Vietnam Journal of MATHEMATICS © VAST 2008

On Harada Rings and Serial Artinian Rings

Thanakarn Soonthornkrachang¹, Phan Dan², Nguyen Van Sanh³, and Kar Ping Shum⁴

^{1,3}Department of Mathematics, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

²Department of Mathematics, University of Transport of Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

⁴Department of Mathematics, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China (SAR)

Received November 18, 2007

Abstract. A ring R is called a right Harada ring if it is right Artinian and every non-small right R-module contains a non-zero injective submodule. The first result in our paper is the following: Let R be a right perfect ring. Then R is a right Harada ring if and only if every cyclic module is a direct sum of an injective module and a small module; if and only if every local module is either injective or small. We also prove that a ring R is QF if and only if every cyclic module is a direct sum of a projective injective module and a small module; if and only if every local module is either projective injective or small. Finally, a right QF-3 right perfect ring R is serial Artinian if and only if every right ideal is a direct sum of a projective module and a singular uniserial module.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D50, 16D70, 16D80. Keywords: Harada ring, Artinian Ring, Small module, Co-small module.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all rings are associative rings with identity and all right R-modules are unitary. For a right R - module M, we denote by E(M), J(M)

 $^{^4}$ Partially supported by UGC grant (HK) #2160187.

and Z(M) the injective hull, radical and the singular submodule of M, respectively. Especially, J(R) is the Jacobson radical of the ring R. A right R-module M is called uniserial if the lattice of its submodules is linear. Call M a serial module if it is a direct sum of uniserial modules. A ring R is right serial if R_R is serial as a right R-module. A ring R is serial if it is right and left serial. Call a ring R serial Artinian if it is serial and two-sided Artinian.

Call M a small module if M is small in E(M) otherwise, we call it a non-small module. Dually, a right R-module M is called a co-small module if for any epimorphism f from P to M with P is projective, $\ker(f)$ is essential in P. A non-cosmall module is defined as a not co-small module. A right R-module is a local module if it has the greatest proper submodule. A ring R is called a Quasi-Frobenius ring (briefly QF-ring) if it is right self-injective right Artinian. Call a ring R a right QF-3 ring if $E(R_R)$ is projective (see [14, 21]).

Manabu Harada [9, 10, 11] has studied some generalizations of QF-rings by introducing the following two conditions:

- (*) Every non-small right *R*-module contains a non-zero injective submodule;
- (**) Every non-cosmall right R-module contains a non-zero projective direct summand.

It should be noted that right perfect rings with (*) and semiperfect rings with (**) are characterized in terms of ideals in [11, 12, 13]. Oshiro [16] gave some characterizations of these kinds of rings and introduced the definitions of right Harada and right co-Harada rings as follows.

A ring R is called a *right Harada* ring if it is right Artinian and (*) holds. A ring R is a right *co-Harada* ring if it satisfies (**) and ACC on right annihilators.

In this paper, we give some characterizations of right Harada rings and serial Artinian rings. In Sec. 1, we recall some well-known results which will be used in this paper. We characterize the classes of right Harada rings and QF-rings by right perfect rings and cyclic or local modules. Section 3 is concerned with serial Artinian rings. For convenience, we list some well-known results here to use in this paper.

Theorem A. ([16, Theorem 2.11]) For a ring R, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is a right Harada ring;
- (2) R is right perfect and satisfies the condition that the family of all projective modules is closed under taking small covers, i.e., for any exact sequence P ^φ/_→ E → 0, with E is injective and P is projective, ker(φ) is small in P;
- (3) Every right R-module is a direct sum of an injective module and a small module:
- (4) Every injective module is a lifting module.

Theorem B. ([11, Theorem 3.6]) Let R be a semiperfect ring and $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n \cup \{f_j\}_{j=1}^m$ a complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents of R, where each e_iR is non-small, $i=1,\ldots n$, and f_jR is small, $j=1,\ldots m$. Then (**) holds if and only if:

(a) $n \ge 1$ and $e_i R$ is injective, i = 1, ..., n;

- (b) For each f_i , there exists e_i such that f_iR can be embedded in e_iR ;
- (c) For each f_j , there exists an integer n_j such that e_iJ^t is projective for $0 \le t \le n_i$ and $e_iJ^{n_i+1}$ is a singular module, where J = J(R); Further in this case, it is shown that every submodule e_iB in e_iR is either contained in $e_iJ^{n_i+1}$ or equal to some e_iJ^i .

Theorem C. ([16]) Let R be a right Artinian ring. Then R is a serial ring if and only if for any primitive idempotent f of R, the injective hull E(fR) is a uniserial module.

2. Right Harada Rings

In this section, we will prove that the classes of right Harada rings and QF-rings are both characterized by perfect rings and cyclic (or local) modules. The proof of the following lemma is routine and is therefore omitted.

Lemma 1. If $\{X_i, i = 1, ..., n\}$ is a family of small modules, then $X = \sum_i X_i$ is also small.

Proposition 2. Let R be a right perfect ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) The condition (*) holds;
- (2) Every non-small cyclic module contains a non-zero injective module;
- (3) Every local module is either injective or small.
- *Proof.* (1) \Rightarrow (2) is clear. We now prove (2) \Rightarrow (3). Let M be a local module. Since R is right perfect, it follows from [5, Proposition 18.23] that there exists a primitive idempotent g of R such that $M \cong gR/G$, $G \subset gR$. Hence M is an indecomposable cyclic module. Therefore M either injective or small by (2).
- $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ Let M be a non-small module and E = E(M). Since R is right perfect, it follows that $M \not\subset EJ$ (since EJ is small in E). Take any $m \in M \setminus EJ$. Then mR is a non-small module. Let $\{e_i | i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ be an orthogonal system of primitive idempotents of R. Then $mR = \sum_{i=1}^{n} me_i R$. By Lemma 1, there exists an idempotent e_i such that $me_i R$ is non-small. Since $me_i R \neq 0$ and $me_i R \cong e_i R/H$, $H \subset e_i R$, the module $me_i R$ is local. It follows from (3) that $me_i R$ is injective. Thus M contains a non-zero $me_i R$, hence (*) holds.

Theorem 3. Let R be a right perfect ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is a right Harada ring;
- (2) Every cyclic module is a direct sum of an injective module and a small module:
- (3) Every local module is either injective or small.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (3). This part follows from Theorem A.

- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ By the same argument as that of $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ in the proof of Proposition 2.
- $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ By Proposition 2, R satisfies (*). Hence by [12, Theorem 5], R is a right Artinian ring, and therefore it is a right Harada ring.

Theorem 4. Let R be a right perfect ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is a QF-ring;
- (2) Every cyclic module is a direct sum of a projective injective module and a small module;
- (3) Every local module is either projective injective or small.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Since R is QF, it is a right Harada ring by [16, Theorem 4.3]. For a right R-module M, we have $M = I \oplus S$, with I is injective and S is small by Theorem A. It is clear that I is projective, proving (2).

- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ It follows from Proposition 2.
- $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ It suffices to show that every injective module is projective. Since R is a right perfect ring satisfying (3), in view of Theorem 3, R is a right Harada ring. Let Q be an injective module. Then Q has a decomposition $Q = \bigoplus_{I} Q_i$, where each Q_i is a non-zero indecomposable module. We will show that each Q_i is projective for each $i \in I$. Since R is right Artinian, Q_i contains a maximal submodule Q'_i (see [1, Theorem 28.4]). Let X be a proper submodule of Q_i such that $X \not\subset Q'_i$. Then $Q'_i + X = Q_i = E(Q'_i)$. Hence Q'_i is a non-small module. Since R is a right Harada ring, it implies that Q'_i contains a proper direct summand, a contradiction. Thus Q'_i is the greatest proper submodule of Q_i , i.e., Q_i is a local module. Hence, by (3), Q_i is projective. It follows that Q is a projective module, proving that R is QF.

3. Characterizations of Serial Artinian Rings

First we recall a remark due to M. Harada in [11] as follows:

Remark 5. Let R be a right perfect ring. Then R has a decomposition of the form

$$R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} e_i R \oplus \bigoplus_{m=1}^{m} f_j R$$

where $\{e_i, i = 1, ..., n\} \cup \{f_j, j = 1, ..., m\}$ is the set of mutually orthogonal idempotents with each $e_i R$ non-small and $f_j R$ being a small module, and always we have $n \ge 1$.

Lemma 6. Let R be a right perfect ring and M a uniserial right R-module. Then every submodule of M is cyclic and hence M is a Noetherian module.

Proof. Let N be a non-zero submodule of M. Since R is right perfect, it follows from [1, Theorem 28.4] that N contains a maximal submodule N'. Take any

 $x \in N \setminus N'$. Then $xR \not\subset N'$. Since M is uniserial, we must have $N' \subset xR$. Hence N = xR, proving that M is a Noetherian module.

Lemma 7. Let R be a right perfect ring. If E(gR) is uniserial for any primitive idempotent g of R, then R is serial Artinian.

Proof. By Theorem C, it is enough to show that R is right Artinian. Since R is right perfect, we can write

$$R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} g_i R$$

where $\{g_i, i = 1, ... n\}$ is a system of orthogonal primitive idempotents. For each i, $E(g_iR)$ is uniserial, therefore by Lemma 6, it is Noetherian and hence R is right Noetherian. Combining with the assumption that R is right perfect, it follows that R is right Artinian by [1, Corollary 15.23] and this completes our proof.

Theorem 8. Let R be a right perfect ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is a serial Artinian ring;
- (2) Every cyclic module is a direct sum of an injective module and a uniform small module;
- $(3) \ \ Every \ local \ module \ is \ either \ injective \ or \ uniform \ small.$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let R be a right perfect serial ring. Then by [16, Theorem 4.5], R is a right Harada ring. For a cyclic module M, we have $M = I \oplus S$, where I is injective and S is small (see Theorem A). Since R is serial Artinian, $S = \bigoplus_{i} S_{j}$

with S_j uniserial. It follows from [16, Lemma 1.1] that S_j is small, proving (2).

- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ by Proposition 2.
- $(3)\Rightarrow (1)$ Suppose that R has a decomposition as in Remark 5. Then by (3), e_iR is injective for each $e_i\in\{e_i,i=1,\ldots,n\}$. Hence by [11, Theorem 1.3], the ring R is right QF-3. It follows that $E(f_kR)$ is projective for each $f_k\in\{f_j,j=1,\ldots,m\}$. Therefore $E(f_kR)=\bigoplus_{i\in I,j\in J}e_{ij}R,\ e_{ij}R\cong e_iR,\ I\subset\{1,\ldots,n\}$. Since

 $f_k R$ is uniform by (3), it implies $E(f_k R) \cong e_i R$ for some idempotent e_i . Next, we will show that $e_i R$ is uniserial for all e_i , $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Let U, V be non-zero submodules of eR, where $e \in \{e_i, 1 \le i \le n\}$. Put $I = U \cap V$. Assume that $I \ne U$ and $I \ne V$. Then the module B = eR/I is not uniform, and hence B is not injective because it is indecomposable. Thus the local module B is neither uniform, nor injective, this contradicts the assumption (3). Therefore, either I = U or I = V, proving that eR is a uniserial module. In view of Lemma 7, it follows that R is a serial Artinian ring.

Lemma 9. ([11, 19]) The following statements hold for non-cosmall modules:

- (1) A right R-module M is non-cosmall if it does not coincide with its singular submodule;
- (2) If an R-module M contains a non-zero projective submodule, then it is non-cosmall.

Lemma 10. Let R be a right QF-3 semiperfect ring. If every uniform principal right ideal of R is either projective or singular as a right R-module, then R satisfies two conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem B.

Proof. Since R is semiperfect, it has a decomposition of the form

$$R = e_1 R \oplus e_2 R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_n R$$
,

where $\{e_i \ 1 \le i \le n\}$ is the set of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents. Since R is right QF-3 (i.e., $E(R_R)$ is projective), it follows that there exists at least one e_i such that e_iR is injective. Without loss of generality we may assume that e_iR is injective for $1 \le i \le k$ and e_jR is not injective with $k+1 \le j \le n$. Take $e=e_j, k+1 \le j \le n$. Since $E(R_R)$ is projective, so is E(eR). Hence

$$E(eR) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \bigoplus_{t=1}^{t(i)} e_{it}R$$

where $e_{it}R \cong e_iR$ for $1 \leq t \leq t(i)$. Put

$$Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \bigoplus_{t=1}^{t(i)} e_{it}R$$

and let $\alpha: E(eR) \to Q$ be an isomorphism and $\pi_{it}: \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \bigoplus_{t=1}^{t(i)} e_{it}R \longrightarrow e_{it}R$ the projections. Let $F = \alpha(eR)$ and $F_{it} = \pi_{it}(F) \subset e_{it}R \cong e_iR$. Then F_{it} is cyclic. It is easy to see that F_{it} is isomorphic to a principal right ideal of R. Moreover, F_{it} is uniform. Hence by hypothesis, F_{it} is either projective or singular for all pairs (i,t).

Suppose that F_{it} are singular for all pairs (i,t). It follows that F is singular, since $F \subset \bigoplus_i F_{it}$, which is a contradiction to the fact that $F \cong eR$. Therefore there exists a pair (i_0,t_0) such that $F_{i_0t_0}$ is non-zero projective. Let $p=\pi_{i_0t_0}|_F$, induced by the projection, and consider the exact sequence $F \stackrel{p}{\to} F_{i_0t_0} \to 0$ with $F_{i_0t_0}$ projective. Then $F = \ker p \oplus F'$, for some $F' \cong F_{i_0t_0}$. Since $F \cong eR$ is indecomposable and $F' \neq 0$, it implies that $\ker p = 0$, hence $F \cap \bigoplus_{i \neq i_0, t \neq t_0} e_{it}R = 0$, because $F \subset eQ$ (i.e., F is essential in Q). Therefore $Q = e_{i_0t_0}R$. Thus $eR \cong F \subset e_{i_0t_0}R = e_{i_0}R$. Hence R satisfies both conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem B and our Lemma has been proved.

Lemma 11. ([11, Theorem 3.6]) Let R be a semiperfect ring and e a primitive idempotent of R such that eR is injective. Suppose that every submodule of eR is either projective or singular. Then there exists an integer n such that eJ^t is projective for $0 \le t \le n$ and eJ^{n+1} is singular, where J is the Jacobson radical of R.

Lemma 12. Let R be a right QF-3 right perfect ring and $e \in R$ a primitive idempotent of R such that eR is injective. If every 2-generated right submodule of

eR is either projective or singular, then every submodule of eR is either projective or singular.

Proof. It is clear that eR is uniform. Let Z(eR) be the singular submodule of eR. We first prove that eR/Z(eR) is uniserial. Suppose on the contrary that there are submodules U and V of eR such that $Z(eR) \subset U \cap V$ and $U \not\subset V$, $V \not\subset U$. Take $u \in U \setminus V$ and $v \in V \setminus U$. Consider the module X = uR + vR. Since X is a 2-generated right submodule of eR, by assumption, it is projective and singular. But both uR and vR are not singular, X must be not singular. Hence X is projective. Let X_1 and X_2 be maximal submodules of uR and vR respectively. Then $X_1 + vR$ and $X_2 + uR$ are distinct maximal submodules of X. This contradicts the fact that X is a projective indecomposable module on a right perfect ring. It would imply that eR/Z(eR) is a uniserial module. We now show that eR/Z(eR) has finite length. Let X_1 be the largest submodule of eR. Since R is right perfect, it follows from [1, Theorem 28.4] that X_1 (here, $X_1 = \operatorname{rad}(eR)$) contains a maximal submodule X_2 . Continueing this process, we get a strictly descending chain

$$eR \supset X_1 \supset X_2 \supset \cdots \supset X_n \supset \cdots \supset Z(eR).$$

We will prove that this chain is stationary. It is clear that each X_i is a local module, hence each X_i is an epimorphism image of fR for some primitive idempotent f of R. Since R is right QF-3, it follows that fR is uniform, and hence X_i is projective or singular. But $X_i \supset Z(eR)$, and $X_i \neq Z(eR)$, it follows that each X_i is projective. We claim that for $i \neq j$, $X_i \ncong X_j$.

Suppose on the contrary that there is an isomorphism $\varphi: X_i \to X_j$. Since eR is injective, φ can be extended to $\bar{\varphi}: eR \to eR$ and $\bar{\varphi}$ is also an isomorphism. From this we obtain $eR/X_i \cong eR/X_j$, and this contradicts the fact that length $(eR/X_i) \neq \text{length } (eR/XC_j)$. Since the representative set of R is finite, it implies that the chain $X_1 \supset X_2 \supset \ldots$ must be stationary. Therefore the condition (c) of Theorem B is satisfied, proving our Lemma.

Theorem 13. Let R be a semiperfect ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) (**) holds;
- (2) R is right QF-3 and every right ideal is a direct sum of a projective module and a singular module;
- (3) R is right QF-3 and every uniform right ideal is either projective or singular. Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). In view of Theorem B, we see that $E(R_R)$ is projective, i.e., R is right QF-3. Moreover, R has finite right Goldie dimension. Let B be a right ideal of R. If B is non-cosmall, then by (**), we have $B = B_1 \oplus B'_1$ with B_1 is non-zero and projective.

Again, if B_1' is non-cosmall, then $B_1' = B_2 \oplus B_2'$, with B_2 being non-zero and projective. Since R_R has finite Goldie dimension, we get that B_i is finite dimensional and therefore after a finite number of steps, we get $B = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_k \oplus B_k'$, where B_1, \ldots, B_k are projective and B_k' is cosmall, i.e., singular (Lemma 9). Hence (2) holds.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ is obvious.

$$(3) \Rightarrow (1)$$
 by Lemmas 10 and 11.

Theorem 14. Let R be a right perfect ring. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R satisfies (**);
- (2) R is right QF-3 and every 2-generated right ideal is a direct sum of a projective module and a singular module;
- (3) R is right QF-3 and every uniform 2-generated right ideal is either projective or singular.

Proof. The proof of $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ is similar to that of Theorem 13. The implication $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ is obvious. From Lemmas 10 and 12, it follows that R satisfies three conditions (a), (b) and (c) of Theorem B. Therefore, R satisfies (**), proving $(3) \Rightarrow (1).$

Theorem 15. The following conditions are equivalent for a right QF-3 semiperfect ring R.

- (1) R is a serial Artinian ring;
- (2) Every right ideal B of R has a decomposition of the form $B = B_0 \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le n} B_i$, with B_0 projective and each $B_i (1 \le i \le n)$ being a singular uniserial module of finite length;
- (3) Every right ideal of R is either a projective module or a singular uniserial module of finite length.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let R be a serial Artinian ring. Then R is a right co-Harada ring by [16, Theorem 4.5]. Hence for any right ideal B of R, $B = B_0 \oplus B'$, where B_0 is projective and B' is singular by [16, Theorem 3.18]. Then $B' = \bigoplus B_i$,

where each B_i is uniserial with finite length, proving (2).

- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ is obvious.
- $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. Since R is right QF-3 and semiperfect, it follows from Theorem 13 that R satisfies the condition (**). By applying Theorem B, R has a decomposition

$$R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} e_i R \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} f_j R,$$

where $e_i R$ is injective and $f_i R$ is small. Moreover for each j we have $E(f_i R) \cong$ e_iR for some i. By Theorem C, in order to prove that R is serial, it suffices to show that R is right Artinian and each e_iR is uniserial, $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Take any $e \in \{e_i, i = 1, ... n\}$ and consider the module eR with its singular submodule Z = Z(e). By Theorem B and (3), Z is a uniserial module with finite length. Therefore, eR is an Artinian module. On the other hand, again by Theorem B, we have either $eB \subset Z$ or $Z \subset eB$. Since Z and eR/Z are both uniserial, it follows that eR is a uniserial module. Moreover, eR/Z is of finite length. It is now clear that R is right Artinian and E(eR) is uniserial for any primitive idempotent e of R. The proof is now complete.

Theorem 16. Let R be a right QF-3 right perfect ring. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is serial Artinian;
- (2) Every right ideal of R is a direct sum of a projective module and a singular uniserial module;
- (3) Every uniform 2-generated right ideal is either projective or singular uniserial.

Proof. The proof of $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ is similar to that of Theorem 15 and $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ is obvious. We now prove that $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. Clearly, R satisfies (**) by Theorem 14. By Remark 5, we can write R in the form

$$R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} e_i R \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m} f_j R$$

with properties in the Remark 5.

By Theorem B, we can see that each e_iR is injective and for each j, we have $E(f_jR)\cong e_iR$ for some $i=1,\ldots,n$. Using Lemma 7, we now show that each e_iR is a uniserial module, $i=1,\ldots,n$. Put $Z=Z(e_iR)$. Since R satisfies (**), in the same way as in Theorem 15, we can see that e_iR/Z is a uniserial module with finite length, and for every right ideal B of R, we have either $Z\subset e_iB$ or $e_iB\subset Z$. Therfore, it suffices to show that Z is also a uniserial module. We can suppose that $Z\neq 0$.

Let U,V be non-zero submodules of Z. If $U \not\subset V$ and $V \not\subset U$, we can take any $u \in U \setminus V$ and $v \in V \setminus U$, and consider the module C = uR + vR. Then C is a uniform 2-generated right ideal of R and C is singular. However C is not uniserial, since $uR \not\subset vR$ and $vR \not\subset uR$, and this contradicts the hypothesis (3). Hence, either $U \subset V$ or $V \subset U$, proving that Z(eR) is uniserial. The proof is now complete.

References

- 1. F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Graduate Texts in Math. No.13, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1974.
- 2. H. Bass, Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semiprimary rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **95** (1960), 466-488.
- 3. R. Colby and E. A. Rutters, Jr, Semiperfect QF-3 and p.p rings, *Osaka J. Math.* 5 (1968), 99-102.
- 4. R. Colby and E. A. Rutters, Jr, Generalizations of QF-3 algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **153** (1971), 37-386.
- 5. C.Faith, Algebra II. Ring Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- 6. C. Faith and E.A. Walker, Direct sum presentations of injective modules, *J.Algebra* **5** (1967), 203-221.
- A. W. Fuller, On direct representations of quasi-injectives and quasi-projectives, Arch. Math. 20 (1969), 495-502 (Correction 21 (1970), 478).
- 8. A. W. Goldie, Torsion-free modules and rings, J. Algebra 1 (1964), 268-287.

- 9. M. Harada, QF-3 and semiprimary p.p rings I, Osaka J.Math. 2 (1965), 357-368.
- 10. M. Harada, A note on hollow modules, Rev. Un. Matx. Argentina, 28 (1978), 186-194.
- 11. M. Harada, Non-small modules and non-cosmall modules, *Proc. of the 1978 Antw. Conf.*, F. van Ostaen, Ed., Marcel Dekker, New York, pp. 669-689.
- 12. M. Harada, On one-sided QF-2 rings I, Osaka J. Math. 17 (1980), 421-431.
- 13. M. Harada, On one-sided QF-2 rings II, Osaka J. Math. 17 (1980), 433-438.
- 14. J. P. Jans, Projective injective modules, Pacific J. Math. 9(1959), 1103-1108.
- D. Jonah, Rings with minimum condition for principal right ideals have the maximum condition for principal left ideals, Math. Z. 113 (1965) 106-112.
- 16. K. Oshiro, Lifting modules, extending modules and their applications to QFrings, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **13** (1984), 310-338.
- 17. D. V.Huynh and P.Dan, Some characterizations of right co-H-rings, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **34** (1992), 165-174.
- 18. P. Dan, Right perfect rings with the extending property on finitely generated free modules, Osaka J. Math. 26 (1989), 226-273.
- 19. M. Rayar, Small and Cosmall Modules, Ph. D. Dissertation, Indiana Univ., 1971.
- 20. F.L. Sandomierski, Non-singular rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 225-230.
- 21. R.M. Thrall, Some generalizations of quasi-Frobenius Algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **64** (1984), 173-183.
- 22. C. I. Vinsonhaler, Orders in QF-3 rings, J. Algebra 14 (1970), 83-90.