Vietnam Journal of MATHEMATICS © VAST 2006

# Integral Closures of Monomial Ideals and Fulkersonian Hypergraphs

## Ngo Viet Trung

Institute of Mathematics, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet Road, 10307 Hanoi, Vietnam

Dedicated to Professor Do Long Van on the occasion of his 65<sup>th</sup> birthday

Received June 22, 2005

**Abstract.** We prove that the integral closures of the powers of a squarefree monomial ideal I equal the symbolic powers if and only if I is the edge ideal of a Fulkersonian hypergraph.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13B22, 05C65. *Keywork:* Monomial ideal, Fulkersonian hypergraph.

## 1. Introduction

Let V be a finite set. A hypergraph  $\Delta$  on V is a family of subsets of V. The elements of V and  $\Delta$  are called the vertices and the edges of  $\Delta$ , respectively. We call  $\Delta$  a simple hypergraph if there are no inclusions between the edges of  $\Delta$ .

Assume that  $V = \{1, ..., n\}$  and let  $R = K[x_1, ..., x_n]$  be a polynomial ring over a field K. The edge ideal  $I(\Delta)$  of  $\Delta$  in R is the ideal generated by all monomials of the form  $\prod_{i \in F} x_i$  with  $F \in \Delta$ . By this way we obtain an one-to-one correspondence between simple hypergraphs and squarefree monomials.

It is showed [6] (and implicitly in [4]) that the symbolic powers of  $I(\Delta)$  coincide with the ordinary powers of  $I(\Delta)$  if and only if  $\Delta$  is a Mengerian hypergraph, which is defined by a min-max equation in Integer Linear Programming. A natural generalization of the Mengerian hypergraph is the Fulkersonian hypergraph which is defined by the integrality of the blocking polyhedron. Mengerian and Fulkersonian hypergraphs belong to a variety of hypergraphs which generalize bipartite graphs and trees in Graph Theory [1,2]. They frequently arise in the polyhedral approach of combinatorial optimization problems.

490 Ngo Viet Trung

The aim of this note is to show that the symbolic powers of  $I(\Delta)$  coincide with the integral closure of the ordinary powers of  $I(\Delta)$  if and only if  $\Delta$  is a Fulkersonian hypergraph. We will follow the approach of [5, 6] which describes the symbolic powers of squarefree monomials by means of the vertex covers of hypergraphs. This approach will be presented in Sec. 1. The above characterization of the integral closure of the ordinary powers of squarefree monomials ideals will be proved in Sec. 2.

### 2. Vertex Covers and Symbolic Powers

Let  $\Delta$  be a simple hypergraph on  $V = \{1, ..., n\}$ . For every edge  $F \in \Delta$  we denote by  $P_F$  the ideal  $(x_i | i \in F)$  in the polynomial ring  $R = K[x_1, ..., x_n]$ . Let

$$I^*(\Delta) := \bigcap_{F \in \Delta} P_F.$$

Then  $I^*(\Delta)$  is a squarefree monomial ideal in R. It is clear that every squarefree monomial ideal can be viewed as an ideal of the form  $I^*(\Delta)$ .

A subset C of V is called a vertex cover of  $\Delta$  if it meets every edge. Let  $\Delta^*$  denote the hypergraph of the minimal vertex covers of  $\Delta$ . This hypergraph is known under the name transversal [1] or blocker [2]. It is well-known that  $I^*(\Delta) = I(\Delta^*)$ . For this reason we call  $I^*(\Delta)$  the vertex cover ideal of  $\Delta$ .

Viewing a squarefree monomial ideal I as the vertex cover ideal of a hypergraph is suited for the study of the symbolic powers of I. If  $I = I^*(\Delta)$ , then the k-th symbolic power of I is the ideal

$$I^{(k)} = \bigcap_{F \in \Delta} P_F^k.$$

The monomials of  $I^{(k)}$  can be described by means of  $\Delta$  as follows [5].

Let  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, ..., c_n)$  be an arbitrary integral vector in  $\mathbb{N}^n$ . We may think of  $\mathbf{c}$  as a multiset consisting of  $c_i$  copies of i for i=1,...,n. Thus, a subset  $C\subseteq V$  corresponds to an (0,1)-vector  $\mathbf{c}$  with  $c_i=1$  if  $i\in C$  and  $c_i=0$  if  $i\not\in C$ , and C is a vertex cover of  $\Delta$  if  $\sum_{i\in F}c_i\geq 1$  for all  $F\in \Delta$ . For this reason, we call  $\mathbf{c}$  a vertex cover of order k of  $\Delta$  if  $\sum_{i\in F}c_i\geq k$  for all  $F\in \Delta$ . Let  $x^{\mathbf{c}}$  denote the monomial  $x_1^{c_1}\cdots x_n^{c_n}$ . It is obvious that  $x^{\mathbf{c}}\in P_F$  if and only if  $\sum_{i\in F}c_i\geq k$ . Therefore,  $x^{\mathbf{c}}\in I^{(k)}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{c}$  is a vertex cover of order k. In particular,  $x^{\mathbf{c}}\in I$  if and only if  $\mathbf{c}$  is a vertex cover of order 1.

Let  $F_1, ..., F_m$  be the edges of  $\Delta$ . We may think of  $\Delta$  as an  $n \times m$  matrix  $M = (e_{ij})$  with  $e_{ij} = 1$  if  $i \in F_j$  and  $e_{ij} = 0$  if  $i \notin F_j$ . One calls M the incidence matrix of  $\Delta$ . Since the columns of M are the integral vectors of  $F_1, ..., F_m$ , an integral vector  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{N}^n$  is a vertex cover of order k of  $\Delta$  if and only if  $M^T \cdot \mathbf{c} \geq k\mathbf{1}$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  denote the vector (1, ..., 1) of  $\mathbb{N}^m$ .

By the above characterization of monomials of symbolic powers we have  $I^{(k)} = I^k$  if every vertex cover **c** of order k can be decomposed as a sum of k vertex cover of order 1 of  $\Delta$ .

Every integral vector  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{N}^r$  is a vertex cover of some order  $k \geq 0$ . The minimum order of  $\mathbf{c}$  is the number  $o(\mathbf{c}) := \min\{\sum_{i \in F} c_i | F \in \Delta\}$ . Let  $\sigma(\mathbf{c})$ 

denote the maximum number k such that  $\mathbf{c}$  can be decomposed as a sum of k vertex cover of order 1. Then  $I^{(k)} = I^k$  for all  $k \geq 1$  if and only if  $o(\mathbf{c}) = \tau(\mathbf{c})$  for every integral vector  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{N}^r$ .

Using the incidence matrix of the hypergraph of minimal vertex covers one can characterize the numbers  $o(\mathbf{c})$  and  $\tau(\mathbf{c})$  as follows.

**Lemma 2.1.** [6, Lemma 1.3] Let M be the incidence matrix of the hypergraph  $\Delta^*$  of the minimal vertex covers of  $\Delta$ . Then

- (i)  $o(\mathbf{c}) = \min\{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c} | \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n, M^T \cdot \mathbf{a} \ge \mathbf{1}\},\$
- (ii)  $\sigma(\mathbf{c}) = \max\{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{1} | \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^m, M \cdot \mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{c}\}.$

Let M now be the incidence matrix of a hypergraph  $\Delta$ . One calls  $\Delta$  a Mengerian hypergraph [1,2] (or having the max-flow min-cut property [4]) if

$$\min\{\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{c}|\ \mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{N}^n,\ M^T\cdot\mathbf{a}\geq\mathbf{1}\}=\max\{\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{1}|\ \mathbf{b}\in\mathbb{N}^m,\ M\cdot\mathbf{b}\leq\mathbf{c}\}.$$

Since  $I(\Delta) = I^*(\Delta^*)$ , switching the role of  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta^*$  in the above observations we immediately obtain the following criterion for the equality of ordinary and symbolic powers of a squarefree monomial ideal.

**Theorem 2.1.** [6, Corollary 1.6] Let  $I = I(\Delta)$ . Then  $I^{(k)} = I^k$  for all  $k \geq 1$  if and only if  $\Delta$  is a Mengerian hypergraph.

Remark 2.1. In general,  $\Delta^*$  need not to be a Mengerian hypergraph if  $\Delta$  is a Mengerian hypergraph (see e.g. [6, Example 2.8]).

It should be noticed that  $\min\{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{1} | \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n, \ M^T \cdot \mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{1}\}$  is the minimum number of vertices of vertex covers and  $\max\{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{1} | \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^m, \ M \cdot \mathbf{b} \leq \mathbf{1}\}$  is the maximum number of disjoint edges of  $\Delta$ . If these numbers are equal, one says that  $\Delta$  has the König property [1,2]. This is a typical property of trees and bipartite graphs.

#### 3. Fulkersonian Hypergraphs

Let  $\Delta$  be a simple graph of m edges on n vertices. Let M be the incidence matrix of  $\Delta$ . By the duality in Linear Programming we have

$$\min\{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c} | \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, \ M^T \cdot \mathbf{a} \ge \mathbf{1}\} = \max\{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{1} | \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m_+, \ M \cdot \mathbf{b} \le \mathbf{c}\},$$

where  $\mathbb{R}_+$  denote the set of non-negative real numbers. This implies

$$\min\{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c} | \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n, \ M^T \cdot \mathbf{a} \ge \mathbf{1}\} \le \max\{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{1} | \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^m, \ M \cdot \mathbf{b} \le \mathbf{c}\}.$$

If equality holds above, we obtain

$$\min\{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c} | \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, \ M^T \cdot \mathbf{a} \ge \mathbf{1}\} = \min\{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c} | \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n, \ M^T \cdot \mathbf{a} \ge \mathbf{1}\},$$
$$\max\{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{1} | \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m_+, \ M \cdot \mathbf{b} \le \mathbf{c}\} = \max\{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{1} | \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^m, \ M \cdot \mathbf{b} \le \mathbf{c}\}.$$

492 Ngo Viet Trung

In this case, the two optimization problems on the left-hand sides have integral optimal solutions.

For the min problem, this condition is closely related to the integrality of the polyhedron:

$$Q(\Delta) := \{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ | M^T \cdot \mathbf{a} \ge \mathbf{1} \}.$$

This polyhedron is usually called the blocking polyhedron of  $\Delta$  [2]. Notice that an integral vector  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{N}^n$  is a vertex cover of order 1 of  $\Delta$  if and only if  $\mathbf{c} \in Q(\Delta)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** (see e.g. [1, Lemma 1, p. 203])  $\min\{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c} | \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n, M^T \cdot \mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{1}\}$  is an integer for all  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{N}^n$  if and only if  $Q(\Delta)$  only has integral extremal points.

One calls  $\Delta$  a Fulkersonian hypergraph [2] (or paranormal [1]) if  $Q(\Delta)$  only has integral extremal points. By the above observation and Lemma 3.1, Fulkersonian hypergraphs are generalizations of Mengerian hypergraphs.

Unlike the Mengerian property, the Fulkersonian property is preserved by passing to the hypergraph of minimal vertex covers.

**Lemma 3.2.** (see e.g. [1, Corollary, p. 210])  $\Delta$  is Fulkersonian if and only if  $\Delta^*$  is Fulkersonian.

We shall see that Fulkersonian hypergraphs can be used to study the integral closures of powers of monomial ideals.

Let I be an arbitrary monomial ideals. Let  $\overline{I}$  denote the integral closure of I. It is easy to see that  $\overline{I}$  is the monomial ideal generated by all monomial f such that  $f^p \in I^p$  for some  $p \geq 1$ . We say that I is an integrally closed ideal if  $\overline{I} = I$ .

It is well known that powers of ideals generated by variables are integrally closed. Since the intersection of integrally closed ideals is again an integrally closed ideal, symbolic powers of squarefree monomial ideals are integrally closed. From this it follows that  $\overline{I^k} \subseteq I^{(k)}$  for all  $k \geq 0$  if I is a squarefree monomial ideal.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $I = I^*(\Delta)$ . Then  $\overline{I^k} = I^{(k)}$  for all  $k \ge 1$  if and only if  $\Delta$  is a Fulkersonian hypergraph.

*Proof.* Assume that  $Q(\Delta)$  is integral with integral vertices  $\mathbf{a}_1,...,\mathbf{a}_r$ . We have to show that every monomial  $x^{\mathbf{c}} \in I^{(k)}$  belongs to  $I^k$ . As we have seen in Sec. 1,  $\mathbf{c}$  is a vertex cover of order k of  $\Delta$ . This means  $M^T \cdot \mathbf{c} \geq k\mathbf{1}$ . Therefore  $\frac{1}{k}\mathbf{c} \in Q(\Delta)$ . Hence there are rational numbers  $l_1,...,l_r \geq 0$  with  $l_1+\cdots+l_r=1$  such that

$$\frac{1}{k}\mathbf{c} = l_1\mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + l_s\mathbf{a}_r + \mathbf{b}$$

for some rational vector  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ . Let p be the least common multiple of the denominators of  $l_1, ..., l_r$  and the components of  $\mathbf{b}$ . Then

$$p\mathbf{c} = kpl_1\mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + kpl_r\mathbf{a}_r + kp\mathbf{b}$$

is a sum of kp integral vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1, ..., \mathbf{a}_r$  in  $Q(\Delta)$  and the integral vector  $kr\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . Since  $x^{\mathbf{a}_1}, ..., x^{\mathbf{a}_r} \in I$ ,

$$(x^{\mathbf{c}})^p = (x^{\mathbf{a}_1})^{kpl_1} \cdots (x^{\mathbf{a}_r})^{krl_r} x^{kp\mathbf{b}} \in I^{kp}.$$

Therefore,  $x^{\mathbf{c}} \in \overline{I^k}$  as required.

Conversely, assume that  $I^{(k)} = \overline{I^k}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $\mathbf{a}_1, ..., \mathbf{a}_r$  now be the integral vectors corresponding to the minimal vertex covers of  $\Delta$ . Let  $P(\Delta)$  denote the set of all vectors of the form  $l_1\mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + l_r\mathbf{a}_r + \mathbf{b}$  with  $\mu_1, ..., \mu_r \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . It is obvious that  $P(\Delta) \subseteq Q(\Delta)$ . We will prove that  $Q(\Delta) = P(\Delta)$ , which shows that  $\mathbf{a}_1, ..., \mathbf{a}_r$  are the extremal points of  $Q(\Delta)$ .

It suffices to show that every rational vector  $\mathbf{a} \in Q(\Delta)$  belongs to  $P(\Delta)$ . Let k be the least common multiple of the denominators of the components of  $\mathbf{a}$ . Then  $M^T \cdot (k\mathbf{a}) \geq k\mathbf{1}$ . Hence  $x^{k\mathbf{a}} \in I^{(k)} = \overline{I^k}$ . Thus, there exists an integer  $p \geq 1$  such that  $x^{pk\mathbf{a}} \in I^{pk}$ . Since I is generated by  $x^{\mathbf{a}_1}, \dots, x^{\mathbf{a}_r}$ , we have  $x^{pk\mathbf{a}} = x^{\nu_1\mathbf{a}_1} \cdots x^{\nu_r\mathbf{a}_r}x^{\mathbf{d}}$  for some integral vector  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and integers  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_r$  with  $\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_r = pk$ . It follows that

$$\mathbf{a} = \frac{\nu_1}{pk}\mathbf{c}_1 + \dots + \frac{\nu_r}{pk}\mathbf{c}_r + \frac{1}{pk}\mathbf{d}.$$

Therefore,  $\mathbf{a} \in P(\Delta)$ , as desired.

By Lemma 3.2, Theorem 3.1 can be reformulated as follows.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $I = I(\Delta)$ . Then  $\overline{I^k} = I^{(k)}$  for all  $k \geq 1$  if and only if  $\Delta$  is a Fulkersonian hypergraph.

It is obvious that  $I^{(k)} = I^k$  for all  $k \ge 1$  if and only if  $I^{(k)} = \overline{I^k}$  and  $\overline{I^k} = I^k$  for all  $k \ge 1$ . Let  $R[It] = \bigoplus_{k \ge 0} I^k t^k$  be the Rees algebra of I. It is known that R[It] is normal if and only if  $\overline{I^k} = I^k$  for all  $k \ge 1$ . Therefore, combining Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.2 we obtain the following result of Gitler, Valencia and Villarreal [4, Theorem 3.5].

**Corollary 3.1.** Let  $I = I(\Delta)$ . Then  $\Delta$  is a Mengerian hypergraph if and only if  $\Delta$  is a Fulkersonian hypergraph and R[It] is normal.

In an earlier paper, Escobar, Villarreal and Yoshino showed that  $I^{(k)} = I^k$  for all  $k \geq 1$  if and only if  $\Delta$  is a Fulkersonian hypergraph and R[It] is normal [3, Proposition 3.4]. Combining this result with Corollary [4] one can recover Theorem 2.1.

In view of Corollary 3.1 it is of great interest to study the following

**Problem 3.1.** Let  $I = I(\Delta)$ . Can one describe the normality of the Rees algebra R[It] in terms of  $\Delta$ ?

This problem has been solved for the graph case by Hibi and Ohsugi [7], Simis, Vasconcelos and Villarreal [8].

494 Ngo Viet Trung

Acknowledgment. The author has been informed recently that the main result of this paper was also obtained by Gitler, Reyes, and Villarreal in the preprint "Blowup algebras of square-free monomial ideals and some links to combinatorial optimization problems", arXiv: math.AC/0609609.

#### References

- C. Berge, Hypergraphs, Combinatorics of Finite Sets, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- H. Duchet, Hypergraphs, Handbook of Combinatorics, Vol. 1, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995, 381–432.
- C. A. Escobar, R. Villarreal, and Y. Yoshino, Torsion freeness and normality of blowup rings of monomial ideals, Commutative algebra, *Lect. Notes Pure Appl.* Math. 244 (2006) 69–84.
- 4. I. Gitler, C. E. Valencia, and R. Villarreal, A note on Rees algebras and the MFMC property, ArXiv, math.AC/0511307.
- 5. J. Herzog, T. Hibi, and N. V. Trung, Symbolic powers of monomial ideals and vertex cover algebras, ArXiv, math.AC/0512423, Adv. Math. (to appear).
- J. Herzog, T. Hibi, N.V. Trung, and X. Zheng, Standard graded vertex cover algebras, cycles and leaves, ArXiv, math.AC/0606357, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- T. Hibi and H. Ohsugi, Normal polytopes arising from finite graphs, J. Algebra 207 (1998) 409–426.
- 8. A. Simis, W. Vasconcelos, and R. Villarreal, The integral closure of subrings associated to graphs, *J. Algebra* **199** (1998) 281–289.