

## Ore Extensions over 2-primal Rings

V. K. Bhat and Ravi Raina

*School of Applied Physics and Mathematics, SMVD University,  
P/o Kakryal, Udhampur, J and K, India- 182121*

Received May 25, 2008

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a ring,  $\sigma$  an automorphism of  $R$  and let  $\delta$  be a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$ . Recall that a ring  $R$  is said to be a  $\delta$ -ring if  $a\delta(a) \in P(R)$  implies  $a \in P(R)$ , where  $P(R)$  denotes the prime radical of  $R$ .

It is known that if  $R$  is a  $\delta$ -Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra,  $\sigma$  and  $\delta$  are as usual such that  $\sigma(\delta(a)) = \delta(\sigma(a))$ , for all  $a \in R$  and  $\sigma(P) = P$ , for all minimal prime ideals  $P$  of  $R$ , then  $R[x, \sigma, \delta]$  is a 2-primal Noetherian ring. In this article it is proved that in the case  $\delta$  is the zero map,  $R$  is a 2-primal Noetherian ring implies that  $R[x, \sigma]$  is a 2-primal Noetherian ring. In the case  $\sigma$  is the identity map, a similar result is proved for the differential operator ring  $R[x, \delta]$  ( $R$  in this case is moreover a Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra).

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16XX, Secondary 16N40, 16P40, 16W20, 16W25.

*Key words:* 2-primal, Minimal prime, prime radical, nil radical, automorphism, derivation.

### 1. Introduction

In this article a ring  $R$  always means an associative ring,  $Q$  denotes the field of rational numbers.  $\text{Spec}(R)$  denotes the set of prime ideals of  $R$ .  $\text{MinSpec}(R)$  denotes the sets of minimal prime ideals of  $R$ ,  $P(R)$  and  $N(R)$  denote the prime radical and the set of nilpotent elements of  $R$  respectively. Let  $I$  and  $J$  be any two ideals of a ring  $R$ . Then  $I \subset J$  means that  $I$  is strictly contained in  $J$ .

This article concerns 2-primal rings and their extensions. 2-primal rings have been studied in recent years and the 2-primal property is being studied for various types of rings. In [14], Marks discusses the 2-primal property of  $R[x, \sigma, \delta]$ , where  $R$  is a local ring,  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $R$  and  $\delta$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$ . He has proved that when  $R$  is a local ring with a nilpotent maximal ideal, the

Ore extension  $R[x, \sigma, \delta]$  will or will not be 2-primal depending on the  $\delta$ -stability of the maximal ideal of  $R$ .

Recall that a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$  is an additive map  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$  such that  $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)\sigma(b) + a\delta(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in R$ . In the case  $\sigma$  is the identity map,  $\delta$  is called just a derivation of  $R$ . For example let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of a ring  $R$  and  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$  any map. Let  $\phi : R \rightarrow M_2(R)$  be defined by  $\phi(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(r) & 0 \\ \delta(r) & r \end{pmatrix}$ , for all  $r \in R$ . Then  $\delta$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$ .

Also let  $R = K[x]$ , where  $K$  is a field. Then the formal derivative  $d/dx$  is a derivation of  $R$ . Minimal prime ideals of 2-primal rings have been discussed by Kim and Kwak in [11]. 2-primal near rings have been discussed by Argac and Groenewald in [2]. Recall that a ring  $R$  is 2-primal if and only if the set of nilpotent elements of  $R$  and prime radical of  $R$  are the same if and only if the prime radical is a completely semiprime ideal. An ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is called completely semiprime if  $a^2 \in I$  implies  $a \in I$ , where  $a \in R$ . We also note that a reduced is 2-primal and a commutative ring is also 2-primal. For further details on 2-primal rings, we refer the reader to [8, 10, 11, 16].

Recall that  $R[x, \sigma, \delta]$  is the usual polynomial ring with coefficients in  $R$  in which multiplication is subject to the relation  $ax = x\sigma(a) + \delta(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ . We take any  $f(x) \in R[x, \sigma, \delta]$  to be of the form  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n x^i a_i$ . We denote  $R[x, \sigma, \delta]$  by  $O(R)$ . An ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is called  $\sigma$ -invariant if  $\sigma(I) = I$  and is called  $\delta$ -invariant if  $\delta(I) \subseteq I$ . If an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is  $\sigma$ -invariant and  $\delta$ -invariant, then  $I[x, \sigma, \delta]$  is an ideal of  $R[x, \sigma, \delta]$  and as usual we denote it by  $O(I)$ .

In the case  $\delta$  is the zero map, we denote the skew polynomial ring  $R[x, \sigma]$  by  $S(R)$ . If  $J$  is an ideal of  $R$  such that  $\sigma(J) = J$ , we denote  $J[x, \sigma]$  by  $S(J)$ .

In the case  $\sigma$  is the identity map, we denote the differential operator ring  $R[x, \delta]$  by  $D(R)$ . If  $K$  is an ideal of  $R$  such that  $\delta(K) \subseteq K$ , we denote  $K[x, \delta]$  by  $D(K)$ .

Now we study skew polynomial rings over 2-primal rings. There arises a natural question:

**Question 1.1.** Let  $R$  be a 2-primal ring. Is  $R[x, \sigma, \delta]$  also a 2-primal ring?

For the time being we are not able to answer this question, but towards this we recall that in [12], a ring  $R$  is called  $\sigma$ -rigid if there exists an endomorphism  $\sigma$  of  $R$  with the property that  $a\sigma(a) = 0$  implies  $a = 0$  for  $a \in R$ . In [13], Kwak defines a  $\sigma(*)$ -ring  $R$  to be a ring if  $a\sigma(a) \in P(R)$  implies  $a \in P(R)$  for  $a \in R$  and establishes a relation between a 2-primal ring and a  $\sigma(*)$ -ring. The property is also extended to the skew-polynomial ring  $R[x, \sigma]$ .

Recall also that the notion of a  $\delta$ -ring was introduced in [4] in the following way.

Let  $R$  be a ring,  $\sigma$  an automorphism of  $R$  and  $\delta$  be a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$ .  $R$  is said to be a  $\delta$ -ring if  $a\delta(a) \in P(R)$  implies  $a \in P(R)$ , where  $P(R)$  denotes the prime radical of  $R$ . We note that a ring with identity is not a  $\delta$ -ring. Regarding  $\delta$ -rings, the following result was proved.

**Theorem 2.8 of [4].** *Let  $R$  be a  $\delta$ -Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra such that  $\sigma(\delta(a)) = \delta(\sigma(a))$ , for all  $a \in R$ ;  $\sigma(P) = P$  for all  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$  and  $\delta(P(R)) \subseteq P(R)$ , where  $\sigma$  and  $\delta$  are as usual. Then  $O(R)$  is 2-primal.*

We now have a partial answer to the above question, namely Question 1.1 in the following way:

1. Let  $R$  be a 2-primal Noetherian ring and  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $R$ . Then  $R[x, \sigma]$  is 2-primal Noetherian. This is proved in Theorem 2.4.
2. Let  $R$  be a 2-primal Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra and let  $\delta$  be a derivation of  $R$  such that  $N(D(R)) = D(N(R))$ . Then  $D(R)$  is 2-primal Noetherian. This is proved in Theorem 3.3.

Ore-extensions including skew-polynomial rings and differential operator rings have been of interest to many authors. For example see [1,3–5,9,12,13].

In order to make the manuscript self contained, we state some results of [4]:

**Theorem 2.2 of [4].** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra. Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $R$  and  $\delta$  be a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$  such that  $\sigma(\delta(a)) = \delta(\sigma(a))$ , for  $a \in R$ . Then  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(O(R))$  such that  $\sigma(P \cap R) = P \cap R$  implies  $P \cap R \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$  and  $P_1 \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$  such that  $\sigma(P_1) = P_1$  implies  $O(P_1) \in \text{MinSpec}(O(R))$ .*

Gabriel proved in [6, Lemma 3.4] that if  $R$  is a Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra and  $\delta$  is a derivation of  $R$ , then  $\delta(P) \subseteq P$ , for all  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . Towards this the following has been proved:

**Proposition 1.1 (Proposition 2.3 of [4])** *Let  $R$  be a 2-primal ring. Let  $\sigma$  and  $\delta$  be as usual such that  $\delta(P(R)) \subseteq P(R)$ . If  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$  is such that  $\sigma(P) = P$ , then  $\delta(P) \subseteq P$ .*

**Theorem 2.4 of [4].** *Let  $R$  be a  $\delta$ -ring. Let  $\sigma$  and  $\delta$  be as above such that  $\delta(P(R)) \subseteq P(R)$ . Then  $R$  is 2-primal.*

Recall that an ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is called completely prime if  $ab \in I$  implies  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$  for  $a, b \in R$ .

**Proposition 1.2 (Proposition 2.5 of [4])** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Let  $\sigma$  and  $\delta$  be as usual. Then*

1. *For any completely prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  with  $\delta(P) \subseteq P$ ,  $O(P)$  is a completely prime ideal of  $O(R)$ .*
2. *For any completely prime ideal  $U$  of  $O(R)$ ,  $U \cap R$  is a completely prime ideal of  $R$ .*

**Theorem 2.7 of [4].** *Let  $R$  be a  $\delta$ -ring, where  $\sigma$  and  $\delta$  as usual such that  $\delta(P(R)) \subseteq P(R)$  and  $\sigma(P) = P$  for all  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . Then  $O(R)$  is 2-primal if and only if  $O(P(R)) = P(O(R))$ .*

## 2. Skew Polynomial Ring $S(R) = R[x, \sigma]$

Throughout this section  $R$  is an associative ring with identity. Recall that an ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is called  $\sigma$  invariant if  $\sigma(I) = I$ . Also  $I$  is called completely prime if  $ab \in I$  implies  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$  for  $a, b \in R$ . We also note that in a right Noetherian ring  $R$ ,  $\text{MinSpec}(R)$  is finite (Theorem 2.4 of Goodearl and Warfield [7]), and for any  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ ,  $\sigma^i(P) \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$  for all integers  $i \geq 1$ . Therefore there exists an integer  $u \geq 1$ , such that  $\sigma^u(P) = P$  for all  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . We use the same  $u$  henceforth, and as mentioned above, we denote  $\bigcap_{i=1}^u \sigma^i(P)$  by  $P^0$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring. Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $R$ . Then  $\sigma(N(R)) = N(R)$ .*

*Proof.* See [3, Proposition 2.2]. ■

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring. Let  $\sigma$  be as usual. Then  $S(N(R)) = N(S(R))$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $S(N(R)) \subseteq N(S(R))$ . We will show that  $N(S(R)) \subseteq S(N(R))$ . Let  $f = \sum_{i=0}^m x^i a_i \in N(S(R))$ . Then  $(f)(S(R)) \subseteq N(S(R))$ , and  $(f)(R) \subseteq N(S(R))$ . Let  $((f)(R))^k = 0$ ,  $k > 0$ . Then equating leading term to zero, we get  $(x^m a_m R)^k = 0$ . This implies on simplification that

$$x^{km} \sigma^{(k-1)m}(a_m R) \cdot \sigma^{(k-2)m}(a_m R) \cdot \sigma^{(k-3)m}(a_m R) \dots a_m R = 0.$$

Therefore  $\sigma^{(k-1)m}(a_m R) \cdot \sigma^{(k-2)m}(a_m R) \cdot \sigma^{(k-3)m}(a_m R) \dots a_m R = 0 \subseteq P$ , for all  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . Now there are two cases:  $u \geq m$ , or  $m \geq u$ . If  $u \geq m$ , then we have

$$\sigma^{(k-1)u}(a_m R) \cdot \sigma^{(k-2)u}(a_m R) \cdot \sigma^{(k-3)u}(a_m R) \dots a_m R \subseteq P.$$

This implies that  $\sigma^{(k-j)u}(a_m R) \subseteq P$ , for some  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , i.e.,  $a_m R \subseteq \sigma^{-(k-j)u}(P) = P$ . So we have  $a_m R \subseteq P$ , for all  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . Therefore  $a_m \in P(R) = N(R)$ . Now  $x^m a_m \in S(N(R)) \subseteq N(S(R))$  implies that  $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^i a_i \in N(S(R))$ , and with the same process, in a finite number of steps, it can be seen that  $a_i \in P(R) = N(R)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ . Therefore  $f \in S(N(R))$ . Hence  $N(S(R)) \subseteq S(N(R))$  and the result. ■

We now establish a relation between the minimal prime ideals of  $R$  and those of  $S(R)$  in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $R$ . Then  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(S(R))$  if and only if there exists  $L \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ , such that  $S(P \cap R) = P$  and  $P \cap R = L^0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . Then  $\sigma^u(L) = L$  for some integer  $u \geq 1$ . Let  $L_1 = L^0$ . Then by [15, (10.6.12)] and by [7, Theorem 7.27],  $Q_2 = S(L_1) \in \text{MinSpec}(S(R))$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(S(R))$ . Then  $P \cap R = U^0$  for some  $U \in \text{Spec}(R)$  and  $U$  contains a minimal prime  $U_1$ . Now  $P \supseteq S(R)U_1^0$ , which is a prime ideal of  $S(R)$ . Hence  $P = S(R)U_1^0$ . ■

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this section in the form of the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a 2-primal Noetherian ring. Then  $S(R)$  is 2-primal Noetherian.*

*Proof.* The fact that  $R$  is Noetherian implies  $S(R)$  is Noetherian follows from Hilbert Basis Theorem, namely Theorem 1.12 of [7]. Now  $R$  is 2-primal implies  $N(R) = P(R)$  and Proposition 2.1 implies that  $\sigma(N(R)) = N(R)$ . Therefore  $S(N(R)) = S(P(R))$ . Now by Proposition 2.2  $S(N(R)) = N(S(R))$ .

We now show that  $S(P(R)) = P(S(R))$ . It is easy to see that  $S(P(R)) \subseteq P(S(R))$ . Now let  $g = \sum_{i=0}^t x^i b_i \in P(S(R))$ . Then  $g \in P_i$ , for all distinct  $P_i \in \text{MinSpec}(S(R))$ . Now Theorem 2.3 implies that there exists  $U_i \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$  such that  $P_i = S((U_i)^0)$ . Now it can be seen that  $P_i$  are distinct implies that  $U_i$  are distinct. Therefore  $g \in S((U_i)^0)$ . This implies that  $b_i \in (U_i)^0 \subseteq U_i$ . Thus we have  $b_i \in U_i$ , for all  $U_i \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . Therefore  $b_i \in P(R)$ , which implies that  $g \in S(P(R))$ . So we have  $P(S(R)) \subseteq S(P(R))$ , and hence  $S(P(R)) = P(S(R))$ . Thus we have  $P(S(R)) = S(P(R)) = S(N(R)) = N(S(R))$ . Hence  $S(R)$  is 2-primal. ■

**Question 2.5.** Let  $R$  be a 2-primal ring. Is  $S(R)$  2-primal?

The main difficulty is that Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 do not hold.

### 3. Differential Operator Ring $D(R) = R[x, \delta]$

Throughout this section  $R$  is a Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra and  $\delta$  is a derivation of  $R$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra and  $\delta$  be a derivation of  $R$ . Then  $\delta(P) \subseteq P$ , for all  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$  and  $\delta(P(R)) \subseteq P(R)$ .*

*Proof.* See [7, Lemma 2.20]. ■

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra and  $\delta$  be a derivation of  $R$ . Then  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(D(R))$  if and only if  $P = D(P \cap R)$  and  $P \cap R \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P_1 \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . Then  $\delta(P_1) \subseteq P_1$  by Proposition 3.1. Therefore by (14.2.5) (ii) of [15],  $D(P_1) \in \text{Spec}(D(R))$ . Suppose  $P_2 \subset D(P_1)$  is a minimal prime ideal of  $D(R)$ . Then  $P_2 = D(P_2 \cap R) \subset D(P_1) \in \text{MinSpec}(D(R))$ . So  $P_2 \cap R \subset P_1$  which is not possible.

Conversely suppose that  $P \in \text{MinSpec}(D(R))$ . Then  $P \cap R \in \text{Spec}(R)$  by Lemma 2.21 of [7]. Let  $P_3 \subset P \cap R$  be a minimal prime ideal of  $R$ . Then  $D(P_3) \subset D(P \cap R)$  and as in the first paragraph  $D(P_3) \in \text{Spec}(D(R))$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $P \cap R \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . ■

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a 2-primal Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra and let  $\delta$  be a derivation of  $R$  such that  $N(D(R)) = D(N(R))$ . Then  $D(R)$  is 2-primal Noetherian.*

*Proof.* First of all we note that  $D(P(R))$  is well defined by Proposition 3.1. Also  $D(R)$  is Noetherian by Theorem 1.12 of [7]. Now  $R$  is 2-primal implies that  $N(R) = P(R)$ . We will show that  $P(D(R)) = D(P(R))$ . Now let  $g = \sum_{i=0}^t x^i b_i \in P(D(R))$ . Then  $g \in P_i$ , for all distinct  $P_i \in \text{MinSpec}(S(R))$ . Now Theorem 3.2 implies that  $P_i \cap R \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$  and that  $P_i = D(P_i \cap R)$ . Denote  $P_i \cap R$  by  $U_i$ . Now it can be seen that  $U_i$  are distinct. Therefore  $g \in D(U_i)$ . This implies that  $b_i \in U_i$ . Thus we have  $b_i \in U_i$ , for all  $U_i \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . Therefore  $b_i \in P(R)$ , which implies that  $g \in D(P(R))$ . So we have  $P(D(R)) \subseteq D(P(R))$ . Now let  $h = \sum_{i=0}^m x^i c_i \in D(P(R))$ . Then  $c_i \in D(P(R)) \subseteq T_i$ , for all distinct  $T_i \in \text{MinSpec}(R)$ . Now Theorem 3.2 implies that  $D(T_i) \in \text{MinSpec}(D(R))$ . Denote  $D(T_i)$  by  $L_i$ . Now it can be seen that  $L_i$  are distinct and therefore  $h \in L_i$  for all  $L_i \in \text{MinSpec}(D(R))$ . Thus  $h \in P(D(R))$  and therefore  $D(P(R)) \subseteq P(D(R))$ .

So we have  $P(D(R)) = D(P(R))$ . Now it is given that  $N(D(R)) = D(N(R))$  and thus we have  $P(D(R)) = D(P(R)) = D(N(R)) = N(D(R))$ . Hence  $D(R)$  is 2-primal. ■

We terminate the article with the following question.

**Question 3.4.** Let  $R$  be a 2-primal Noetherian  $Q$ -algebra. Is  $D(R)$  2-primal?

## References

1. S. Annin, Associated primes over skew polynomial rings, *Commun. Algebra* **30** (2002), 2511–2528.
2. N. Argac and N. J. Groenewald, A generalization of 2-primal near rings, *Questiones Mathematicae* **27** (2004), 397–413.
3. V. K. Bhat, A note on Krull dimension of skew polynomial rings, *Lobachevskii J. Math.* **22** (2006), 3–6.
4. V. K. Bhat, On 2-Primal Ore extensions, *Ukrainian Math. Bulletin* **4** (2007), 173–179.
5. W. D. Blair and L. W. Small, Embedding differential and skew-polynomial rings into artinian rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109** (1990), 881–886.
6. P. Gabriel, Representations des Algebres de Lie Resoulubles (d' apres J. Dixmier. In *Seminaire Bourbaki*, 1968-69, pp 1–22, (Lecture Notes in Math. No 179, Berlin 1971 Springer Verlag).
7. K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield Jr, *An Introduction to Non-commutative Noetherian Rings*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
8. C. Y. Hong and T. K. Kwak, On minimal strongly prime ideals, *Commun. Algebra* **28** (2000), 4868–4878.
9. C. Y. Hong, N. K. Kim and T. K. Kwak, Ore-extensions of baer and p.p.-rings, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **151** (2000), 215–226.

10. C. Y. Hong, N. K. Kim, T. K. Kwak and Y. Lee, On weak  $\pi$ -regularity of rings whose prime ideals are maximal, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **146** (2000), 35–44.
11. N. K. Kim and T. K. Kwak, Minimal prime ideals in 2-primal rings, *Math. Japonica* **50** (1999), 415–420.
12. J. Krempa, Some examples of reduced rings, *Algebra Colloq.* **3/4** (1996), 289–300.
13. T. K. Kwak, Prime radicals of skew-polynomial rings, *Int. J. of Mathematical Sciences* **2** (2003), 219–227.
14. G. Marks, On 2-primal Ore extensions, *Commun. Algebra* **29** (2001), 2113–2123.
15. J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, Wiley, 1987; revised edition: American Math. Society, 2001.
16. G. Y. Shin, Prime ideals and sheaf representations of a pseudo symmetric ring, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **184** (1973), 43–60.