ..., 1) \quad (r+1 \text{ entries 1}).$$

This yields

$$\|\delta(z)\|^2 = r(a_{s1}^2 + \cdots + a_{sr}^2) + r + 1 < rd^2.$$

For the free coefficients of (5) we have $\delta = (a_{s1}, ..., a_{sr}, 1)$. So

$$\|\delta\|^2 < d^2.$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 we get that $K[E]$ is generated over $K[S]$ by monomials $\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{c}}$ with

$$\|\mathbf{c}\|_* < (rs + s + d)\sqrt{r}d(\sqrt{2}d)^{(r+1)(s-1)}\sqrt{r}^r = B_1.$$

Since $\deg(\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{c}}) = c_0 \leq \|\mathbf{c}\|_* < B_1$, the proof of the proposition is complete. ∎

Proposition 3.2. *Keep the notation of Proposition* 3.1. *Let* $n \geq B_1$ *be an integer. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1}) \supseteq \mathrm{Ass}\,(I^{n+1}/I^{n+2}).$$

Proof. Induction on the number of variables r. The case $r = 1$ is trivial. Let $r \geq 2$. By the induction hypothesis we have

$$\cup_{i=1}^r \mathrm{Ass}\,(I[i]^n/I[i]^{n+1}) \supseteq \cup_{i=1}^r \mathrm{Ass}\,(I[i]^{n+1}/I[i]^{n+2}).$$

If $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1})$, then the above inclusion together with Lemma 3.1 obviously give the assertion. Let $\mathfrak{m} \notin \mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1})$. Then $H_{\mathfrak{m}G}^0(G)_n = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(I^n/I^{n+1}) = 0$. Since the module $H_{\mathfrak{m}G}^0(G)$ is generated by elements of degrees less than B_1 over the standard graded ring $\mathcal{R}$ and $n \geq B_1$, we must have $H_{\mathfrak{m}G}^0(G)_{n+1} = 0$.

This implies $\mathfrak{m} \notin \mathrm{Ass}\,(I^{n+1}/I^{n+2})$. Hence, we have by Lemma 3.1

$$\mathrm{Ass}\,(\frac{I^{n+1}}{I^{n+2}}) = \mathrm{Ass}\,(\frac{I^{n+1}}{I^{n+2}}) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$$

$$= \cup_{i=1}^{r}\mathrm{Ass}\,(\frac{I[i]^{n+1}}{I[i]^{n+2}}) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^{r}\mathrm{Ass}\,(\frac{I[i]^{n}}{I[i]^{n+1}}) \subseteq \mathrm{Ass}\,(\frac{I^{n}}{I^{n+1}}). \qquad \blacksquare$$

In order to get the reverse inclusion we use a result of McAdam and Eakin (see [6, pp. 71, 72] and also [9, Proposition 2.4]). Let

$$\mathcal{R}_+ = \oplus_{n>0}I^n t^n.$$

The local cohomology module $H^0_{\mathcal{R}_+}(G)$ is also a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathcal{R}$-module. Let

$$a_0(G) = \sup\{n|\ H^0_{\mathcal{R}_+}(G)_n \neq 0\}.$$

(This number is to be taken as $-\infty$ if $H^0_{\mathcal{R}_+}(G) = 0$.) It is related to an important invariant called the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of G (see, e.g., [9]). We have

Lemma 3.5. ([6, Proposition 2.4]) $Ass\,(I^n/I^{n+1}) \subseteq Ass\,(I^{n+1}/I^{n+2})$ *for all* $n > a_0(G)$.

To define $H^0_{\mathcal{R}_+}(G)$, let us recall the Ratliff–Rush closure of an ideal:

$$\widetilde{I^n} = \cup_{m\geq 1}I^{n+m} : I^m.$$

This immediately gives

Lemma 3.6. *For all* $n > 0$ *we have* $H^0_{\mathcal{R}_+}(G)_{n-1} \cong (\widetilde{I^n} \cap I^{n-1})/I^n$.

Recall that $I = (\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_1}, ..., \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_s})$.

Lemma 3.7. *For all* $n > 0$ *we have*

$$\widetilde{I^n} = \cup_{m\geq 0}I^{n+m} : (\mathbf{t}^{m\mathbf{a}_1}, ..., \mathbf{t}^{m\mathbf{a}_s}).$$

Proof. Since $\mathbf{t}^{m\mathbf{a}_i} \in I^m$, the inclusion $\subseteq$ is obvious. To show the inclusion $\supseteq$, let

$$x \in I^{n+m} : (\mathbf{t}^{m\mathbf{a}_1}, ..., \mathbf{t}^{m\mathbf{a}_s}).$$

Put $m' = sm$ and let y be an arbitrary element in $I^{m'}$. Then $y = (\mathbf{t}^{m\mathbf{a}_i})y'$ for some i and $y' \in I^{m'-m}$. We have

$$xy = y'(x\mathbf{t}^{m\mathbf{a}_i}) \in y'I^{n+m} \subseteq I^{n+m'}.$$

This implies

$$x \in I^{n+m'} : I^{m'} \subseteq \widetilde{I^n}. \qquad \blacksquare$$

Proposition 3.3. *We have*

$$a_0(G) < B_2 := s(s+r)^4 s^{r+2} d^2 (2d^2)^{s^2-s+1}.$$

Proof. Consider the following system of linear constraints

$$\begin{cases} y_j + a_{ij}x \geq a_{1j}x_{i1} + \cdots + a_{(s-1)j}x_{i(s-1)} + a_{sj}(z + x - x_{i1} - \cdots - x_{i(s-1)}), \\ z + x \geq x_{i1} + \cdots + x_{i(s-1)}, \\ (i = 1, ..., s; \ j = 1, ..., r), \\ z \geq 0, \ x \geq 0; \ y_1 \geq 0, ..., y_r \geq 0; \ x_{11} \geq 0, ..., x_{s(s-1)} \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

$$(7)$$

By Lemma 3.7 and Remark 3.1 we have $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{b}} \in \widetilde{I^n}$ if and only if there is an integer solution

$$\mathbf{u} := (u_0, ..., u_{s(s-1)+r+1}) := (z, x, y_1, ..., y_r, x_{11}, ..., x_{s(s-1)})$$

of (7) such that $z = n$ and $\mathbf{b} = (u_2, ..., u_{r+1})$. This system has $s(s-1) + r + 2$ variables. Using the notation in the proof of Proposition 3.1, a straightforward calculation gives

$$\|\delta(x_{ij})\|^2 < 2d^2, \ \|\delta(y_k)\|^2 = s, \ \|\delta(z)\|^2 < sd^2, \ \|\delta(x)\|^2 < 2sd^2.$$

Let S be the set of all integer solutions of (7). By Lemma 2.1, the ring $K[S]$ is generated by monomials, say $\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{c}_1}, ..., \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{c}_p}$, with

$$\|\mathbf{c}_j\|_* < (s(s-1)+r+2)(\sqrt{2}d)^{s(s-1)}\sqrt{s}d\sqrt{2s}d\sqrt{s}^r$$
$$< [(s+r)^2 d(\sqrt{2}d)^{s^2-s+1}\sqrt{s}^{r+2}] - 1 =: B_3.$$

Fix such a generator $\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{c}_j}$ of $K[S]$. Let

$$\mathbf{c}'_j = (c_{j2}, ..., c_{j(r+1)}).$$

Then from (7) we have

$$(\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_i})^{c_{j1}}\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{c}'_j} \in I^{c_{j0}+c_{j1}}.$$

Since $c_{j1} < B_3$, this implies that

$$(\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_i})^{B_3}\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{c}'_j} \in I^{c_{j0}+B_3},$$

for all $i \leq s$. Let

$$B_4 = sB_3.$$

Since

$$I^{sB_3} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_i})^{B_3} I^{(s-1)B_3},$$

from the above relationship we get

$$I^{B_4}\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{c}'_j} \subseteq I^{c_{j0}+B_4}. \tag{8}$$

We will show that $\widetilde{I^n} = I^n$ for all $n \geq B_4(B_3 + 1)$. For this aim, let $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{b}} \in \widetilde{I^n}$

with
$$n \geq B_4(B_3 + 1).$$

Then there are $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_{11}, ..., \alpha_{s(s-1)})$, such that $(n, \alpha, \mathbf{b}, \underline{\alpha}) \in S$. Since $\mathbf{c}_1, ..., \mathbf{c}_p$ generate S, there are nonnegative integers $m_1, ..., m_p$ such that

$$(n, \alpha, \mathbf{b}, \underline{\alpha}) = m_1 \mathbf{c}_1 + \cdots + m_p \mathbf{c}_p.$$

This implies
$$m_1 c_{10} + \cdots + m_p c_{p0} = n,$$

and
$$\mathbf{t^b} = (\mathbf{t^{c_1'}})^{m_1} \cdots (\mathbf{t^{c_p'}})^{m_p}.$$

Repeated application of (8) gives
$$(\mathbf{t^{a_i}})^{B_4} \mathbf{t^b} \in I^{n+B_4},$$

for all $i \leq s$. In other words,
$$\mathbf{t^b} \in I^{n+B_4} : (\mathbf{t}^{B_4 \mathbf{a}_1}, ..., \mathbf{t}^{B_4 \mathbf{a}_s}).$$

Hence there is $\underline{\beta} = (\beta_{ij}) \in \mathbb{N}^{s(s-1)}$ such that $(n, B_4, \mathbf{b}, \underline{\beta}) \in S$. Then one can write

$$(n, B_4, \mathbf{b}, \underline{\beta}) = \sum_{c_{i1}=0} m_i' \mathbf{c}_i + \sum_{c_{i1}>0} m_i'' \mathbf{c}_i, \tag{9}$$

for some $m_i', m_i'' \in \mathbb{N}$. We have
$$n = \sum_{c_{i1}=0} m_i' c_{i0} + \sum_{c_{i1}>0} m_i'' c_{i0}.$$

Comparing the second components in (9) gives
$$\sum m_i'' \leq \sum m_i'' c_{i1} = B_4.$$

Since $c_{i0} \leq \|\mathbf{c}_i\|_* \leq B_3$, we must have

$$\sum m_i' c_{i0} = n - \sum_{c_{i1}>0} m_i'' c_{i0} \geq n - B_3 \sum m_i'' \geq B_4(B_3+1) - B_3 B_4 = B_4.$$

In particular, the set of $\mathbf{c}_i$ with $c_{i1} = 0$ in (9) is not empty. From (7) one can see that for such an index i we have $\mathbf{t^{c_i'}} \in I^{c_{i0}}$. Therefore, by (9) one obtains
$$\mathbf{t^b} = \mathbf{t^{b'}} \mathbf{t^{b''}},$$

where
$$\mathbf{t^{b'}} \in I^{\sum m_i' c_{i0}} \subseteq I^{B_4},$$

and
$$\mathbf{t^{b''}} = \prod_{c_{i1}>0} (\mathbf{t^{c_i'}})^{m_i''}.$$

Repeated application of (8) once more gives us $\mathbf{t^b} \in I^n$. Thus we have shown

$$\widetilde{I^n} = I^n.$$

Since

$$B_4(B_3+1) = sB_3(B_3+1) < s[(s+r)^2 d(\sqrt{2}d)^{s^2-s+1}\sqrt{s}^{r+2}]^2 \le B_2,$$

from Lemma 3.6 we get $a_0(G) < B_2$. ∎

Finally we can prove

Theorem 3.1. *Let*

$$B = \max\{d(rs+s+d)(\sqrt{r})^{r+1}(\sqrt{2}d)^{(r+1)(s-1)}, \ s(s+r)^4 s^{r+2} d^2 (2d^2)^{s^2-s+1}\}.$$

Then we have

$$\mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1}) = \mathrm{Ass}\,(I^B/I^{B+1})$$

for all $n \ge B$.

Proof. Note that $B = \max\{B_1, B_2\}$. By Proposition 3.2, $\mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1}) \subseteq \mathrm{Ass}\,(I^B/I^{B+1})$. By Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.3, $\mathrm{Ass}\,(I^n/I^{n+1}) \supseteq \mathrm{Ass}\,(I^B/I^{B+1})$. ∎

The number B in the above theorem is very big. However the following examples show that such a number B should depend on d and r.

Example 3.1. Let $d \ge 4$ and

$$I = (x^d, x^{d-1}y, xy^{d-1}, y^d, x^2y^{d-2}z) \subset K[x, y, z].$$

A monomial ideal of this type was used in the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [5]. We have

$$I^n : (x, y, z)^\infty = (x^d, x^{d-1}y, xy^{d-1}, y^d, x^2y^{d-2})^n = I^n$$

if and only if $n \ge k - 2$. Hence

$$\mathrm{Ass}\,(I^{n-1}/I^n) = \begin{cases} \{(x, y, z), (x, y)\} & \text{if } n < d - 2, \\ \{(x, y)\} & \text{if } n \ge d - 2. \end{cases}$$

Example 3.2. Let $r \ge 4$ and $d > r - 3$. We put

$$u = t_1^{\binom{r-3}{0}} t_2^{\binom{r-3}{1}} \cdots t_{r-3}^{\binom{r-4}{0}} \text{ and } v = t_1^{\beta_1} \cdots t_{r-3}^{\beta_{r-4}} t_{r-2}^{d-r+2},$$

where

$$\beta_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r - 3 - i \text{ is even}, \\ 2\binom{r-3}{i} & \text{if } r - 3 - i \text{ is odd}. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$I = (ut_1^d, ut_2^{d-1}t_r, ..., ut_{r-2}^{d-r+3}t_r^{r-3}, ut_{r-1}t_r^{d-1}, vt_r^{r-3}),$$

and J be the integral closure $\overline{I^r}$ of I^r. Assume that

$$\mathrm{Ass}\,(J^{n-1}/J^n) = \mathrm{Ass}\,(J^{B-1}/I^B)$$

for all $n \geq B$. Then

$$B \geq \frac{d(d-1)\cdots(d-r+3)}{r(r-3)}.$$

Note that in this example J is generated by monomials of degree $r(d+2^{r-3}-1)$. Thus, if r is fixed, then B is at least $O(d(J)^{r-2})$, where $d(J)$ is the maximal degree of the generators of J.

Proof. By [13, Corollary 7.60], J is a normal ideal. Using the filtration

$$J^n = \overline{I^{rn}} \subset \overline{I^{rn-1}} \subset \cdots \subset \overline{I^{r(n-1)}} = J^{n-1},$$

we get

$$\mathrm{Ass}\,\big(\overline{I^{rn-1}}/\overline{I^{rn}}\big) \subseteq \mathrm{Ass}\,(J^{n-1}/J^n) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^r \mathrm{Ass}\,\big(\overline{I^{r(n-1)+i-1}}/\overline{I^{r(n-1)+i}}\big).$$

By virtue of [12, Proposition 4], it is shown in the proof of [12, Proposition 16], that

$$\mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{Ass}\,\big(\overline{I^{k-1}}/\overline{I^k}\big) \quad \text{for all } \ k \gg 0,$$

and

$$\mathfrak{m} \notin \mathrm{Ass}\,\big(\overline{I^{k-1}}/\overline{I^k}\big) \ \text{ if } \ k < \delta := \frac{d(d-1)\cdots(d-r+3)}{(r-3)}.$$

Hence $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{Ass}\,(J^{n-1}/J^n)$ for all $n \gg 0$. Assume that

$$B < \frac{d(d-1)\cdots(d-r+3)}{r(r-3)} = \delta/r.$$

Then $Br < \delta$, and hence $\mathfrak{m} \notin \mathrm{Ass}\,\big(\overline{I^{r(B-1)+i-1}}/\overline{I^{r(B-1)+i}}\big)$ for all $i \leq r$. This implies $\mathfrak{m} \notin \mathrm{Ass}\,(J^{B-1}/J^B)$, a contradiction. ∎

References

1. M. Brodmann, Asymptotic stability of $\mathrm{Ass}\,(M/I^n M)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74** (1979) 16–18.
2. J. Chen, S. Morey, and A. Sung, The stable set of associated primes of the ideal of a graph, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **32** (2002) 71–89.
3. D. Cutkosky, J. Herzog, and N. V. Trung, Asymptotic behavior of the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity, *Compositio Math.* **118** (1999) 243–261.
4. J. B. Fields, Lengths of Tors determined by killing powers of ideals in a local ring, *J. Algebra* **247** (2002) 104–133.
5. J. Herzog and T. Hibi, The depth of powers of an ideal, *J. Algebra* **291** (2005) 534–550.
6. S. McAdam and P. Eakin, The asymptotic Ass, *J. Algebra* **61** (1979) 71–81.
7. S. Morey, Stability of associated primes and equality of ordinary and symbolic powers of ideals, *Comm. Algebra* **27** (1999) 3221–3231.
8. A. Schrijver, *Theory of Linear and Integer Programming,* John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1986.

9. R. Y. Sharp, Convergence of sequences of sets of associated primes, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (2003) 3009–3017.

10. R. P. Stanley, Combinatorics and commutative algebra, 2nd Edition, Progress in Mathematics, 41. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.

11. B. Sturmfels, Gröbner bases and convex polytopes, University Lecture Series, 8, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

12. T. N. Trung, Stability of associated primes of integral closures of monomial ideals, *Preprint Institute of Mathematics Hanoi*, 2006.

13. W. Vasconcelos, *Integral Closure, Rees Algebras, Multiplicities, Algorithms*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.