

Homomorphisms, Amenability and Weak Amenability of Banach Algebras

M. Eshaghi Gordji

*Department of Mathematics,
Semnan University, P. O. Box 35195-363, Semnan, Iran*

Received July 31, 2007

Abstract. In this paper we find some necessary and sufficient conditions for a Banach algebra to be amenable or weakly amenable, by applying the homomorphisms on Banach algebras.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46H25.

Keywords: Banach algebra, derivation, homomorphism.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let X be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule. Then X^* is a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule if for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$ we define

$$\langle x, ax^* \rangle = \langle xa, x^* \rangle, \quad \langle x, x^*a \rangle = \langle ax, x^* \rangle.$$

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a Banach algebra homomorphism, then \mathcal{B} is an \mathcal{A} -bimodule by the following module actions

$$a.b = \varphi(a)b, \quad b.a = b\varphi(a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}).$$

We denote by \mathcal{B}_φ the above \mathcal{A} -bimodule. For a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{A}^{**} with the first Arens product is a Banach algebra. Let X be a Banach \mathcal{A} -module, we can extend the actions of \mathcal{A} on X to actions of \mathcal{A}^{**} on X^{**} via

$$a''.x'' = w^*\text{-}\lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} a_{\alpha} x_{\beta}$$

and

$$x''.a'' = w^*\text{-}\lim_{\beta} \lim_{\alpha} x_{\beta} a_{\alpha},$$

where $a'' = w^* - \lim_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}$, $x'' = w^* - \lim_{\beta} x_{\beta}$.

If X is a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule then a derivation from \mathcal{A} into X is a continuous linear map D , such that for every $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $D(ab) = D(a).b + a.D(b)$. If $x \in X$, and we define $\delta_x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ by $\delta_x(a) = a.x - x.a$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}$), then δ_x is a derivation, derivations of this form are called inner derivations. A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is amenable if $H^1(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$ for every \mathcal{A} -bimodule X , where $H^1(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$ is the first cohomology group from \mathcal{A} with coefficients in X^* . This definition was introduced by Johnson in [4]. \mathcal{A} is weakly amenable if $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*) = \{0\}$. Bade, Curtis and Dales have introduced the concept of weak amenability for commutative Banach algebras [1]. In this paper we show that for amenability of Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , it is enough to show that for every Banach algebra \mathcal{B} and every injective homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}^*) = \{0\}$. So we introduce two new notations in amenability of Banach algebras and we related this notations to weak amenability.

2. Amenability

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and X be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, then $X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}$ is a Banach space, with the following norm

$$\|(x, a)\| = \|x\| + \|a\| \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X).$$

So $X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra with the product

$$(x_1, a_1)(x_2, a_2) = (x_1 \cdot x_2 + a_1 \cdot x_2, a_1 a_2).$$

$X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}$ is called a module extension Banach algebra. It is easy to show that $(X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A})^* = X^* \oplus \mathcal{A}^*$, where the sum is \mathcal{A} -bimodule l_{∞} -sum. In this section we use module extension Banach algebras to finding an easy equivalent condition for amenability of a Banach algebra.

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- a) \mathcal{A} is amenable.
- b) For every Banach algebra \mathcal{B} and every homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}^*) = \{0\}$.
- c) For every Banach algebra \mathcal{B} and every injective homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}^*) = \{0\}$.
- d) For every Banach algebra \mathcal{B} and every injective homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if $d_{\varphi} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}^*$ is a (bounded) derivation satisfying

$$\langle d_{\varphi}(a), \varphi(b) \rangle + \langle d_{\varphi}(b), \varphi(a) \rangle = 0 \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}),$$

then d_{φ} is an inner derivation.

- e) For every Banach algebra \mathcal{B} and every injective homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}^{**}) = \{0\}$.

Proof. The proofs of $a) \implies b)$, $a) \implies e)$, $b) \implies c)$ and $c) \implies d)$ are immediate. We prove $d) \implies a)$ and $e) \implies a)$. Suppose that $d)$ holds, X a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule and that $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X^*$ is a derivation. As above, we know that $X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra and obviously the map

$$\varphi : a \mapsto (0, a), \quad \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}$$

is an injective Banach algebras homomorphism. Then $H^1(\mathcal{A}, ((X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A})_\varphi)^*) = \{0\}$. We define $D_1 : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow (X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A})^*$ by $D_1(a) = (D(a), 0)$. For $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(ab) &= (D(ab), 0) = (D(a)b + aD(b), 0) \\ &= (D(a), 0)(0, b) + (0, a)(D(b), 0) \\ &= D_1(a)\varphi(b) + \varphi(a)D_1(b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus D_1 is a derivation from \mathcal{A} into $((X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A})_\varphi)^*$. Also for every $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\langle D_1(a), \varphi(b) \rangle + \langle D_1(b), \varphi(a) \rangle = \langle (D(a), 0), (0, b) \rangle + \langle (D(b), 0), (0, a) \rangle = 0.$$

Then D_1 is an inner derivation. In other words there exist $a' \in \mathcal{A}^*, x' \in X^*$ such that $D_1 = \delta_{(x', a')}$. For every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D(a), 0) &= D_1(a) = \delta_{(x', a')}(a) \\ &= \varphi(a)(x', a') - (x', a')\varphi(a) \\ &= (0, a)(x', a') - (x', a')(0, a) \\ &= (ax' - x'a, aa' - a'a). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $D = \delta_{x'}$. So \mathcal{A} is amenable. To prove $e) \implies a)$, let X be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule and let $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X^{**}$ be a derivation. If $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}$ is the above injective Banach algebras homomorphism, then it is easy to show that $\varphi^{**} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \longrightarrow (X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A})^{**}$ the second transpose of φ is a Banach algebra homomorphism and that $((X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A})_\varphi)^{**} \simeq (X^{**} \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}^{**})_{\varphi^{**}}$ as \mathcal{A}^{**} -bimodules. Then

$$H^1(\mathcal{A}, (X^{**} \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}^{**})_{\varphi^{**}}) = H^1(\mathcal{A}, ((X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A})_\varphi)^{**}) = \{0\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Now we define $D_1 : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X^{**} \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}^{**}$ by $D_1(a) = (D(a), 0)$. For $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$D_1(ab) = D_1(a)\varphi^{**}(\hat{b}) + \varphi^{**}(\hat{a})D_1(b).$$

Thus D_1 is a derivation from \mathcal{A} into $(X^{**} \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}^{**})_{\varphi^{**}}$. By (2.1), D_1 is inner. Therefore there exist $a'' \in \mathcal{A}^{**}, x'' \in X^{**}$ such that $D_1 = \delta_{(x'', a'')}$, and by a similar proof as above we can show that D is inner. Then we have $H^1(\mathcal{A}, X^{**}) = \{0\}$, and by Proposition 2.8.59 of [2], \mathcal{A} is amenable. ■

Let \mathcal{A} has a bounded approximate identity, and let X be an essential Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, then it is easy to show that $(X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A})_\varphi$ is an essential Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule when $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X \oplus_1 \mathcal{A}$ is defined by $\varphi(a) = (0, a)$. By the same

technique as above and by using Corollary 2.9.28 of [2], we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity. Then \mathcal{A} is amenable if and only if $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}_\varphi^*) = \{0\}$, for every Banach algebra \mathcal{B} and every injective homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ in which \mathcal{B}_φ is essential.*

3. Weak Amenability

In this section we find the relationship between weak amenability and homomorphisms of Banach algebras. First we introduce two new notations of amenability of Banach algebras.

Definition 3.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra. Then*

- a) \mathcal{A} is *supper weakly amenable* if for every Banach algebra \mathcal{B} and every continuous homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if d_φ is a (bounded) derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B}_φ^* , then the following condition holds

$$\langle d_\varphi(a), \varphi(b) \rangle + \langle d_\varphi(b), \varphi(a) \rangle = 0 \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}) \quad (3.1)$$

- b) \mathcal{A} is *semiweakly amenable* if every derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$, with the following property

$$\langle D(a), b \rangle + \langle D(b), a \rangle = 0 \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}), \quad (3.2)$$

is an inner derivation.

Example 1. Let \mathbb{T} be the unit circle. We write $(\hat{f}(n) : n \in \mathbb{Z})$ for the sequence of Fourier coefficients of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. For $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, let $\mathcal{A} = \text{lip}_\alpha(\mathbb{T})$, we define $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ by

$$\langle D(f), g \rangle = \sum n \hat{g}(n) \hat{f}(-n), \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{A}).$$

D is a non-inner derivation (see [1]) and we have

$$\langle D(f), g \rangle + \langle D(g), f \rangle = 0 \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Thus \mathcal{A} is not semiweakly amenable.

Theorem 3.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a supper weakly amenable Banach algebra. Then*

- a) \mathcal{A} is essential.
- b) There are no no-zero continuous point derivations on \mathcal{A} .

Proof. a) Let $a_0 \in \mathcal{A} - \bar{\mathcal{A}}^2$, then by Hahn-Banach theorem there exists $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ such that $\langle f, a_0 \rangle = 1$ and $f(\bar{\mathcal{A}}^2) = \{0\}$. The mapping $D : a \mapsto f(a)f, \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ is a derivation and we have $\langle D(a_0), a_0 \rangle + \langle D(a_0), a_0 \rangle = 2 \neq 0$. Thus \mathcal{A} is not supper weakly amenable.

b) Let $\varphi \in \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$. If $\varphi = 0$, then by a), every derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathbb{C}_φ^* is zero. If $\varphi \neq 0$, and $d_\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\varphi^*$ is a point derivation at φ , then by Definition

3.1, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\langle d_\varphi(a), \varphi(a) \rangle = d_\varphi(a)\varphi(a) = 0$. Therefore we have $d_\varphi|_{(\mathcal{A} \setminus M_\varphi)} = 0$. Thus $d_\varphi = 0$. ■

Example 2. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}$ by the product $ab = 0, (a, b \in \mathbb{C})$. Then by Theorem 3.2 a), \mathcal{A} is not supper weakly amenable. But it is easy to check that \mathcal{A} is semiweakly amenable.

Example 3. Let S be a discrete semigroup in which $S^2 \neq S$, then by Theorem 3.2 a), $l^1(S)$ is not supper weakly amenable. Let $S = \{t, 0\}$ by products $t0 = 0t = t^2 = 0^2 = 0$, then $l^1(S)$ is not supper weakly amenable but for every derivation $D : l^1(S) \longrightarrow l^1(S)^*$ if $\langle D(\delta_t), \delta_0 \rangle + \langle D(\delta_0), \delta_t \rangle = 0$, then we have $D = 0$. Thus $l^1(S)$ is semiweakly amenable.

Now we find an equivalent condition for weak amenability of Banach algebras.

Theorem 3.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra. Then*

- a) \mathcal{A} is weakly amenable if and only if \mathcal{A} is supper weakly amenable and semiweakly amenable.
- b) Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Banach algebra then \mathcal{A} is supper weakly amenable if and only if for every derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$, and for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\langle D(a), 1 \rangle = 0$.
- c) Let \mathcal{A} be a unital supper weakly amenable Banach algebra. Then for every derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ and $\varphi \in \Omega_{\mathcal{A}} - \{0\}$, there exists $F \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ such that $\text{Im}(D) \subseteq \text{Ker}(F)$ and $\langle F, \varphi \rangle = 1$.

Proof. a) Let \mathcal{A} be weakly amenable. Obviously \mathcal{A} is semiweakly amenable. For Banach algebra \mathcal{B} and for (continuous) homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$, let $d_\varphi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_\varphi^*$ be a derivation. We define $D = d_\varphi \otimes \varphi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ as follows

$$\langle D(a), b \rangle = \langle d_\varphi(a), \varphi(b) \rangle \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}). \quad (3.3)$$

For every $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D(ab), c \rangle &= \langle d_\varphi(ab), \varphi(c) \rangle \\ &= \langle d_\varphi(a)\varphi(b), \varphi(c) \rangle + \langle \varphi(a)d_\varphi(b), \varphi(c) \rangle \\ &= \langle d_\varphi(a), \varphi(b)\varphi(c) \rangle + \langle d_\varphi(b), \varphi(c)\varphi(a) \rangle \\ &= \langle d_\varphi(a), \varphi(bc) \rangle + \langle d_\varphi(b), \varphi(ca) \rangle \\ &= \langle D(a), bc \rangle + \langle D(b), ca \rangle \\ &= \langle D(a)b + aD(b), c \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore D is a derivation. Then there exists $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ such that $D = \delta_f : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$. Thus for every $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D(a), b \rangle + \langle D(b), a \rangle &= \langle \delta_f(a), b \rangle + \langle \delta_f(b), a \rangle \\ &= \langle af - fa, b \rangle + \langle bf - fb, a \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So \mathcal{A} is supper weakly amenable. The converse is trivially since $id : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a homomorphism in which $\mathcal{A}^* = \mathcal{A}_{id}^*$.

b) Let for every derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$, and for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the equality $\langle D(a), 1 \rangle = 0$ hold, and let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a Banach algebra homomorphism. If $d_\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\varphi^*$ is a derivation, then $D = d_\varphi \otimes \varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ defined by (3.3), is a derivation and for every $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\langle d_\varphi(a), \varphi(b) \rangle + \langle d_\varphi(b), \varphi(a) \rangle = \langle D(a), b \rangle + \langle D(b), a \rangle = \langle D(ab), 1 \rangle = 0.$$

The converse is trivial.

c) Let $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ be a derivation and let $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$. If $D(a_0) \in \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$, then by (b) for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\langle D(a_0), a \rangle = \langle D(a_0), a.1 \rangle = \langle D(a_0), a \rangle \langle D(a_0), 1 \rangle = 0.$$

Thus $D(a_0) = 0$. Let now $\varphi \in \Omega_{\mathcal{A}} - \{0\}$. Then φ is not in $Im(D)$, so by Hahn-Banach theorem there exists $F \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ such that $Im(D) \subseteq Ker(F)$ and $\langle F, \varphi \rangle = 1$. ■

Corollary 3.4. (Theorem 2.8.63 of [2]) *Let \mathcal{A} be a weakly amenable Banach algebra, then \mathcal{A} is essential and there are no non-zero, (continuous) point derivations on \mathcal{A} .*

Corollary 3.5. *Let G be a locally compact topological group. Then G is discrete if and only if $M(G)$ is supper weakly amenable.*

Proof. Dales, Ghahramani and Helmeskii [3] showed that G is discrete if and only if there are no nonzero point derivations on $M(G)$. By applying Theorems 3.2 b) and 3.3 a), we conclude that G is discrete if and only if $M(G)$ is supper weakly amenable. ■

By the following theorem we can show that the supper weak amenability is different from the weak amenability and semiweak amenability.

Theorem 3.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a supper weakly amenable Banach algebra, and let $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a continuous Banach algebra homomorphism with dense range. Then \mathcal{B} is supper weakly amenable.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a Banach algebra homomorphism and let $d_\varphi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\varphi^*$ be a derivation. Then for every $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$d_\varphi \circ \theta(ab) = d_\varphi \circ \theta(a) \varphi \circ \theta(b) + \varphi \circ \theta(a) d_\varphi \circ \theta(b).$$

Therefore $d_\varphi \circ \theta$ is a derivation from \mathcal{A} into $(\mathcal{C}_{\varphi \circ \theta})^*$. Since \mathcal{A} is supper weakly amenable, then for every $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\langle d_\varphi \circ \theta(a), \varphi \circ \theta(b) \rangle + \langle d_\varphi \circ \theta(b), \varphi \circ \theta(a) \rangle = 0.$$

Since $\theta(\mathcal{A})$ is dense in \mathcal{B} , then for every $a', b' \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\langle d_\varphi(a'), \varphi(b') \rangle + \langle d_\varphi(b'), \varphi(a') \rangle = 0.$$

Thus \mathcal{B} is supper weakly amenable. ■

Corollary 3.7. *There exists a supper weakly amenable, non-semiweakly amenable Banach algebra.*

Proof. Let E be a Banach space without approximation property and take \mathcal{A} to be the nuclear algebra $E \hat{\otimes} E^*$ (see Definition 2.5.4 of [2]). The identification of $E \otimes E^*$ with $\mathcal{F}(E)$ extends to an epimorphism $R : E \hat{\otimes} E^* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(E)$ (see Theorem 2.5.3 of [2]). Set $K = \ker R$, then by Corollary 2.8.43 of [2], \mathcal{A} is biprojective and hence weakly amenable. If $\dim K \geq 2$ then K does not have trace extension property. So by Proposition 2.8.65 c) of [2], $\mathcal{N}(E) = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{K}$ is not weakly amenable. On the other hand by a) of Theorem 3.3, above, \mathcal{A} is supper weakly amenable and by Theorem 3.6, $\mathcal{N}(E) = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{K}$ is supper weakly amenable. Thus by Theorem 3.3, $\mathcal{N}(E)$ is a supper weakly amenable, non-semiweakly amenable Banach algebra. ■

We finish this section with a theorem about semiweak amenability of unitization of Banach algebras, and its application to finding an example of non-supper weakly amenable Banach algebra whose unitization is supper weakly amenable.

Theorem 3.8. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra. If \mathcal{A}^\sharp (the unitization of \mathcal{A}) is semiweakly amenable, then \mathcal{A} is semiweakly amenable.*

Proof. Let $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ be a derivation in which (3.2) holds. We define $D^\sharp : \mathcal{A}^\sharp \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\sharp*}$ as follows

$$\langle D^\sharp(a, c), (b, c') \rangle = \langle D(a), b \rangle \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, c, c' \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Then for every $a, b, d \in \mathcal{A}$ and $c, c', c'' \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D^\sharp((a, c)(b, c')), (d, c'') \rangle &= \langle D^\sharp(ab + cb + c'a, cc'), (d, c'') \rangle = \langle D(ab + cb + c'a), d \rangle \\ &= \langle D(a)b + aD(b) + cD(b) + c'D(a), d \rangle \\ &= \langle D(a), bd + c'b + c'd \rangle + \langle D(b), da + cd + c'a \rangle \\ &= \langle D^\sharp(a, c), (bd + c'b + c'd, c'c'') \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle D^\sharp(b, c'), (da + cd + c'a, cc'') \rangle \\ &= \langle (D^\sharp(a, c))(b, c'), (d, c'') \rangle + \langle (a, c)(D^\sharp(b, c')), (d, c'') \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus D^\sharp is a derivation. So we have

$$\langle D^\sharp(a, c), (b, c') \rangle = \langle D(a), b \rangle = \langle D(b), a \rangle = \langle D^\sharp(b, c'), (a, c) \rangle$$

where $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, c, c' \in \mathbb{C}$.

\mathcal{A}^\sharp is semiweakly amenable, then there is $u' \in \mathcal{A}^{\sharp*}$ such that $D^\sharp = \delta_{u'}$. So we have $D = \delta_{(u'|_{\mathcal{A}})}$. Thus \mathcal{A} is semiweakly amenable. ■

Let \mathcal{A} be the augmentation ideal of $L^1(PS(2, \mathbb{R}))$, then we know that \mathcal{A}^\sharp is weakly amenable and that \mathcal{A} is not weakly amenable (see [5]). By the above theorem, \mathcal{A} is semiweakly amenable. So by Theorem 3.3, \mathcal{A}^\sharp is supper weakly amenable and \mathcal{A} is not supper weakly amenable. Thus we have the following

Corollary 3.9. *There exists a semiweakly amenable Banach algebra \mathcal{A} such that \mathcal{A}^\sharp is supper weakly amenable, and \mathcal{A} is not supper weakly amenable.* ■

References

1. W. G. Bade, P. C. Curtis and H. G. Dales, Amenability and weak amenability for Beurling and Lipschitz algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **55** (1987), 359-377.
2. H. G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, Oxford, New York, 2000.
3. H. G. Dales, F. Ghahramani and A. Ya. Helmeskii, The amenability of measure algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* **66** (2002), 213-226.
4. B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** 1972.
5. B. E. Johnson and M. C. White, A non-weakly amenable augmentation ideal, to appear .