Vietnam Journal of MATHEMATICS © VAST 2009

Some Ostrowski Type Inequalities and Applications

Zheng Liu

Institute of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Science Liaoning University of Science and Technology Anshan 114044, Liaoning, China

Received September 26, 2006

Abstract. New generalizations of Ostrowski type inequality for functions of Lipschitzian type are established. Applications for cumulative distribution functions are given.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D15.

Key words: Ostrowski type inequality, Lipschitzian type function, probability density function, cumulative distribution function.

1. Introduction

In [4], Dragomir, Cerone and Roumeliotis have proved the following generalization of Ostrowski inequality.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on [a,b], differentiable on (a,b) and whose derivative $f':(a,b) \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded on (a,b). Denote $||f'||_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in [a,b]} |f'(t)| < \infty$. Then

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt - \left[f(x)(1-\lambda) + \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \lambda \right] (b-a) \right|$$

$$\leq \left[\frac{1}{4} (b-a)^{2} (\lambda^{2} + (1-\lambda)^{2}) + (x - \frac{a+b}{2})^{2} \right] \|f'\|_{\infty},$$
(1)

16 Zheng Liu

for all $\lambda \in [0,1]$ and $a + \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \le x \le b - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}$.

In [7], Ujević derived a perturbation of (1) as follows:

Theorem 1.2. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and $a, b \in I$, a < b. If $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function such that $\gamma \leq f'(t) \leq \Gamma$, $\forall t \in [a, b]$, for some constants $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, then we have

$$\left| (b-a) \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} (f(a) + f(b)) + (1-\lambda)f(x) - \frac{\Gamma + \gamma}{2} (1-\lambda) \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right] - \int_a^b f(t) dt \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\Gamma - \gamma}{2} \left[\frac{(b-a)^2}{4} (\lambda^2 + (1-\lambda)^2) + \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^2 \right], \tag{2}$$

where $a + \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \le x \le b - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}$ and $\lambda \in [a,b]$.

Both (1) and (2) have been used to estimate the remainder term of the midpoint, trapezoid, and Simpson quadrature formulae. Applications in numerical integration are given respectively.

In this paper, we will generalize Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 to functions of some larger classes. For convenience, we define functions of Lipschitzian type as follows:

Definition 1.3. The function $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *L-Lipschitzian* on [a,b] if

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le L|x - y|$$
 for all $x, y \in [a, b]$,

where L > 0 is given.

Definition 1.4. The function $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be (l,L)-Lipschitzian on [a,b] if

$$l(x_2 - x_1) \le f(x_2) - f(x_1) \le L(x_2 - x_1)$$
 for $a \le x_1 \le x_2 \le b$,

where $l, L \in \mathbb{R}$ with l < L.

We will also need the following well-known result.

Lemma 1.5. Let $g, v : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that g is Riemann-integrable on [a, b] and v is L-Lipschitzian on [a, b]. Then

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} g(t) \, dv(t) \right| \le L \int_{a}^{b} |g(t)| \, dt. \tag{3}$$

The purpose of this paper is to generalize Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 to functions which are L-Lipschitzian and (l, L)-Lipschitzian, respectively. Applications for cumulative distribution functions are given.

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be (l,L)-Lipschitzian on [a,b]. Then we have

$$\left| (b-a) \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} (f(a) + f(b)) + (1-\lambda)f(x) - \frac{L+l}{2} (1-\lambda) \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right] - \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{L-l}{2} \left[\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4} (\lambda^{2} + (1-\lambda)^{2}) + \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^{2} \right], \tag{4}$$

where $a + \lambda((b-a)/2) \le x \le b - \lambda((b-a)/2)$ and $\lambda \in [0,1]$.

Proof. Let us define the function

$$K(x,t) := \begin{cases} t - \left(a + \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}\right), & t \in [a,x], \\ \\ t - \left(b - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}\right), & t \in (x,b]. \end{cases}$$

Put

$$g(t) := f(t) - \frac{L+l}{2}t. \tag{5}$$

It is easy to find that the function $g:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ is K-Lipschitzian on [a,b] with $K=\frac{L-l}{2}$. So, the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b K(x,t)\,dg(t)$ exists. Using the integration by parts formula for Riemann-Stieltjes integral, we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} K(x,t) \, dg(t) = (b-a) \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} (g(a) + g(b)) + (1-\lambda)g(x) \right] - \int_{a}^{b} g(t) \, dt. \quad (6)$$

From (3) of Lemma 1.5 we have

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} K(x,t) \, dg(t) \right| \le \frac{L-l}{2} \int_{a}^{b} |K(x,t)| \, dt. \tag{7}$$

We also have

$$\int_{a}^{b} |K(x,t)| dt = \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4} \left[\lambda^{2} + (1-\lambda)^{2} \right] + \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^{2}.$$
 (8)

18 Zheng Liu

(6), (7) and (8) imply that

$$\left| (b-a) \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} (g(a) + g(b)) + (1-\lambda)g(x) \right] - \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{L-l}{2} \left[\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4} (\lambda^{2} + (1-\lambda)^{2}) + \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2} \right].$$
 (9)

Consequently, the inequality (4) follows from substituting (5) to the left hand side of the inequality (9).

Corollary 2.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\left| f(x)(b-a) - \frac{L+l}{2}(b-a) \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{L-l}{2} \left[\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4} + \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^{2} \right]$$
(10)

for all $x \in [a, b]$, and especially

$$\left| f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)(b-a) - \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt \right| \le \frac{L-l}{8}(b-a)^{2}.$$
 (11)

Proof. We set $\lambda = 0$ in (4) to get (10) and $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ in (10) to get (11).

Corollary 2.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\left| \frac{b-a}{2} [f(a) + f(b)] - \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt \right| \le \frac{L-l}{8} (b-a)^{2}.$$
 (12)

Proof. We set $\lambda = 1$ in (4) to get (12). (Note that $\lambda = 1$ implies $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$.)

Corollary 2.4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\left| (b-a) \left[\frac{f(a) + f(b)}{4} + \frac{1}{2} f(x) - \frac{L+l}{4} \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right] - \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{L-l}{2} \left[\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{8} + \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^{2} \right]$$
(13)

for all $x \in \left[\frac{3a+b}{4}, \frac{a+3b}{4}\right]$, and especially

$$\left| \frac{b-a}{4} \left[f(a) + 2f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + f(b) \right] - \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt \right| \le \frac{L-l}{16} (b-a)^{2}.$$
 (14)

Proof. We set $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ in (4) to get (13) and $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ in (13) to get (14).

Corollary 2.5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\left| \frac{b-a}{6} \left[f(a) + 4f(x) + f(b) \right] - \frac{L+l}{3} \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{L-l}{2} \left[\frac{5}{36} (b-a)^{2} + \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^{2} \right],$$
(15)

for all $x \in \left[\frac{5a+b}{6}, \frac{a+5b}{6}\right]$, and especially

$$\left| \frac{b-a}{6} \left[f(a) + 4f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + f(b) \right] - \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \le \frac{5}{72} (L-l)(b-a)^2.$$
 (16)

Proof. We set $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$ in (4) to get (15) and $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ in (15) to get (16).

Remark 2.6. Inequalities (11), (12) and (16) were first appeared in [6].

Remark 2.7. It is interesting to note that the smallest bound for (4) is obtained at $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ and $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus the quadrature rule (14) comprised of the linear combination of the mid-point and trapezoidal rule is optimal and has a lower bound than Simpson's rule (16).

Theorem 2.8. Let $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be L-Lipschitzian on [a,b]. Then we have

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt - \left[f(x)(1-\lambda) + \frac{f(b) + f(a)}{2} \lambda \right] (b-a) \right|$$

$$\leq \left[\frac{1}{4} (b-a)^{2} (\lambda^{2} + (1-\lambda)^{2}) + \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^{2} \right] L,$$
(17)

where $a + \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \le x \le b - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}$ and $\lambda \in [0,1]$.

Proof. It is immediate to get the inequality (17) by taking l = -L in Theorem 2.1.

Remark 2.9. Estimates for the remainder term of the mid-point, trapezoid and Simpson formulae for functions of L-Lipschitzian which have been given in [2, 3, 5] can now be recaptured from (17) as special cases.

Remark 2.10. It is clear that Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.8 can be regarded as generalizations of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.1, respectively.

20 Zheng Liu

3. Applications for Cumulative Distribution Functions

Now we consider some applications for cumulative distribution functions. Let X be a random variable having the probability density function $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and the cumulative distribution function $F(x) = P_r(X \le x)$, i.e.,

$$F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(t) dt, \quad x \in [a, b].$$

E(X) is the expectation of X. Then we have the following inequality.

Theorem 3.1. With the above assumptions and if there exist the constants M, m such that $0 \le m \le f(t) \le M \le 1$ for all $t \in [a, b]$, then we have the inequality

$$\left| (1 - \lambda) \left[P_r(X \le x) - \frac{M+m}{2} \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{b-E(X)}{b-a} \right|$$

$$\le \frac{M-m}{2(b-a)} \left[\frac{(b-a)^2}{4} (\lambda^2 + (1-\lambda)^2) + \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^2 \right], \tag{18}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0,1]$ and $a + \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \le x \le b - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}$.

Proof. It is easy to find that the function $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$ is (m, M)-Lipschitzian on [a, b]. So, by Theorem 2.1 we get

$$\begin{split} \left| (b-a) \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} (F(a) + F(b)) + (1-\lambda)F(x) - \frac{M+m}{2} (1-\lambda) \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. - \int_a^b F(t) \, dt \right| \\ \leq \frac{M-m}{2} \left[\frac{(b-a)^2}{4} (\lambda^2 + (1-\lambda)^2) + \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^2 \right], \end{split}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0,1]$ and $a + \lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \le x \le b - \lambda \frac{b-a}{2}$.

As
$$F(a) = 0, F(b) = 1$$
, and

$$\int_{a}^{b} F(t) dt = b - E(X),$$

then we can easily deduce the inequality (18).

Corollary 3.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\left| E(X) - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \le \frac{M-m}{8} (b-a)^2.$$
 (19)

Proof. We set $\lambda = 1$ (which also implies $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$) in (18) to get (19).

Remark 3.3. It should be noted that the inequality (19) improves the inequality (5.4) in [1].

Corollary 3.4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\left| P_r(X \le x) - \frac{M+m}{2} \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \frac{b-E(X)}{b-a} \right|$$

$$\le \frac{M-m}{2(b-a)} \left[\frac{(b-a)^2}{4} + \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^2 \right],$$
(20)

and especially

$$\left| P_r \left(X \le \frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \frac{b - E(X)}{b-a} \right| \le \frac{M-m}{8} (b-a). \tag{21}$$

Proof. We set $\lambda = 0$ in (18) to get (20) and $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ in (20) to get (21).

Corollary 3.5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\left| P_r \left(X \le \frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right| \le \frac{M-m}{4} (b-a). \tag{22}$$

Proof. Using the triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| P_r \left(X \le \frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right| \\ & = \left| P_r \left(X \le \frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \left(E(X) - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{b-a} \left(E(X) - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right| \\ & \le \left| P_r \left(X \le \frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \frac{b-E(X)}{b-a} \right| + \frac{1}{b-a} \left| E(X) - \frac{a+b}{2} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

and then the inequality (22) follows from (19) and (21).

Remark 3.6. Finally, we would like to point out that the inequalities (4) and (17) can also be applied in numerical integrations as in [4, 7].

References

- N. B. Barnett and S. S. Dragomir, Some inequalities for probability, expectation and variance of random variable defined over a finite interval, *Comp. Math. Appl.* 43 (2002), 1319–1357.
- 2. P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, Mid-point type rules from an inequalities point of view, In *Handbook of Analytic-Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics*, (Edited by G. Anastassiou), CRC Press, New York, 2000, pp. 135–200.
- 3. P. Cerone and S. S. Dragomir, Trapezoidal type rules from an inequalities point of view, In *Handbook of Analytic-Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics*, (Edited by G. Anastassiou), CRC Press, New York, 2000, pp. 65–134.

22 $Zheng\ Liu$

4. S. S. Dragomir, P. Cerone, and J. Roumeliotis, A new generalization of Ostrowski integral inequality for mappings whose derivatives are bounded and applications in numerical integration and for special means, $Appl.\ Math.\ Lett.\ 14\ (2000),\ 19–25.$

- S. S. Dragomir, R. P. Agarwal, and P. Cerone, On Simpson's inequality and applications, J. Inequal. Appl. 5 (2000), 533-579.
 Z. Liu, Refinement of an inequality of Grüss type for Riemann-Stieltjes integral,
- Soochow J. Math. **36** (2004), 131–136.

 7. N. Ujević, A generalization of Ostrowski's inequality and applications in numerical
- integration, Appl. Math. Lett. 17 (2004), 133-137.