

A Gagliardo–Nirenberg Inequality for Orlicz and Lorentz Spaces on \mathbb{R}_+^n *

Ha Huy Bang¹ and Mai Thi Thu²

¹Hanoi Institute of Mathematics, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet Road, Hanoi, Vietnam

²Depart. of Mathematics, Industrial University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

Dedicated to Professor Hoang Tuy on the occasion of his 80th-birthday

Received October 5, 2007

Abstract. In this paper, essentially developing the method of [1–4, 15], we give an extension of the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality to Orlicz and Lorentz spaces defined on \mathbb{R}_+^n .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D10, 46E30.

Keywords: Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality, inequality for derivatives, Orlicz-type spaces

Let $\ell \geq 2$ and $b \geq 0$. Denote by $\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_j > b, j = 1, \dots, n\}$, $\mathbb{R}_{+,0}^n = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $W^{\ell,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n)$ the set of all measurable on $\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n$ functions f such that f and its generalized derivatives $D^\beta f$, $0 < |\beta| \leq \ell$, belong to $L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n)$. The following Gagliardo–Nirenberg theorem is well-known [10]: *Let $b \geq 0$. For fixed α , $0 < |\alpha| < \ell$, there is the best constant $C_{\alpha,\ell}^+$ not depending on b such that for any $f \in W^{\ell,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n)$,*

$$\|D^\alpha f\|_{\infty,b} \leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|f\|_{\infty,b}^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{\infty,b} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,b}$ is the norm of $L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n)$. By developing the methods of [1–4, 15], we extend the above Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality to Orlicz spaces $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ and Lorentz spaces $N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. The Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality [7, 10]

*This work was supported by the Natural Science Council of Vietnam

has applications to Partial differential equations and Interpolation theory. Note that the inequality was already proved in [4, 15] for the case \mathbb{R}^n , but it is more difficult for the case \mathbb{R}_+^n .

1. A Gagliardo–Nirenberg Inequality for Orlicz Space $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$

Let G be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\Phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ an arbitrary Young function (see [8, 11, 12]), i.e., $\Phi(0) = 0, \Phi(t) \geq 0, \Phi(t) \not\equiv 0$, and assume that Φ is convex. Denote by

$$\bar{\Phi}(t) = \sup_{s \geq 0} \{ts - \Phi(s)\}$$

the Young function conjugate to Φ , and by $L_\Phi(G)$ the space of measurable functions u such that

$$|\langle u, v \rangle| = \left| \int_G u(x)v(x)dx \right| < \infty$$

for all v with $\rho(v, \bar{\Phi}, G) < \infty$, where

$$\rho(v, \bar{\Phi}, G) = \int_G \bar{\Phi}(|v(x)|)dx.$$

Then $L_\Phi(G)$ is a Banach space with respect to the Orlicz norm

$$\|u\|_{\Phi, G} = \sup_{\rho(v, \bar{\Phi}, G) \leq 1} \left| \int_G u(x)v(x)dx \right|,$$

which is equivalent to the Luxemburg norm

$$\|f\|_{(\Phi, G)} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \int_G \Phi(|f(x)|/\lambda)dx \leq 1\} < \infty.$$

Recall that $\|\cdot\|_{(\Phi, G)} = \|\cdot\|_{L_p(G)}$ where $\Phi(t) = t^p$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $\|\cdot\|_{(\Phi, G)} = \|\cdot\|_{L_\infty(G)}$ when $\Phi(t) = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $\Phi(t) = \infty$ for $t > 1$. We have the following results (cf. [11, 12]):

Lemma 1. *Let $u \in L_\Phi(G)$ and $v \in L_{\bar{\Phi}}(G)$. Then*

$$\int_G |u(x)v(x)|dx \leq \|u\|_{\Phi, G} \|v\|_{(\bar{\Phi}, G)}.$$

Lemma 2. *Let $u \in L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $v \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$\|u * v\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}^n} \leq \|u\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}^n} \|v\|_1.$$

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let $\ell \geq 2$ and let Φ be an arbitrary Young function, f and its generalized derivatives $D^\beta f, |\beta| = \ell$, be in $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. Then $D^\alpha f \in L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ for*

all α , $0 < |\alpha| < \ell$ and

$$\|D^\alpha f\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n} \leq C_{\alpha, \ell}^+ \|f\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n}^{1 - \frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}}, \quad (1)$$

where the constant $C_{\alpha, \ell}^+$ is defined as in the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality.

Proof. Step 1. We begin to prove (1) with the assumption that $D^\alpha f \in L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ for all $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \ell$. Fix α , $0 < |\alpha| < \ell$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We choose a function $v_\epsilon \in L_{\overline{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $\rho(v_\epsilon, \overline{\Phi}, \mathbb{R}_+^n) \leq 1$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} D^\alpha f(x) v_\epsilon(x) dx \right| \geq \|D^\alpha f\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n} - \epsilon. \quad (2)$$

Put

$$F_\epsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(x+y) v_\epsilon(y) dy. \quad (3)$$

Then $F_\epsilon(x) \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ by virtue of Lemma 1, and it is easy to verify that

$$D^\beta F_\epsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} D^\beta f(x+y) v_\epsilon(y) dy, \quad 0 \leq |\beta| \leq \ell,$$

in the distribution sense $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. Since $\rho(v_\epsilon, \overline{\Phi}, \mathbb{R}_+^n) \leq 1$, $\|v_\epsilon\|_{(\overline{\Phi}, \mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq 1$. So, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and $0 \leq |\beta| \leq \ell$, clearly,

$$|D^\beta F_\epsilon(x)| \leq \|D^\beta f(x+\cdot)\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n} \|v_\epsilon\|_{(\overline{\Phi}, \mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq \|D^\beta f\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n}. \quad (4)$$

Now we prove the continuity of $D^\beta F_\epsilon(x)$. We show this for $\beta = 0$ by contradiction: Assume that for some $\delta > 0$, a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and a sequence $\{t_m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n : t_m \rightarrow 0$

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} [f(x_0 + t_m + y) - f(x_0 + y)] v_\epsilon(y) dy \right| \geq \delta, \quad m \geq 1. \quad (5)$$

Since $f \in L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ we easily get $f \in L_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. Then for any $j = 1, 2, \dots$, $f(t_m + \cdot) \rightarrow f(\cdot)$ in $L_1([1/j, j]^n)$. Therefore, there exists a subsequence, denoted again by $\{t_m\}$, such that $f(t_m + y) \rightarrow f(y)$ a.e. in $[1/j, j]^n$. So, there exists a subsequence (for simplicity of notation we assume that it coincides with $\{t_m\}$) such that $f(x_0 + t_m + y) \rightarrow f(x_0 + y)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}_+^n . For simplicity of notations we consider only the case $x_0 = 0$. Because inequality (1) holds for f if and only if it holds for f/C , where C is an arbitrary positive number, without loss of generality we may assume that $\rho(2f, \Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n) < \infty$. As in [4], we have

$$|f(t_m + y) - f(y)| v_\epsilon(y) \leq \frac{1}{2} \Phi(2|f(t_m + y)|) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi(2|f(y)|) + \overline{\Phi}(|v_\epsilon(y)|). \quad (6)$$

Since $\Phi(2|f|), \overline{\Phi}(|v_\epsilon|) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ and $t_m \rightarrow 0$, there are positive numbers M and h such that for all $m \geq 1$

$$\int_{\{|y|>M\} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n} \left(\Phi(2|f(y)|) + \Phi(2|f(t_m + y)|) + \overline{\Phi}(|v_\epsilon(y)|) \right) dy < \frac{\delta}{2} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \Phi(2|f(y)|)dy &< \frac{\delta}{6} \int_G \Phi(2|f(t_m + y)|)dy \\ &< \frac{\delta}{6} \int_G \bar{\Phi}(|v_\epsilon(y)|)dy < \frac{\delta}{6} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

if $G \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $\text{mes}(G) < h$. On the other hand, by Egorov theorem, there is a set $A \subset \mathcal{B}_+(0, M)$, with $\text{mes}(A) < h$, such that $f(t_m + y)v_\epsilon(y)$ uniformly converges to $f(y)v_\epsilon(y)$ on $\mathcal{B}_+(0, M) \setminus A$, where $\mathcal{B}_+(0, M)$ is the intersection of the ball of radius M centered at zero with \mathbb{R}_+^n . Therefore, applying (6) and (8), we have as in [4]

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{|y| \leq M\} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n} |f(t_m + y) - f(y)| |v_\epsilon(y)| dy \leq \frac{\delta}{12} + \frac{\delta}{12} + \frac{\delta}{6} = \frac{\delta}{3}. \tag{9}$$

Combining (7), (9) and using (6), we get for sufficiently large m

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |(f(t_m + y) - f(y))v_\epsilon(y)| dy < \delta,$$

which contradicts (5). The cases $1 \leq |\beta| \leq \ell$ are proved similarly. The continuity of $D^\alpha F_\epsilon$, $0 \leq |\beta| \leq \ell$ has been proved. The functions $D^\beta F_\epsilon$, $0 \leq |\beta| \leq \ell$ are continuous and bounded on \mathbb{R}_+^n . Therefore, it follows from the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and (2)–(3) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\|D^\alpha f\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n} - \epsilon) &\leq |D^\alpha F_\epsilon(0)| \leq \|D^\alpha F_\epsilon\|_{\infty, 0} \\ &\leq C_{\alpha, \ell}^+ \|F_\epsilon\|_{\infty, 0}^{1 - \frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta| = \ell} \|D^\beta F_\epsilon\|_{\infty, 0} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (4) implies

$$\|D^\alpha f\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n} - \epsilon \leq C_{\alpha, \ell}^+ \|f\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n}^{1 - \frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta| = \ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}}.$$

By letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have (1).

Step 2. To complete the proof, it remains to show that $D^\alpha f \in L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $\forall \alpha : 0 < |\alpha| < \ell$ if $f, D^\beta f \in L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $|\beta| = \ell$. Since $f, D^\beta f \in L_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $|\beta| = \ell$, we get $D^\alpha f \in L_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $0 < |\alpha| < \ell$ (see [9, p. 7]). We define for $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \ell$,

$$f_{(\alpha)}(x) = \begin{cases} D^\alpha f(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{cases}$$

Let $\psi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\psi(x) \geq 0$, $\text{supp} \psi \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 \leq x_j \leq 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) dx = 1$. We put $\psi_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)$, $\lambda > 0$ and $f_\lambda = f_{(0)} * \psi_\lambda$. Fix

$b > 0$. Then for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n)$ we have for $0 < \lambda < b, 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \ell$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D^\alpha f_\lambda, \varphi \rangle &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle f_\lambda, D^\alpha \varphi \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f_{(0)}(x-y) \psi_\lambda(y) dy \right) D^\alpha \varphi(x) dx \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\mathcal{B}_+(0,\lambda)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n} f_{(0)}(x-y) D^\alpha \varphi(x) dx \right) \psi_\lambda(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{B}_+(0,\lambda)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n} D^\alpha f(x-y) \varphi(x) dx \right) \psi_\lambda(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}_+(0,\lambda)} D^\alpha f(x-y) \psi_\lambda(y) dy \right) \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n} (f_{(\alpha)} * \psi_\lambda)(x) \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \langle f_{(\alpha)} * \psi_\lambda, \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have proved for $0 < \lambda < b$ and $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \ell$

$$D^\alpha f_\lambda = f_{(\alpha)} * \psi_\lambda \tag{10}$$

in the $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n)$ sense. Therefore, for $0 < \lambda < b$ and $\alpha = 0$ or $|\alpha| = \ell$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^\alpha (f_{(0)} * \psi_\lambda)\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n} &= \|f_{(\alpha)} * \psi_\lambda\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n} \\ &\leq \|f_{(\alpha)} * \psi_\lambda\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}^n} \\ &\leq \|f_{(\alpha)}\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}^n} \\ &= \|f_{(\alpha)}\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n} \\ &= \|D^\alpha f\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

On the other hand, by using $D^\alpha (f_{(0)} * \psi_\lambda) = f_{(0)} * D^\alpha \psi_\lambda \in L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n), \forall 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \ell$ and the inequality proved in Step 1 for functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n$, we get for $0 < |\alpha| < \ell$,

$$\|D^\alpha f_\lambda\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n} \leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|f_\lambda\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n}^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f_\lambda\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}}.$$

Hence, by combining (10), (11) we obtain for all $0 < \lambda < b, 0 < |\alpha| < \ell$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^\alpha f_\lambda\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n} &\leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|f_\lambda\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n}^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f_\lambda\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|f_\lambda\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}^n}^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f_\lambda\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}^n} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|f\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n}^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Fix α ($0 < |\alpha| < \ell$). For $j = 1$, since $D^\alpha f \in L_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, there is a sequence of positive numbers $\{\lambda_m^1\}, \lambda_m^1 \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} D^\alpha f_{\lambda_m^1}(x) = D^\alpha f(x) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}_{+,1}^n.$$

For $j = 2$, since $\lambda_m^1 \rightarrow 0$, there exists a subsequence $\{\lambda_m^2\}$ of $\{\lambda_m^1\}$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} D^\alpha f_{\lambda_m^2}(x) = D^\alpha f(x) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}_{+,1/2}^n.$$

By repeating this argument for $j = 3, 4, \dots$ and by the diagonal process, we get a sequence of positive numbers $\{\lambda_m^*\} : \lambda_m^* \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} D^\alpha f_{\lambda_m^*}(x) = D^\alpha f(x) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_{(\alpha)} * \psi_{\lambda_m^*}(x) = f_{(\alpha)}(x) = D^\alpha f(x) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}_+^n. \tag{13}$$

For each function $v \in L_{\overline{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $\rho(v, \overline{\Phi}, \mathbb{R}_+^n) \leq 1$ and $m \geq 1$, by (12) - (13) and the definition of the Orlicz norm we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |(D^\alpha f_{\lambda_m^*})(x)v(x)|dx \leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|f\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_+^n}^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_+^n} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}}. \tag{14}$$

Therefore, by using Fatou's lemma, (13) and (14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} D^\alpha f(x)v(x)dx \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} |D^\alpha f_{\lambda_m^*}(x)v(x)|dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |(D^\alpha f_{\lambda_m^*})(x)v(x)|dx \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|f\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_+^n}^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_+^n} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Because (15) is true for all $v \in L_{\overline{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $\rho(v, \overline{\Phi}, \mathbb{R}_+^n) \leq 1$, by definition of the Orlicz norm we have

$$\|D^\alpha f\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_+^n} \leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|f\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_+^n}^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_+^n} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} < \infty, \quad 0 < |\alpha| < \ell.$$

The proof is complete. ■

By Theorem 3, we have

Theorem 4. *Let Φ be an arbitrary Young function, $\ell \geq 2$, f and its generalized derivatives $D^\beta f$ be in $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $|\beta| = \ell$. Then $D^\alpha f \in L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ for all α , $0 < |\alpha| = r < \ell$ and*

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=r} \|D^\alpha f\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_+^n} \leq C_{r,\ell} \|f\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_+^n}^{1-\frac{r}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_+^n} \right)^{\frac{r}{\ell}}.$$

Corollary 5. *Let Φ be an arbitrary Young function, $\ell \geq 2$, f and its generalized derivatives $D^\beta f$ be in $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $|\beta| = \ell$. Then $D^\alpha f \in L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ for all α , $0 < |\alpha| = r < \ell$ and*

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=r} \|D^\alpha f\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n} \leq Ch^{-\frac{r}{\ell-r}} \|f\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n} + Ch \sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n},$$

for all $h > 0$ and C does not depend on f .

Remark 1. By the representation [12, 11]

$$\|u\|_{(\Phi, \mathbb{R}_+^n)} = \sup_{\|v\|_{\Phi} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u(x)v(x)dx \right|,$$

it is easy to see that Theorems 3, 4 still hold for any Luxemburg norm.

Remark 2. By the same method, it is easier to obtain similar results for $L_\Phi(G)$, where G is a product domain

$$-\infty < x_s < \infty, \quad b_j < x_j < \infty, \quad b_j \in \mathbb{R}^1, \quad s = 1, \dots, k, \quad j = k + 1, \dots, n.$$

2. A Gagliardo–Nirenberg Inequality for Lorentz Space $N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$

Let $\Psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a non-zero concave function which is non-decreasing and $\Psi(0+) = \Psi(0) = 0$. We put $\Psi(\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(t)$. For an arbitrary measurable function f we define

$$\|f\|_{N_\Psi(G)} = \int_0^\infty \Psi(\lambda_f(y)) dy,$$

where $\lambda_f(y) = \text{mes}\{x \in G : |f(x)| > y\}$, $y \geq 0$. If the space $N_\Psi(G)$ consists of measurable functions f such that $\|f\|_{N_\Psi(G)} < \infty$ then $N_\Psi(G)$ is a Banach space. Denote by $M_\Psi(G)$ the space of measurable functions g such that

$$\|g\|_{M_\Psi(G)} = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{\Psi(\text{mes } \Delta)} \int_\Delta |g(x)| dx : \Delta \subset G, \quad 0 < \text{mes } \Delta < \infty \right\} < \infty.$$

Then $M_\Psi(G)$ is a Banach space, too [12–14].

We have the following results [13, 14]:

Lemma 6. *If $f \in N_\Psi(G)$, $g \in M_\Psi(G)$ then $fg \in L_1(G)$ and*

$$\int_G |f(x)g(x)| dx \leq \|f\|_{N_\Psi(G)} \|g\|_{M_\Psi(G)}.$$

Lemma 7. *If $f \in N_\Psi(G)$ then*

$$\|f\|_{N_\Psi(G)} = \sup_{\|g\|_{M_\Psi(G)} \leq 1} \left| \int_G f(x)g(x) dx \right|.$$

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 8. *Let $\ell \geq 2$ f and its generalized derivatives $D^\beta f$, $|\beta| = \ell$ be in $N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. Then $D^\alpha f \in N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ for all α , $0 < |\alpha| < \ell$ and*

$$\|D^\alpha f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}}. \tag{16}$$

Proof. Step 1. We begin to prove (16) with the assumption that $D^\alpha f \in N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \ell$. Fix $0 < |\alpha| < \ell$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. By Lemma 7 we have a function $v_\epsilon \in M_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ such that $\|v_\epsilon\|_{M_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} = 1$ and

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(x)v_\epsilon(x)dx \right| \geq \|f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} - \epsilon/2.$$

By Lemma 7, there is $\mathcal{H} := [0, H]^n$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(x)v(x)dx \right| \geq \|f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} - \epsilon, \tag{17}$$

where $v = v(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon) := \chi_{\mathcal{H}}v_\epsilon$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the characteristic function of \mathcal{H} . Put

$$F_\epsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(x+y)v(y)dy.$$

Then $F_\epsilon \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ by virtue of Lemma 6, and it is easy to check that

$$D^\beta F_\epsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} D^\beta f(x+y)v(y)dy, \quad 0 \leq |\beta| \leq \ell \tag{18}$$

in the distribution sense.

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, clearly,

$$\|D^\beta F_\epsilon(x)\| \leq \|D^\beta f(x+\cdot)\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \|v\|_{M_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq \|D^\beta f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}. \tag{19}$$

Now we prove the continuity of $D^\beta F_\epsilon$ on \mathbb{R}_+^n ($0 \leq |\beta| \leq \ell$). We show this for $\beta = 0$. Clearly, it suffices to prove that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\chi_{\mathcal{H}}(\cdot)(f(x+t+\cdot) - f(x+\cdot))\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} = 0.$$

Assume the contrary that for some $\delta > 0$, point x^0 and sequence $t_m \rightarrow 0$,

$$\|\chi_{\mathcal{H}}(\cdot)(f(x^0+t_m+\cdot) - f(x^0+\cdot))\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \geq \delta, \quad m \geq 1. \tag{20}$$

For simplicity of notation we suppose $x^0 = 0$. Since $f \in N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $f \in L_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. It is known that

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} |f(x+t_m) - f(x)|dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, there exists a subsequence $\{t_{m_j}\}$, we still denote by $\{t_m\}$, such that $f(\cdot+t_m) \rightarrow f$ a.e. on \mathcal{H} . Define

$$g_n(x) = \inf_{m \geq n} |f(x+t_m)|, \quad x \in \mathcal{H},$$

then $\{g_n\}$ is a non-decreasing sequence and $g_n \rightarrow |f|$ a.e. on \mathcal{H} . It is easy to see that

$$\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}g_n}(t) \rightarrow \lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f|}(t) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for every } t > 0.$$

We have

$$\Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f|}(t)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|g_m|}(t)) \leq \varliminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)|}(t)), \quad t > 0. \quad (21)$$

It follows from the definition of Ψ that $\Psi(a + b) \leq \Psi(a) + \Psi(b)$ for $a, b \geq 0$. Observe that, for any $f, g \in N_{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ and $t > 0$, so we have $\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}(f+g)}(2t) \leq \lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}f}(t) + \lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}g}(t)$, then for all $m \geq 1$,

$$\Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)-f|}(2t)) \leq \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)|}(t)) + \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f|}(t)).$$

Hence

$$0 \leq \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)|}(t)) + \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f|}(t)) - \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)-f|}(2t)), \quad \forall t > 0.$$

It is easy to check that (see [5])

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi_{\mathcal{H}}f(\cdot + t_m)\|_{N_{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} = \|\chi_{\mathcal{H}}f\|_{N_{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}, \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

Applying Fatou’s lemma to the sequence

$$\{\Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)|}(t)) + \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f|}(t)) - \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)-f|}(2t))\},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \varliminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} [\Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)|}(t)) + \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f|}(t)) - \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)-f|}(2t))] dt \\ & \leq \varliminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty [\Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)|}(t)) + \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f|}(t)) - \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)-f|}(2t))] dt \\ & = 2 \int_0^\infty \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f|}(t)) dt - \frac{1}{2} \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)-f|}(t)) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

On the other hand,

$$\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)-f|}(t) = \text{mes}\{x \in \mathcal{H} : |f(x + t_m) - f(x)| > t\}.$$

Therefore, taking account of $f(\cdot + t_m) \rightarrow f$ a.e. on \mathcal{H} , we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)-f|}(t) = 0$$

and then

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)-f|}(t)) = 0.$$

So, by (21) we get for any $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f|}(t)) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|g_m|}(t)) + \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f|}(t)) - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)-f|}(2t)) \\
 &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [\Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)|}(t)) + \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f|}(t)) - \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)-f|}(2t))].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{23}$$

From (22) and (23), we have

$$2 \int_0^\infty \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f|}(t))dt \leq 2 \int_0^\infty \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f|}(t))dt - \frac{1}{2} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)-f|}(t))dt.$$

Hence

$$\int_0^\infty \Psi(\lambda_{\chi_{\mathcal{H}}|f(\cdot+t_m)-f|}(t))dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi_{\mathcal{H}}(f(\cdot + t_m) - f)\|_{N_{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} = 0,$$

which contradicts (20).

The cases $1 \leq |\beta| \leq \ell$ are proved similarly. The continuity of $D^\alpha F_\epsilon$, $0 \leq |\beta| \leq \ell$ has been proved.

The functions $D^\beta F_\epsilon$, $0 \leq |\beta| \leq \ell$ are continuous and bounded on \mathbb{R}_+^n . Therefore, it follows from the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and (17)–(18) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\|D^\alpha f\|_{N_{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} - \epsilon) &\leq |D^\alpha F_\epsilon(0)| \leq \|D^\alpha F_\epsilon\|_\infty \leq \\
 &\leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|F_\epsilon\|_\infty^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta F_\epsilon\|_\infty \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}},
 \end{aligned}$$

which together with (19) implies

$$\|D^\alpha f\|_{N_{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} - \epsilon \leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|f\|_{N_{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{N_{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}}.$$

By letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have (16).

Step 2. To complete the proof, it remains to show that $D^\alpha f \in N_{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $\forall \alpha : 0 < |\alpha| < \ell$ if $f, D^\alpha f \in N_{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $|\alpha| = \ell$. Fix $b > 0$. With notations as in the proof of Theorem 3, we have for $0 < \lambda < b, 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \ell$:

$$D^\alpha f_\lambda = f_{(\alpha)} * \psi_\lambda \tag{24}$$

in the $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n)$ sense.

Taking Lemma 6 into account, we get easily $D^\beta f_\lambda = f * D^\beta \psi_\lambda \in N_{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 \leq$

$|\beta| \leq \ell$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\lambda\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|f_{(0)} * \psi_\lambda\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f_{(0)}\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\psi_\lambda(x - \cdot)\|_1 \\ &= \|f_{(0)}\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^\alpha f\lambda\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|f_{(\alpha)} * \psi_\lambda\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f_{(\alpha)}\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\psi_\lambda(x - \cdot)\|_1 \\ &= \|f_{(\alpha)}\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Therefore, for $0 < \lambda < b$ and $\alpha = 0$ or $|\alpha| = \ell$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^\alpha(f_{(0)} * \psi_\lambda)\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n)} &= \|f_{(\alpha)} * \psi_\lambda\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n)} \\ &\leq \|f_{(\alpha)} * \psi_\lambda\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \|f_{(\alpha)}\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \|f_{(\alpha)}\|_{N_\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \\ &= \|D^\alpha f\|_{N_\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

On the other hand, using $D^\alpha(f_{(0)} * \psi_\lambda) = f_{(0)} * D^\alpha\psi_\lambda \in N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\forall 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \ell$, (25)–(27) and the inequality proved in Step 1 for functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n$, we get for all $0 < \lambda < b$, $0 < |\alpha| < \ell$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^\alpha f\lambda\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n)} &\leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|f\lambda\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n)}^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\lambda\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_{+,b}^n)} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|f\lambda\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\lambda\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Fix α ($0 < |\alpha| < \ell$). Repeating the arguments used in the proof of Theorem 3, we get a sequence of positive numbers $\{\lambda_m^*\} : \lambda_m^* \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} D^\alpha f_{\lambda_m^*}(x) = D^\alpha f(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_{(\alpha)} * \psi_{\lambda_m^*}(x) = f_{(\alpha)}(x) = D^\alpha f(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}_+^n. \tag{29}$$

For each function $v \in M_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $\|v\|_{M_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq 1$ and $m \geq 1$, by (18) - (19) and the definition of the Lorentz norm we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |(D^\alpha f_{\lambda_m^*})(x)v(x)| dx \leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}}. \tag{30}$$

Therefore, by using Fatou’s lemma, (29) and (30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} D^\alpha f(x)v(x)dx \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} |D^\alpha f_{\lambda_m^*}(x)v(x)|dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |(D^\alpha f_{\lambda_m^*})(x)v(x)|dx \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}}. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Because (31) is true for all $v \in M_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$, $\|v\|_{M_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq 1$, by definition of the Lorentz norm we have

$$\|D^\alpha f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C_{\alpha,\ell}^+ \|f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \right)^{\frac{|\alpha|}{\ell}} < \infty, \quad 0 < |\alpha| < \ell.$$

The proof is complete. ■

By Theorem 8, we have

Theorem 9. *Let $\ell \geq 2$, f and its generalized derivatives $D^\beta f$, $|\beta| = \ell$ be in $N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. Then $D^\alpha f \in N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ for all α , $0 < |\alpha| = r < \ell$ and*

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=r} \|D^\alpha f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq C_{r,\ell} \|f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^{1-\frac{r}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \right)^{\frac{r}{\ell}}.$$

Corollary 10. *Let $\ell \geq 2$, f and its generalized derivatives $D^\beta f$, $|\beta| = \ell$ be in $N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$. Then $D^\alpha f \in N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ for all α , $0 < |\alpha| = r < \ell$ and*

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=r} \|D^\alpha f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \leq Ch^{-\frac{r}{\ell-r}} \|f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} + Ch \sum_{|\beta|=\ell} \|D^\beta f\|_{N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)},$$

for all $h > 0$ and C does not depend on f .

Remark 3. Note that the techniques applied in the proof of Theorem 3 for Orlicz spaces $L_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ cannot be used for Lorentz spaces $N_\Psi(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$.

References

1. H. H. Bang, A remark on the Kolmogorov–Stein inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **203** (1996) 861–867.
2. H. H. Bang and H. M. Le, On the Kolmogorov–Stein inequality, *J. Ineq. Appl.* **4** (1998) 1–8.
3. H. H. Bang and M. T. Thu, A Landau–Kolmogorov inequality for Lorentz spaces, *Tokyo J. Math.* **27** (2004) 13–19.

4. H. H. Bang and M. T. Thu, A Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality for Orlicz spaces, *East J. on Approximations* **10** (2004) 371–377.
5. H. H. Bang, On a F. Riesz’s theorem for the spaces N_Φ and its applications–Russian Doklady Akad. Nauk, **63** (2001) 263–265. English transl. in Doklady Mathematics, **377** (2001) 746–748.
6. O. V. Besov, V. P. Il’in, and S. M. Nikol’skii, *Integral Representation of Functions and Imbedding Theorems*, V. H. Winston and Sons, Washington, D. C. , Vol. 1–2, 1978, 1979.
7. E. Gagliardo, Ulteriori proprietà di alcune classi di funzioni in più variabili, *Ricerche di Math.* **8** (1959) 24–51.
8. W. Luxemburg, *Banach function spaces*, Thesis, Technische Hogeschoolte Delft., The Netherlands, 1965.
9. V. G. Maz’ja, *Sobolev Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York - Tokyo, 1980.
10. N. Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. di Pisa*, ser. III, **13** (1959) 115–162.
11. R. O’Neil, Fractional integration in Orlicz space I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **115** (1965) 300–328.
12. M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991.
13. M. S. Steigerwalt and A. J. White, Some function spaces related to L_p , *Proc. London. Math. Soc.* **22** (1971) 137–163.
14. M. S. Steigerwalt, *Some Banach function spaces related to Orlicz spaces*, Thesis, University of Aberdeen, 1967.
15. M. T. Thu, A Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality for Lorentz spaces, *Vietnam J. Math.* **33** (2005) 207–213.