

## On Ideals of Subtraction Semigroups

G. Satheesh Kumar<sup>1</sup> and P. Dheena<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Applied Mathematics,  
Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering, Sriperumbudur - 602 105*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics,  
Annamalai University, Annamalainagar - 608 002*

Received September 17, 2007

Revised November 19, 2009

**Abstract.** In this paper we have derived equivalent conditions for an ideal to be prime in a subtraction semigroup  $X$ . We have also shown that if  $I$  is an ideal of  $X$  with  $I \cap M = \phi$ , where  $M$  is an  $m$ -system then  $I$  is contained in a prime ideal  $P$  with  $P \cap M = \phi$ .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F35.

*Key words:* subtraction semigroup, prime ideal, regular, strongly regular.

### 1. Introduction

Schein [4] considered systems of the form  $(\phi; \circ, \setminus)$  where  $\phi$  is a set of functions closed under the composition “ $\circ$ ” of functions (and hence  $(\phi; \circ)$  is a function semigroup) and the set theoretic subtraction “ $\setminus$ ” (and hence  $(\phi; \setminus)$  is a subtraction algebra in the sense of [1]). Zelinka [6] discussed a problem proposed by Schein concerning the structure of multiplication in a subtraction semigroup. Eun Hwan Roh, Kyung Ho Kim and Jong Geol Lee [2] obtained significant results in subtraction semigroups. We have defined an ideal which is different from that of Lee [2] and showed that if  $P$  is prime then for every  $a, b \in X$ ,  $aXb \subseteq P \Rightarrow a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ . The theory of subtraction semigroups runs almost parallel to the theory of rings. But in ring theory it has been shown that if  $R$  is a strongly regular ring then every prime ideal is maximal. But this is not true in subtraction semi-

groups. We have shown that if  $P$  is a prime  $k$ -bound ideal then  $P$  is maximal in a strongly regular subtraction semigroup  $X$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** A non empty set  $X$  together with a binary operation “ $-$ ” is said to be a subtraction algebra if it satisfies the following identities:

1.  $x - (y - x) = x$ .
2.  $x - (x - y) = y - (y - x)$ .
3.  $(x - y) - z = (x - z) - y$ , for every  $x, y, z \in X$ .

The subtraction determines an order relation on  $X$  :  $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow a - b \leq 0$ , where  $a - a = 0$  is an element that does not depend on the choice of  $a \in X$ .

**Example 2.2.** Let  $A$  be any non empty set. Then  $(P(A), \setminus)$  is a subtraction algebra, where “ $P(A)$ ” denotes the power set of  $A$  and “ $\setminus$ ” denotes the set theoretic subtraction.

Let  $X$  be a subtraction algebra. Then for every  $x, y \in X$  we have:

1.  $x - 0 = x$  and  $0 - x = 0$ .
2.  $(x - y) - x = 0$ .
3.  $(x - y) - y = x - y$ .
4.  $(x - y) - (y - x) = x - y$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be subtraction algebras. Then  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  is said to be a homomorphism if  $f(x - y) = f(x) - f(y)$  for every  $x, y \in X$ .

Following [3], we have the following definition of subtraction semigroup.

**Definition 2.4.** A nonempty set  $X$  together with two binary operations “ $-$ ” and “ $\cdot$ ” is said to be a subtraction semigroup if it satisfies the following:

1.  $(X; -)$  is a subtraction algebra.
2.  $(X; \cdot)$  is a semigroup.
3.  $x(y - z) = xy - xz$  and  $(x - y)z = xz - yz$  for every  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Example 2.5.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a subtraction algebra. Then the set  $M_h(\Gamma)$  of all homomorphisms of  $\Gamma$  into  $\Gamma$  is a subtraction semigroup under pointwise subtraction and composition of mappings.

Unless stated otherwise throughout this paper  $X$  stands for a subtraction semigroup not necessarily with identity.

### 3. Ideals of subtraction semigroups

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, -, \cdot)$  be a subtraction semigroup. A nonempty subset  $I$  of  $X$  is called a left (right) ideal if  $x - y \in I$ , for every  $x \in I$ ,  $y \in X$  and  $XI \subseteq I$  ( $IX \subseteq I$ ). If  $I$  is both a left and right ideal then  $I$  is an ideal, denoted by  $I \trianglelefteq X$ .

**Remark 3.2.** Let  $I \subseteq X$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $(\forall x \in I, y \in X) x - y \in I$ .
- (ii)  $x \leq y$  and  $y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I$ .

**Definition 3.3.** An ideal  $I$  is said to be a  $k$ -ideal if  $x - y \in I$  and  $y \in I$  implies  $x \in I$ .

**Example 3.4.** Consider the following subtraction semigroup

-	0	1	2	3	4	5		.	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	3	4	3	1		1	0	1	4	3	4	0
2	2	5	0	2	5	4		2	0	4	2	0	4	5
3	3	0	3	0	3	3		3	0	3	0	3	0	0
4	4	0	0	4	0	4		4	0	4	4	0	4	0
5	5	5	0	5	5	0		5	0	0	5	0	0	5

Here  $\{0, 1, 3, 4\}$  is a  $k$ -ideal.  $\{0, 3, 4, 5\}$  is an ideal but not a  $k$ -ideal, since  $2 - 4 = 5 \in \{0, 3, 4, 5\}$  but  $2 \notin \{0, 3, 4, 5\}$ .

**Definition 3.5.** An ideal  $I$  is said to be  $k$ -bound if for every ideal  $J \supset I$ ,  $J$  is a  $k$ -ideal.

**Example 3.6.** Consider the subtraction semigroup given in Example 3.4. Here  $\{0, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  is a  $k$ -bound ideal.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $a \in X$ . Then

$\langle a \rangle = \{x \in X \mid x - a = 0 \text{ or } x - ar = 0 \text{ or } x - sa = 0 \text{ or } x - r_1ar_2 = 0, r, s, r_1, r_2 \in X\}$  is the principal ideal generated by  $a$ .

*Proof.* Let  $i \in \langle a \rangle$  and  $x \in X$ . Then  $i - a = 0$  or  $i - ar = 0$  or  $i - sa = 0$  or  $i - r_1ar_2 = 0$ , for some  $r, s, r_1, r_2 \in X$ . Suppose  $i - a = 0$ . Then  $(i - x) - a = (i - a) - x = 0$  and hence  $i - x \in \langle a \rangle$ . Similarly for other cases also we can show that  $i - x \in \langle a \rangle$ . Similarly  $ix \in \langle a \rangle$  and  $xi \in \langle a \rangle$  for every  $x \in X$ . Clearly  $a \in \langle a \rangle$ . Thus  $\langle a \rangle$  is an ideal containing  $a$ . Let  $A$  be an ideal of  $X$  containing  $a$ . Let  $x \in \langle a \rangle$ . Then  $x - a = 0$  or  $x - ar = 0$  or  $x - sa = 0$  or  $x - r_1ar_2 = 0$ , for some  $r, s, r_1, r_2 \in X$ . Since  $A$  is an ideal containing  $a$ , we have  $ar, sa, r_1ar_2 \in A$ . Since  $x \leq a$  or  $x \leq ar$  or  $x \leq sa$  or  $x \leq r_1ar_2$ , for some  $r, s, r_1, r_2 \in X$ , by Remark 3.2, we have  $x \in A$ . Hence  $\langle a \rangle$  is the smallest ideal of  $X$  containing  $a$ . ■

**Definition 3.8.** An ideal  $P$  is said to be prime if for ideals  $A, B$  of  $X$ ,  $AB \subseteq P$  implies  $A \subseteq P$  or  $B \subseteq P$ .

**Example 3.9.** Consider the following subtraction semigroup

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} - & 0 & a & b & c \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & a & 0 & a & a \\ b & b & b & 0 & b \\ c & c & c & c & 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c|ccc} . & 0 & a & b & c \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & 0 & b & 0 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & c \end{array}$$

Here  $\{0, b, c\}$  is a prime ideal. But  $\{0, a\}$  is not prime.

A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be right (left) invariant if  $AX \subseteq A$  ( $XA \subseteq A$ ). For  $A, B \subseteq X$ ,  $(A : B)_l = \{x \in X \mid xB \subseteq A\}$  and  $(A : B)_r = \{x \in X \mid Bx \subseteq A\}$ . If  $A$  is an ideal and  $B$  is right (left) invariant then  $(A : B)_r$  ( $(A : B)_l$ ) is an ideal. For  $A, B \subseteq X$ ,  $AB = \{ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $P$  be an ideal of  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $P$  is a prime ideal.
2. If  $a, b \in X$  such that  $aXb \subseteq P$ , then  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ .
3. If  $a, b \in X$  such that  $\langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle \subseteq P$ , then  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ .
4.  $\forall I, J \trianglelefteq X : I \supset P$  and  $J \supset P \Rightarrow IJ \not\subseteq P$ .
5.  $\forall I, J \trianglelefteq X : I \not\subseteq P$  and  $J \not\subseteq P \Rightarrow IJ \not\subseteq P$ .
6. If  $U$  and  $V$  are right ideals in  $X$  such that  $UV \subseteq P$ , then  $U \subseteq P$  or  $V \subseteq P$ .
7. If  $U$  and  $V$  are left ideals in  $X$  such that  $UV \subseteq P$ , then  $U \subseteq P$  or  $V \subseteq P$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let  $a, b \in X$  such that  $aXb \subseteq P$ . Then  $XaXbX \subseteq P$  and hence  $(XaX)(XbX) \subseteq P$ . Thus  $\langle XaX \rangle \langle XbX \rangle \subseteq P$ . Since  $P$  is prime  $\langle XaX \rangle \subseteq P$  or  $\langle XbX \rangle \subseteq P$ . Suppose that  $\langle XaX \rangle \subseteq P$ . Let  $x \in X \langle a \rangle X$ . Then  $x = y_1 a' y_2$ ,  $y_1, y_2 \in X$ , where  $a' - a = 0$  or  $a' - ar = 0$  or  $a' - sa = 0$  or  $a' - r_1 a r_2 = 0$ ,  $r, s, r_1, r_2 \in X$ . Thus  $x \in \langle XaX \rangle$ . Now  $\langle a \rangle^3 \subseteq X \langle a \rangle X \subseteq \langle XaX \rangle \subseteq P$ . Hence  $a \in P$ . Similarly if  $XbX \subseteq P$ , it follows that  $b \in P$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Let  $a, b \in X$  such that  $\langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle \subseteq P$ . Now  $aXb \subseteq \langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle \subseteq P$ . Hence  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ .

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) Let  $I, J \trianglelefteq X : I \supset P$  and  $J \supset P$ . Take  $i \in I \setminus P$  and  $j \in J \setminus P$ . Then  $\langle i \rangle \langle j \rangle \not\subseteq P$ . Hence  $IJ \not\subseteq P$ .

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (5) Let  $I, J \trianglelefteq X : I \not\subseteq P$  and  $J \not\subseteq P$ . Take  $i \in I \setminus P$  and  $j \in J \setminus P$ . Then  $\langle i \rangle \cup P \supset P$  and  $\langle j \rangle \cup P \supset P$ . Hence  $(\langle i \rangle \cup P)(\langle j \rangle \cup P) \not\subseteq P$ . Since  $P$  is an ideal  $\langle i \rangle \langle j \rangle \not\subseteq P$ . Thus  $IJ \not\subseteq P$ .

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is obvious.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (6) Suppose that  $U$  and  $V$  are right ideals in  $X$  such that  $UV \subseteq P$ . Let us assume that  $U \not\subseteq P$ . Let  $u \in U \setminus P$  and  $v \in V$ . Since  $\langle u \rangle \langle v \rangle \subseteq P$ ,  $v \in P$ .

Similarly (3) $\Rightarrow$ (7) can be shown. It is trivial that (6) $\Rightarrow$ (1) and (7) $\Rightarrow$ (1).  $\blacksquare$

**Definition 3.11.** A set  $M$  of elements of  $X$  is said to be an  $m$ -system if for every  $a, b \in M$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $axb \in M$ .

**Theorem 3.12.** Let  $M \subseteq X$  be an  $m$ -system in  $X$  and  $I$  be an ideal of  $X$  with  $I \cap M = \phi$ . Then  $I$  is contained in a prime ideal  $P \neq X$  with  $P \cap M = \phi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{I} = \{J \trianglelefteq X : J \cap M = \phi\}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{I}$  is non empty. By Zorn's lemma,  $\mathcal{I}$  contains a maximal element  $P$ .  $P$  is an ideal  $\neq X$ . Let  $J_1, J_2 \trianglelefteq X : J_1 \supset P$  and  $J_2 \supset P$ . Take some  $j_1 \in J_1 \cap M$  and  $j_2 \in J_2 \cap M$ . Hence there exists an  $x \in X$  such that  $j_1 x j_2 \in M$ . Since  $\langle j_1 \rangle \langle j_2 \rangle \subseteq J_1 J_2$ ,  $J_1 J_2 \not\subseteq P$ . Hence  $P$  is prime by Theorem 3. 10. ■

**Definition 3.13.** An ideal  $Q$  is said to be semiprime if for every ideal  $I$  of  $X$  such that  $I^2 \subseteq Q \Rightarrow I \subseteq Q$ .

**Example 3.14.** Consider the following subtraction semigroup

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} - & 0 & a & b & c \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & a & 0 & a & a \\ b & b & b & 0 & b \\ c & c & c & c & 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c|cccc} . & 0 & a & b & c \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ b & 0 & 0 & 0 & b \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 & c \end{array}$$

Here  $\{0, a\}$  is not a semiprime ideal. Consider the subtraction semigroup given in Example 3.9. Here  $\{0, b\}$  is a semiprime ideal which is not prime.

**Definition 3.15.** If  $I \trianglelefteq X$ , then  $P(I)$  is the intersection of all prime ideals containing  $I$ . Clearly  $P(I)$  is a semiprime ideal containing  $I$ .

**Theorem 3.16.** Let  $X$  be a subtraction semigroup. If  $x \in P(I)$ , then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x^k \in I$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I \trianglelefteq X$  and  $x \in P(I)$ . Let  $M = \{x, x^2, x^3, \dots\}$ . If  $I \cap M = \phi$  then by Theorem 3.12, there is some prime ideal  $P \supseteq I$  with  $P \cap M = \phi$ , a contradiction to  $x \in P(I)$ . Hence  $I \cap M \neq \phi$ . Thus there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N} : x^k \in I$ . ■

**Theorem 3.17.** Let  $Q$  be an ideal of  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $Q$  is a semiprime ideal.
2. If  $a \in X$  such that  $aXa \subseteq Q$ , then  $a \in Q$ .
3. If  $a \in X$  such that  $\langle a \rangle^2 \subseteq Q$ , then  $a \in Q$ .
4. If  $U$  is a right ideal in  $X$  such that  $U^2 \subseteq Q$ , then  $U \subseteq Q$ .
5. If  $U$  is a left ideal in  $X$  such that  $U^2 \subseteq Q$ , then  $U \subseteq Q$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.10. ■

#### 4. Regular subtraction semigroups

A subtraction semigroup  $X$  is said to be regular if given  $a \in X$ , there is  $x \in X$  such that  $axa = a$ .  $X$  is called strongly regular when for each  $a \in X$ ,  $a = xa^2$ , for some  $x \in X$ . A subtraction semigroup  $X$  is said to have *IFP* (insertion of factors property) if for  $a, b$  in  $X$  if  $ab = 0$  implies  $axb = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . An element  $x \in X$  is said to be nilpotent if there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $x^n = 0$ . An ideal  $I$  is said to be nil if every element of  $I$  is nilpotent.  $X$  is said to be reduced if  $X$  has no non zero nilpotent elements. An element  $e \in X$  is said to be idempotent if  $e^2 = e$ . An element  $a \in X$  is said to be central if  $ax = xa$  for every  $x \in X$ . For  $C \subseteq X$ , we denote the set  $\{x \in X \mid xC = 0\}$  by  $l(C)$  and  $\{x \in X \mid Cx = 0\}$  by  $r(C)$ . For  $A, B \subseteq X$  we define  $A - B = \{a - b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are ideals then  $A - B$  is also an ideal.

**Example 4.1.** The subtraction semigroup given in Example 3.9 is a regular subtraction semigroup. But the subtraction semigroup given in 3.14 is not regular since there is no  $x \in X$  such that  $a = axa$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a subtraction semigroup.*

*Then  $N = \{a \in X \mid \langle a \rangle \text{ is a nil ideal in } X\}$  is the largest nil ideal in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in N$  and  $b \in X$ . Then  $\langle a \rangle$  is a nil ideal in  $X$ . Let  $t \in \langle a - b \rangle$ . Then  $t - (a - b) = 0$  or  $t - (a - b)r = 0$  or  $t - s(a - b) = 0$  or  $t - r_1(a - b)r_2 = 0$ ,  $r, s, r_1, r_2 \in X$ . Thus  $t = a_1 - b_1$ ,  $a_1 \in \langle a \rangle$ ,  $b_1 \in \langle b \rangle$ . Since  $a_1$  is nilpotent there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $a_1^n = 0$ . Hence  $t^n = 0$ . Thus  $a - b \in N$ . Let  $r \in X$ . Then  $\langle ar \rangle \subseteq \langle a \rangle$  and hence  $ar \in N$ . Similarly  $ra \in N$ . Clearly  $N$  is a nil ideal in  $X$ . Let  $R$  be a nil ideal and  $s \in R$ . Hence  $\langle s \rangle$  is a nil ideal and so  $s \in N$ . ■

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $X$  is reduced then the idempotents are central.*

*Proof.* Now  $ab = 0$  implies  $ba = 0$  since  $(ba)^2 = b(ab)a$ . Again for any  $x$  in  $X$ ,  $(axb)^2 = ax(ba)xb = 0$ . Hence  $axb = 0$ . Thus  $X$  has IFP. For  $e^2 = e$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $e(xe - exe) = 0$ . Hence  $xe(xe - exe) = 0$  and  $exe(xe - exe) = 0$ . Thus  $(xe - exe)^2 = 0$ , showing that  $xe - exe = 0$ . Also  $e(exe - xe) = 0$  implies  $exe(exe - xe) = 0$  and  $xe(exe - xe) = 0$ . Thus  $exe - xe = 0$ . Hence  $xe \leq exe \leq xe$ . Thus  $xe = exe$ . Similarly  $ex = exe$  and hence  $xe = ex$ . ■

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $X$  has IFP, then for each subset  $S$  of  $X$ ,  $l(S)$  and  $r(S)$  are  $k$ -ideals of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in l(S)$  and  $y \in X$ . Then  $xS = 0$ . Now for  $s \in S$ ,  $(x - y)s = xs - ys = 0$ , showing that  $x - y \in l(S)$ . Since  $X$  has IFP for  $r \in X$ ,  $xrs = 0$  for every  $s \in S$ . Hence  $xr \in l(S)$ . Clearly  $rx \in l(S)$ . Let  $x - y \in l(S)$  and  $y \in l(S)$ . For  $s \in S$ ,  $0 = (x - y)s = xs - ys = xs$  showing that  $x \in l(S)$ . Similarly it can be verified for  $r(S)$ . ■

**Remark 4.5.** If  $X$  is reduced then  $ab = 0$  implies  $ba = 0$  and hence  $l(S) = r(S)$ , denoted by  $A(S)$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** *A subtraction semigroup  $X$  is strongly regular if and only if it is regular and without nonzero nilpotent elements.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be strongly regular. Suppose  $a \in X$  such that  $a^2 = 0$ . Since  $X$  is strongly regular there exists some  $x \in X$  such that  $a = xa^2 = 0$ . Thus  $a^2 = 0$  implies  $a = 0$  for every  $a$  in  $X$ . Hence  $X$  is without nonzero nilpotent elements. Let  $a \in X$ . Then  $a = xa^2$ , for some  $x \in X$ . Hence  $(a - axa)a = 0$ . Since  $X$  is without nonzero nilpotent elements, we have  $ab = 0$  implies  $ba = 0$  and therefore  $X$  has IFP. Hence  $a - axa = 0$ . Similarly we have  $axa - a = 0$  and hence  $a = axa$ .

Conversely, let  $X$  be a regular subtraction semigroup without nonzero nilpotent elements. Let  $a \in X$ . Since  $X$  is regular  $a = aya$ , for some  $y \in X$ . Since  $ya$  is an idempotent, by Lemma 4.3,  $a = aya = ya^2$ . Thus  $X$  is strongly regular. ■

It is well known that if  $R$  is a strongly regular ring, then every prime ideal is maximal. But this is not true in subtraction semigroups. Consider the subtraction semigroup given in Example 3.4, which is strongly regular. Here  $\{0, 3, 5\}$  is a prime ideal which is not maximal since  $\{0, 3, 5\} \subset \{0, 3, 4, 5\}$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $X$  be a strongly regular subtraction semigroup. Then*

- (a)  $Xa$  is a  $k$ -ideal for all  $a \in X$ .
- (b) Every  $k$ -bound prime ideal is maximal.
- (c) Every ideal  $I$  of  $X$  fulfills  $I = I^2$ .

*Proof.* (a) Let  $X$  be a strongly regular subtraction semigroup and let  $a \in X$ . Then  $a = xa^2$  for some  $x \in X$ . Hence  $a = axa$  by Theorem 4.6. Let  $xa = e$ . Then  $e$  is an idempotent and  $Xa = Xe$ . Denoting the set  $\{n - ne | n \in X\}$  by  $S$  we claim that  $A(S) = Xe$ . Since  $(n - ne)e = 0$  for any  $n \in X$  using IFP  $(n - ne)Xe = 0$ . Hence  $Xe \subseteq A(S)$ . Suppose  $y \in A(S)$ . Since  $X$  is strongly regular there exists some  $z \in X$  such that  $y = zy^2$ . Now  $(zy - zye)y = 0$ . Thus  $y - ye = 0$  by Lemma 4.4. Also  $((ye - y) - (ye - y)e)y = 0$ , so that  $(ye - y)y = 0$ . Then  $y(ye - y) = 0$  and  $ye(ye - y) = 0$ . Now  $(ye - y)^2 = 0$  and hence  $ye - y = 0$ . Thus  $y = ye \in Xe$  and it follows that  $Xa = Xe = A(S)$ . Since  $A(S)$  is a  $k$ -ideal,  $Xa$  is a  $k$ -ideal.

(b) Let  $P$  be a prime  $k$ -bound ideal and suppose  $P \subset M$  for some ideal  $M$  of  $X$ . Let  $a \in M \setminus P$ . Now  $a = xa^2$  for some  $x \in X$ . For any  $n \in X$ ,  $na = nxa^2$ . Hence  $(n - nxa)a = 0$ . Since  $X$  has IFP,  $X(n - nxa)Xa = 0$ . Thus  $Xa \subseteq P$  or  $X(n - nxa) \subseteq P$ . Suppose  $Xa \subseteq P$ . Since  $a = xa^2 \in Xa$ , we have  $a \in P$  a contradiction. Suppose  $X(n - nxa) \subseteq P$ . Since  $X$  is strongly regular,  $(n - nxa) = x(n - nxa)^2 \in X(n - nxa) \subseteq P \subset M$ . Then  $(n - nxa) \in P \subset M$ . Since  $a \in M$  and  $P$  is  $k$ -bound,  $n \in M$ . Thus  $M = X$ .

(c) The proof is obvious. ■

## References

1. J.C. Abbott, *Sets, Lattices and Boolean Algebras*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1969.

2. Eun Hwan Roh, Kyung Ho Kim and Jong Geol Lee, On prime and semiprime ideals in subtraction semigroups, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae* **61** (2) (2005), 259 - 266.
3. Kyung Ho Kim, On subtraction semigroups, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae* **62** (2) (2005), 273 - 280.
4. B.M. Schein, Difference Semigroups, *Comm. in Algebra* **20** (1992), 2153 - 2169.
5. Young Bae Jun, Hee Sik Kim and Eun Hwan Roh, Ideal theory of subtraction algebras, *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae*, **61** (3) (2005), 459 - 464.
6. B. Zelinka, Subtraction semigroups, *Math. Bohemica* **120** (1995), 445 - 447.