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#### Abstract

In this paper we give weighted estimates of Hörmander type for solutions of the $\bar{\partial}$-equation of $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0, r)$-forms in $q$-pseudoconvex domains of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. At the same time, we also establish the norm formula $|\cdot|_{\Theta}$ of $(0, r)$-forms according to a positive definite Hermitian $(1,1)$-form $\Theta$.
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## 1. Introduction

Studying solutions of the $\bar{\partial}$-equation on pseudoconvex domains with weighted $L^{2}$-estimates of Hörmander type is one of important problems of complex analysis of several variables. The original Hörmander Theorem (see [11, Lemma 4.4.1]) said that if $\Omega$ is a pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $\varphi$ is a weighted function in $C^{2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
c \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|w_{j}\right|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \partial^{2} \varphi(z) / \partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k} w_{j} \bar{w}_{k}, \quad z \in \Omega, \quad w \in \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

where $c$ is a positive continuous function in $\Omega$. Assume that $g \in L_{(p, q+1)}^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{\partial} g=0$. Then there exists $u \in L_{(p, q)}^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{\partial} u=g$ and we have the following estimate

$$
\int|u|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d V \leqslant 2 \int|g|^{2} e^{-\varphi} / c d V
$$

where $d V$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
Later, a number of authors, namely, Donnelly and Fefferman (see [9]), Berndtsson (see [3,5]) or Blocki (see [7]) extended the above result of Hörmander for $(0,1)$-forms on pseudoconvex domains with estimates through Kähler metric $i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi$. We recall the following theorem which is essentially contained in [9].

Theorem 1.1. [9] Let $\phi$ and $\psi$ be plurisubharmonic functions of class $C^{2}$ on a bounded pseudoconvex domain $\Omega$ and let $\phi$ satisfy the condition

$$
i \partial \phi \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \leqslant m i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi
$$

where $m$ is a constant. Assume that $g$ is a $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0,1)$-form on $\Omega$. Then there exists $u \in L^{2}(\Omega, \psi)$ such that $\bar{\partial} u=g$ and the estimate

$$
\int|u|^{2} e^{-\psi} d V \leqslant C m \int|g|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi}^{2} e^{-\psi} d V
$$

holds, where $C$ is an absolute constant.
Later on, the result of Donnelly and Fefferman has been proved by another method by Berndtsson with the constant $C=\frac{4}{\delta(1-\delta)^{2}}, 0<\delta<1$ (see [3, Theorem 3.1]). Notice that all the above results have been proved under the hypothesis that $\Omega$ is a bounded pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and for $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0,1)$-forms. In 1991, in the paper " $\bar{\partial}$-problem on weakly $q$-convex domains" on Math. Ann., L.-H. Ho proved the existence of solutions of the $\bar{\partial}$-problem for $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(p, r)$-forms on weakly $q$-convex domains (see [10]) without weighted $L^{2}$-estimates of Hörmander type. By modifying techniques of Hörmander [11], Berndtsson [2] and Blocki [6, 7] in this paper we will study solutions of the $\bar{\partial}$ equation on $q$-pseudoconvex domains for $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0, r)$-forms with weighted $L^{2}$ estimates of Hörmander type. Notice that the class of $q$-pseudoconvex domains is larger than the class of weakly $q$-convex domains introduced by L.-H. Ho in [10]. Now we outline the main contents and the organization of the paper.

Throughout this paper let $\Omega$ be a $q$-pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and let $q \leqslant r \leqslant n$. We will study the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} u=g \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is a $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0, r)$-form in $\Omega$.
Assume that there are a weight function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(\Omega)$ and a nonnegative function $H \in L^{1}(\Omega$, loc $)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|J|=r}^{\prime} \sum_{|L|=r}^{\prime} \alpha_{J} \bar{\alpha}_{L} \operatorname{det}\left(\varphi_{J, \bar{L}}\right) \leqslant H \sum_{|K|=r-1} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \varphi_{j \bar{k}} \alpha_{j K} \bar{\alpha}_{k K} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(0, r)$-forms $\alpha=\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} \alpha_{J} d \bar{z}_{J}$, where $\left(\varphi_{J, \bar{L}}\right)=\left(\varphi_{j, \bar{l}}\right)_{j \in J, l \in L}$. Note that if $r=1$ and we take $H=1$ then condition (2) is obvious. The first result of the paper is the following.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\Omega$ be a q-pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and let $\varphi$ be a strictly $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ plurisubharmonic function in $\Omega$ satisfying condition (2) and $-e^{-\varphi}$ a $q$ subharmonic function. Assume that $\delta \in(0,1)$ and $\psi$ is a $q$-subharmonic function in $\Omega$. Then for any $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0, r)$-form $g$ in $\Omega$, there is a solution, $u$, to equation (1) such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{-\psi+\delta \varphi} d V \leqslant \frac{1}{\delta(1-\sqrt{\delta})^{2}} \int_{\Omega} H|g|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{-\psi+\delta \varphi} d V
$$

Here $|.|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}$ denotes the norm in the Kähler metric with Kähler form $i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi$.
Next we obtain the following result which is a slight extension of a result in [4] (see [4, Theorem 4]) for the case $\Omega$ is a $q$-pseudoconvex domain and $\varphi$ is a plurisubharmonic function on $\Omega$. Namely we prove the following.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\Omega$ be a q-pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and let $\varphi$ be a plurisubharmonic function on $\Omega$. Assume that $\Theta=i \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \Theta_{j, \bar{k}} d z_{j} \wedge d \bar{z}_{k}$ is a positive definite hermitian $(1,1)$-form with $\Theta_{j, \bar{k}}$ continuous on $\Omega$ and $\omega$ is a positive $C^{2}$-function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \partial \bar{\partial} \omega \leqslant \omega(i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi-\Theta) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense of currents. Then there exists a solution, u, of (1) such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{-\varphi} \omega d V \leqslant \frac{1}{r^{2}} \sum_{|K|=r-1}, \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \Theta^{j, \bar{k}} g_{j K} \bar{g}_{k K} e^{-\varphi} \omega d V
$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we recall the notions of $q$ subharmonic functions and $q$-pseudoconvex domains used in the paper and list some of their basic properties. For details of results concerning with $q$ subharmonic functions and $q$-pseudoconvex domains we refer the reader to the papers of Ahn and Dieu [1] and [8]. Sec. 3 is devoted to establish the norm formula of $(0, r)$-forms in the Kähler metric induced by a positive definite hermitian $(1,1)$-form $\Theta$. Moreover, we prove some auxiliary results which will be used for proofs of the main results of this paper in Sec. 4. together with some corollaries from these theorems.

## 2. $q$-pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$

In this section we recall the notions of $q$-subharmonic functions introduced and investigated by L.-H. Ho [10] and $q$-pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ introduced by

Ahn and Dieu [1] recently, where $1 \leqslant q \leqslant n$. First we assume that the reader is familiar with plurisubharmonic functions. For details concerning with these functions we refer the reader to the monograph of Klimek [12]. Now we come back the definition of $q$-subharmonic functions. Note that in the following definition of $q$-subharmonic functions we do not assume that they are in $C^{2}(\Omega)$ as in [10]. It seems that this is a slight extension of the definition of $q$-subharmonic functions introduced by L.- H. Ho.

Definition 2.1. Let $\Omega$ be an open set in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. The function $\varphi$ defined in $\Omega$ with values in $[-\infty ;+\infty)$ is called $q$-subharmonic if it is upper semicontinuous and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \varphi \sum_{|K|=q-1} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}}\left(\alpha_{j K} \bar{\alpha}_{k K}\right) d V \geqslant 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\alpha=\sum_{|J|=q}{ }^{\prime} \alpha_{J} d \bar{z}_{J} \in \mathcal{D}_{(0, q)}(\Omega)$. Here ' means that the summation is over increasing indices and $\alpha_{j K}=\varepsilon_{j K}^{J} \alpha_{J}$, where

$$
\varepsilon_{j K}^{J}= \begin{cases}\text { the sign of the permutation taking }\{j\} \cup K \text { to } J, & \text { if }\{j\} \cup K=J \\ 0, & \text { if }\{j\} \cup K \neq J\end{cases}
$$

The function $\varphi$ is called strictly $q$-subharmonic if it is $q$-subharmonic and satisfies (4) with strictly inequality for all $\alpha \neq 0$. If $q=1$ then 1 -subharmonic exactly is plurisubharmonic.

We will denote the set of all such functions by $q-S H(\Omega)$. Note that in the case $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(\Omega)$ condition (4) is equivalent to

$$
\sum_{|K|=q-1} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}} \alpha_{j K} \bar{\alpha}_{k K} \geqslant 0
$$

for every $(0, q)$-form $\alpha=\sum_{|J|=q}{ }^{\prime} \alpha_{J} d \bar{z}_{J}$. That is the definition of $q$-subharmonic functions introduced by L.-H. Ho [10].

We list the basic properties of $q$-subharmonic functions which the reader can find from Proposition 1.2 in [1].

Proposition 2.2. Let $\Omega$ be an open set of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $1 \leqslant q \leqslant n$. Then the following hold:
(a) If $\psi$ is $q$-subharmonic in $\Omega$, then $\psi$ is subharmonic in $\Omega$.
(b) If $\psi$ is $q$-subharmonic, then $\psi$ is also $r$-subharmonic for all $q \leqslant r \leqslant n$.
(c) If $\psi$ is $q$-subharmonic in $\Omega$, then $\psi * \varrho_{\varepsilon}$ is smooth $q$-subharmonic in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$, where $\Omega_{\varepsilon}=\{z \in \Omega: d(z, \partial \Omega)>\varepsilon\}$. Moreover, $\psi * \varrho_{\varepsilon} \searrow \psi$ when $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$, where $\varrho_{\varepsilon}=\varrho(z / \varepsilon) /|\varepsilon|^{2 n}$, $\varrho$ is a nonnegative smooth function in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ vanishing outside the unit ball and satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} \varrho d V=1$.
(d) If $\chi$ is a convex increasing function and $\psi$ is $q$-subharmonic in $\Omega$, then $\chi \circ \psi$ is $q$-subharmonic in $\Omega$.

Now the following comes from [1].
Definition 2.3. An open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is called $q$-pseudoconvex if it admits a continuous $q$-subharmonic exhaustion function on $\Omega$. Here a function $\varphi$ is a $q$ subharmonic exhaustion function on $\Omega$ if it is $q$-subharmonic and for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ the set $\Omega_{c}=\{\varphi<c\} \Subset \Omega$.

We have some following remarks on $q$-pseudoconvex domains.
Remark 2.4. (a) If $\Omega$ is $q$-pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ then $\Omega$ is also $r$-pseudoconvex for all $q \leqslant r \leqslant n$.
(b) Assume that $\Omega$ is a $q$-pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. By using arguments as in [11, Theorem 2.6.11] we can find an exhaustion function $s \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ which is strictly $q$-subharmonic on $\Omega$.

## 3. Norm Formula $|\cdot|_{\Theta}$ for $(0, r)$-forms and Some Auxiliary Results

Let $\Theta=i \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \Theta_{j, \bar{k}} d z_{j} \wedge d \bar{z}_{k}$ be a positive definite hermitian (1, 1)-form. In this section we will establish the norm formula $|\cdot|_{\Theta}$ for $(0, r)$-forms. First note that if $\beta(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j}(z) d z_{j}$ is a $(1,0)$-form then

$$
|\beta|_{\Theta}^{2}(z)=\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \Theta^{j, \bar{k}}(z) \beta_{j}(z) \bar{\beta}_{k}(z)
$$

where $\left(\Theta^{j, \bar{k}}\right)$ is the inverse matrix of the matrix $\left(\Theta_{j, \bar{k}}\right)$. Moreover, assume that $f=\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} f_{J} \bar{\omega}^{J}, \omega^{J}=\omega^{j_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^{j_{r}}, \omega^{j}=\sum_{h=1}^{n} c_{h j} d z_{h}$ are (1,0)-forms satisfying

$$
\left\langle\omega^{j}, \omega^{k}\right\rangle_{\Theta}=\sum_{h, l=1}^{n} \Theta^{h, \bar{l}} c_{h j} \bar{c}_{l k}=\delta_{j k}
$$

where $\delta_{j, k}$ is the Kronecker symbol. Then

$$
|f|_{\Theta}^{2}=\langle f, f\rangle_{\Theta}=\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime}\left|f_{J}\right|^{2}
$$

(See [11, p. 119]).

Let $\lambda_{1}(z), \lambda_{2}(z), \ldots, \lambda_{n}(z)$ be $n$ eigenvalues of the matrix $\left(\Theta_{j, \bar{k}}\right)$. Then $\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}(z)}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{2}(z)}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}(z)}$ are also $n$ eigenvalues of the inverse matrix $\left(\Theta^{j, \bar{k}}\right)$. Let $C$ be the matrix of unitary change of coordinates such that $\bar{C}^{t}\left(\Theta_{j, \bar{k}}\right) C$ is the diagonal matrix. We set

$$
\omega^{j}(z)=\sqrt{\lambda_{j}(z)} \sum_{h=1}^{n} c_{h j}(z) d z_{h}
$$

It is clear that $\left\{\omega^{j}\right\}$ is an orthogonal basis for the Kähler metric induced by $\Theta$. We have

$$
d \bar{z}_{j}=\sum_{h=1}^{n} \frac{c_{j h}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{h}}} \bar{\omega}^{h} .
$$

So for all $|J|=r$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \bar{z}_{J} & =d \bar{z}_{j_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d \bar{z}_{j_{r}} \\
& =\sum_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{r} \frac{c_{j_{k} h_{k}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{h_{k}}}}\right) \bar{\omega}^{h_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\omega}^{h_{r}} \\
& =\sum_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{H}}}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{r} c_{j_{k} h_{k}}\right) \bar{\omega}^{h_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\omega}^{h_{r}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda_{H}=\prod_{k=1}^{r} \lambda_{h_{k}}$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} f_{J} d \bar{z}_{J} \\
& =\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} f_{J} \sum_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}=1}^{n}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{r} \frac{c_{j_{k} h_{k}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{h_{k}}}}\right) \bar{\omega}^{h_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\omega}^{h_{r}} \\
& =\sum_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}=1}^{n} \sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} f_{J}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{r} \frac{c_{j_{k} h_{k}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{h_{k}}}}\right) \bar{\omega}^{h_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar{\omega}^{h_{r}} \\
& =\sum_{|H|=r}{ }^{\prime}\left[\sum_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r} \in H} \varepsilon_{H}^{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}} \sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} f_{J}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{r} \frac{c_{j_{k} h_{k}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{h_{k}}}}\right)\right] \bar{\omega}^{H},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{H}^{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}}$ is the sign of the permutation taking $\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}\right\}$ to $H$. Hence $|f|_{\Theta}^{2}=\sum_{|H|=r}{ }^{\prime} \frac{1}{\lambda_{H}}\left|\sum_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r} \in H} \varepsilon_{H}^{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}} \sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} f_{J}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{r} c_{j_{k} h_{k}}\right)\right|^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{|H|=r}{ }^{\prime} \frac{1}{\lambda_{H}} \sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} \sum_{|L|=r}{ }^{\prime} f_{J} \bar{f}_{L}\left(\sum_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r} \in H} \varepsilon_{H}^{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}} \prod_{k=1}^{r} c_{j_{k} h_{k}}\right) \times \\
& \times\left(\sum_{h_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{r}^{\prime} \in H} \varepsilon_{H}^{h_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{r}^{\prime}} \prod_{k=1}^{r} \bar{c}_{l_{k} h_{k}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{|H|=r}{ }^{\prime} \frac{1}{\lambda_{H}} \sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} \sum_{|L|=r}{ }^{\prime} f_{J} \bar{f}_{L}\left(\sum_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r} \in H} \sum_{h_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{r}^{\prime} \in H} \varepsilon_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}}^{h_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{r}^{\prime}} \prod_{k=1}^{r} c_{j_{k} h_{k}} \bar{c}_{l_{k} h_{k}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} \sum_{|L|=r}{ }^{\prime} f_{J} \bar{f}_{L}\left(\sum_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}=1}^{n} \sum_{h_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{r}^{\prime}=1}^{n} \times\right. \\
& \left.\times \varepsilon_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}}^{h_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{r}^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{h_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{r}^{\prime}}}} \prod_{k=1}^{r} c_{j_{k} h_{k}} \bar{c}_{l_{k} h_{k}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} \sum_{|L|=r}{ }^{\prime} f_{J} \bar{f}_{L}\left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_{r}} \operatorname{sgn} \sigma \sum_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}}} \prod_{k=1}^{r} c_{j_{k} h_{k}} \prod_{k^{\prime}=1}^{r} \bar{c}_{l_{\bar{k}} h_{\sigma\left(k^{\prime}\right)}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} \sum_{|L|=r}{ }^{\prime} f_{J} \bar{f}_{L}\left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_{r}} \operatorname{sgn} \sigma \sum_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}}} \prod_{k=1}^{r} c_{j_{k} h_{k}} \bar{c}_{l_{\sigma-1}(k)} h_{k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} \sum_{|L|=r}{ }^{\prime} f_{J} \bar{f}_{L} \operatorname{det}\left(\Theta^{J, \bar{L}}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we obtain the following.
Proposition 3.1. Let $\Theta=i \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \Theta_{j, \bar{k}} d z_{j} \wedge d \bar{z}_{k}$ be a positive definite hermitian $(1,1)$-form. Then for any $(0, r)$-forms $f=\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} f_{J} d \bar{z}_{J}$ the following norm formula holds

$$
|f|_{\Theta}^{2}=\sum_{|J|=r}^{\prime} \sum_{|L|=r}^{\prime} f_{J} \bar{f}_{L} \operatorname{det}\left(\Theta^{J, \bar{L}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\left(\Theta^{J, \bar{L}}\right)=\left(\Theta^{j, \bar{l}}\right)_{j \in J, l \in L}
$$

Next, we establish a general Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the following form.
Proposition 3.2. Let $\Theta=i \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \Theta_{j, \bar{k}} d z_{j} \wedge d \bar{z}_{k}$ be a positive definite hermitian $(1,1)$-form and $\alpha, \beta$ be two $(0, r)$-forms. Then

$$
|\alpha \cdot \bar{\beta}|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{|J|=r}^{\prime} \sum_{|L|=r}^{\prime} \alpha_{J} \bar{\alpha}_{L} \operatorname{det}\left(\Theta_{J, \bar{L}}\right) \cdot|\beta|_{\Theta}^{2}
$$

where

$$
\left(\Theta_{J, \bar{L}}\right)=\left(\Theta_{j, \bar{l}}\right)_{j \in J, l \in L}, \quad \alpha=\sum_{|J|=r} \alpha_{J} d \bar{z}_{J}, \quad \beta=\sum_{|L|=r} \beta_{L} d \bar{z}_{L}
$$

Proof. Let $C$ be the matrix of unitary change of coordinates such that $\bar{C}^{t}\left(\Theta_{j, \bar{k}}\right) C$ is the diagonal matrix. Calculating as in proof of Proposition 3.1, we have

$$
|\beta|_{\Theta}^{2}=\sum_{|H|=r}{ }^{\prime} \frac{1}{\lambda_{H}}\left|\sum_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r} \in H} \varepsilon_{H}^{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}} \sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} \beta_{J}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{r} c_{j_{k} h_{k}}\right)\right|^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} & \sum_{|L|=r}{ }^{\prime} \alpha_{J} \bar{\alpha}_{L} \operatorname{det}\left(\Theta_{J, \bar{L}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{|H|=r}{ }^{\prime} \lambda_{H}\left|\sum_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r} \in H} \varepsilon_{H}^{h_{H}, \ldots, h_{r}} \sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \alpha_{J}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{r} c_{j_{k} h_{k}}\right)\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} \sum_{|L|=r}{ }^{\prime} \alpha_{J} \bar{\alpha}_{L} \operatorname{det}\left(\Theta_{J, \bar{L}}\right) \cdot|\beta|_{\Theta}^{2} \\
& \\
& \geqslant\left|\sum_{|H|=r}{ }^{\prime} \sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} \sum_{|L|=r}{ }^{\prime} \alpha_{J} \bar{\beta}_{L}\left(\sum_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r} \in H} \sum_{h_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{r}^{\prime} \in H} \varepsilon_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}}^{h_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{r}^{\prime}} \prod_{k=1}^{r} c_{j_{k} h_{k}} \bar{c}_{l_{k} h_{k}^{\prime}}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \quad=\left|\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} \alpha_{J} \bar{\beta}_{J}\right|^{2}=|\alpha \cdot \bar{\beta}|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the desired conclusion follows.
Now we study solutions of the $\bar{\partial}$-problem on $q$-pseudoconvex domains with weighted $L^{2}$-estimates of Hörmander type. Techniques which we use here come from $[6,7,11]$.

Let $\Omega$ be a $q$-pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and let $\varphi$ be a $\mathcal{C}^{2} q$-subharmonic function in $\Omega$ such that there is a nonnegative function $h \in L^{1}(\Omega$, loc) satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} g_{J} \bar{\alpha}_{J}\right|^{2} \leqslant h \sum_{|K|=r-1} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \varphi_{j \bar{k}} \alpha_{j K} \bar{\alpha}_{k K} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(0, r)$-forms $\alpha=\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} \alpha_{J} d \bar{z}_{J}$.
The following result is a form of Theorem A5.1 in [6] for $q$-pseudoconvex domains and $(0, r)$-forms.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\Omega$ be a q-pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $\varphi$ a $\mathcal{C}^{2} q$ subharmonic function in $\Omega$ satisfying condition (5). Assume that $g$ is a $\bar{\partial}$-closed ( $0, r$ )-form on $\Omega$. Then there is a $(0, r-1)$-form $u$ to (1) satisfying the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d V \leqslant \int_{\Omega} h e^{-\varphi} d V \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If the right-hand side of (6) is infinite then the theorem is clear. Hence we assume that it is finite and even equal to 1.

Since $\Omega$ is a $q$-pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ so there exists a smooth strictly $q$-subharmornic function $s$ in $\Omega$ such that $K_{a}=\{z \in \Omega: s(z)<a\} \Subset \Omega$. It is clear that $s$ is strictly $r$-subharmornic with $q \leqslant r \leqslant n$. We fix $a>0$ and choose $\eta_{v} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega), v=1,2, \ldots$ such that $0 \leqslant \eta_{v} \leqslant 1$ and $K_{a+1} \subset\left\{\eta_{v}=1\right\} \uparrow \Omega$ as $v \uparrow \infty$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ vanish in $K_{a}$ and satisfy $\left|\partial \eta_{v}\right|^{2} \leqslant e^{\psi}$ for every $v=1,2, \ldots$ Let $\chi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be a convex increasing function such that $\chi=0$ on $(-\infty, a)$, $\chi \circ s \geqslant 2 \psi$ and

$$
\chi^{\prime} \circ s \sum_{|K|=r-1}, \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} s}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}} \alpha_{j K} \bar{\alpha}_{k K} \geqslant(1+a)|\partial \psi|^{2}|\alpha|^{2}
$$

for all $(0, r)$-forms $\alpha=\sum_{|J|=r} \alpha_{J} d \bar{z}_{J}$. From here by repeating the proof of Theorem A5.1 in [6] we finish the proof of Proposition 3.3.

We also will discuss a generalization of Theorem 3.2 in [7] for $q$-pseudoconvex domains and ( $0, r$-forms.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\Omega$ and $\varphi$ be as in Proposition 3.3. Let $\delta \in(0,1)$ and assume that $-e^{-\varphi / \delta}$ is a q-subharmonic function in $\Omega$. Assume that $g$ is a $\bar{\partial}$ closed $(0, r)$-form on $\Omega$ and $\psi \in \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega)$. Then there is a $(0, r-1)$-form $u$ to (1) satisfying

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{\varphi-\psi} d V \leqslant \frac{1}{(1-\sqrt{\delta})^{2}} \int_{\Omega} h e^{\varphi-\psi} d V
$$

Proof. First we assume that $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are $\mathcal{C}^{2}$-smooth up to the boundary. Note that since $-e^{-\varphi / \delta}$ is a $q$-subharmonic function so $-e^{-\varphi / \delta}$ is also $r$-subharmonic, $q \leqslant r \leqslant n$. Hence, we have

$$
\sum_{|K|=r-1}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varphi_{j} \alpha_{j K}\right|^{2} \leqslant \delta \sum_{|K|=r-1} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \varphi_{j, \bar{k}} \alpha_{j K} \bar{\alpha}_{k K}
$$

Now by using techniques in the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [7] we obtain the proof of Proposition 3.4 for the case $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are $\mathcal{C}^{2}$-smooth up to the boundary.

For the general case, we carry out the standard exhaustion procedure as in [11] (see [11, Theorem 4.4.2]). Since $\Omega$ is a $q$-pseudoconvex domain then there
exists a strictly $q$-subharmonic and smooth exhaustion function $s$. The sublevel sets $K_{a}=\{s<a\}$ of $\Omega$ are smoothly, bounded, $q$-pseudoconvex for almost every $a$. We fix such $a$. Then $\psi_{\varepsilon}=\psi * \varrho_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(K_{a}\right)$, for all $\varepsilon$ small enough. By the beginning of this proof we can find $u_{\varepsilon}$ such that $\bar{\partial} u_{\varepsilon}=g$ in $K_{a}$ and

$$
\int_{K_{a}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} e^{\varphi-\psi_{\varepsilon}} d V \leqslant \frac{1}{(1-\sqrt{\delta})^{2}} \int_{K_{a}} h e^{\varphi-\psi_{\varepsilon}} d V \leqslant \frac{1}{(1-\sqrt{\delta})^{2}} \int_{\Omega} h e^{\varphi-\psi} d V
$$

Since $\psi_{\varepsilon}$ decreases with $\varepsilon$ this shows that the $L^{2}$ norm of $u_{\varepsilon}$ over $K_{a}$ is bounded for every fixed $a$. We can choose a sequence $\varepsilon_{j} \rightarrow 0$ such that $u_{\varepsilon_{j}}$ converges weakly in $K_{a}$ for every $a$ to a limit $u$ in $L_{(0, r-1)}^{2}(\Omega$, loc $)$ and the desired conclusion follows.

## 4. Weighted $L^{2}$-Estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$-equation on $q$-pseudoconvex domains

In this section we give the proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 and some corollaries from them.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Set $\widetilde{\varphi}=\delta \varphi$. Applying Proposition 3.2, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|g \cdot \bar{\alpha}|^{2}=|\alpha \cdot \bar{g}|^{2} & \leqslant \sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} \sum_{|L|=r}{ }^{\prime} \alpha_{J} \bar{\alpha}_{L} \operatorname{det}\left(\varphi_{J, \bar{L}}\right) \cdot|g|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} \\
& \leqslant H|g|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} \sum_{|K|=r-1} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \varphi_{j \bar{k}} \alpha_{j K} \overline{\alpha_{k K}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{\delta} H|g|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} \sum_{|K|=r-1}, \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varphi}_{j \bar{k}} \alpha_{j K} \overline{\alpha_{k K}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $H|g|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2}$ is in $L^{1}(\Omega$, loc $)$ then Proposition 3.4 implies the existence of a solution, $u$, to (1) satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{\widetilde{\varphi}-\psi} d V & \leqslant \frac{1}{(1-\sqrt{\delta})^{2}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\delta} H|g|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{\widetilde{\varphi}-\psi} d V \\
& =\frac{1}{\delta(1-\sqrt{\delta})^{2}} \int_{\Omega} H|g|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{\widetilde{\varphi}-\psi} d V
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the proof is complete.
Corollary 4.1. Let $\Omega$ be a q-pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and let $\varphi$ be a strictly $\mathcal{C}^{2}$-plurisubharmonic function in $\Omega$ satisfying condition (2). Then for any $\bar{\partial}$ closed $(0, r)$-form $g$ in $\Omega$, there is a solution $u$ to equation (1) such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d V \leqslant \int_{\Omega} H|g|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{-\varphi} d V
$$

Proof. For any $(0, r)$-form $\alpha$, using Proposition 3.2, we get

$$
|g \cdot \bar{\alpha}|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} \sum_{|L|=r}^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha} \bar{\alpha}_{L} \operatorname{det}\left(\varphi_{J, \bar{L}}\right) \cdot|g|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} .
$$

Combining this with (2), we arrive at

$$
|g . \bar{\alpha}|^{2} \leqslant H|g|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} \sum_{|K|=r-1}, \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \varphi_{j \bar{k}} \alpha_{j K} \overline{\alpha_{k K}}
$$

The desired conclusion follows from Proposition 3.3 and the corollary is completely proved.

The next result is due to Ahn and Dieu (see [1, Theorem 1.5]).
Corollary 4.2. Let $\Omega$ be a q-pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and let $\psi$ be a $q$ subharmonic function in $\Omega$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(\Omega)$ be a strictly plurisubharmonic function and $-e^{-\varphi}$ be $q$ - subharmonic. Assume that $\delta \in(0,1)$. Then for every $\bar{\partial}$ closed $(0, r)$-form $g$ there is a solution $u$ of equation (1) such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{-\psi+\delta \varphi} d V \leqslant \frac{1}{\delta(1-\sqrt{\delta})^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{r^{2}} \sum_{|K|=r-1} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^{j, \bar{k}} g_{j K} \bar{g}_{k K} e^{-\psi+\delta \varphi} d V
$$

Proof. We set $\widetilde{\varphi}=\delta \varphi$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} g_{J} \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{J}\right|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left|\sum_{|K|=r-1}{ }^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j K} \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{j K}\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{r^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\delta}\left(\sum_{|K|=r-1}, \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \varphi^{j \bar{k}} g_{j K} \overline{g_{k K}}\right)\left(\sum_{|K|=r-1}, \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \widetilde{\varphi}_{j \bar{k}} \alpha_{j K} \overline{\alpha_{k K}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Proposition 3.4 the desired conclusion follows.
The following is a slight extension of Theorem 4 in [4].
Proof of Theorem 1.3. First we assume that $\varphi$ is smooth. Put $\psi=-\ln \omega$. Then $\omega=e^{-\psi}$ and

$$
i \partial \bar{\partial} \omega=e^{-\psi}(i \partial \psi \wedge \bar{\partial} \psi-i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi)
$$

Hence (3) is equivalent to

$$
i \partial \psi \wedge \bar{\partial} \psi+\Theta \leqslant i \partial \bar{\partial}(\varphi+\psi)
$$

It follows that $\varphi+\psi$ is a strictly plurisubharmonic function in $\Omega$ and

$$
\Theta \leqslant i \partial \bar{\partial}(\varphi+\psi) .
$$

Thus, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} g_{J} \bar{\alpha}_{J}\right|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left|\sum_{|K|=r-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j K} \cdot \bar{\alpha}_{j K}\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\sum_{|K|=r-1}, \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \Theta^{j \bar{k}} g_{j K} \overline{g_{k K}}\right)\left(\sum_{|K|=r-1} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \Theta_{j \bar{k}} \alpha_{j K} \overline{\alpha_{k K}}\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\sum_{|K|=r-1}, \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \Theta^{j \bar{k}} g_{j K} \overline{g_{k K}}\right)\left(\sum_{|K|=r-1}, \sum_{j, k=1}^{n}(\varphi+\psi)_{j \bar{k}} \alpha_{j K} \overline{\alpha_{k K}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Proposition 3.3 we obtain the proof of the theorem in the case $\varphi$ is smooth.

Now we prove the general case. Since $\Omega$ is a $q$-pseudoconvex domain, there exists a strictly $q$-subharmonic and smooth exhaustion function $s$. The sublevel sets $K_{a}=\{s<a\}$ of $\Omega$ are smoothly, bounded, $q$-pseudoconvex for almost every $a$. We fix such $a$. Put $\Theta_{\varepsilon}=\Theta * \varrho_{\varepsilon}$. We prove $\Theta_{\varepsilon}$ is a positive definite hermitian $(1,1)$-form on $K_{a}$ when $\varepsilon$ is small enough. Indeed, using the arguments as in [11] there exists $\chi \in \mathrm{C}^{\infty}(\Omega), \chi>0$ such that

$$
\Theta \geqslant \chi i \partial \bar{\partial}|w|^{2}
$$

on $\Omega$. Then $\Theta \geqslant \chi_{0} i \partial \bar{\partial}|w|^{2}$ on $K_{a}$, where $\chi_{0}$ is a constant. We have

$$
\left(\Theta-\Theta_{\varepsilon}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{C} \chi_{1} i \partial \bar{\partial}|w|^{2},
$$

where $\chi_{1}, \mathrm{C}$ are some constants. Hence $\Theta_{\varepsilon} \geqslant \Theta-\mathrm{C} \chi_{1} i \partial \bar{\partial}|w|^{2}$. If we choose $\chi_{1}$ small enough then it follows that

$$
\Theta_{\varepsilon} \geqslant\left(\chi_{0}-\mathrm{C} \chi_{1}\right) i \partial \bar{\partial}|w|^{2}>0
$$

on $K_{a}$. The desired conclusion follows. As above, we have

$$
\Theta \leqslant i \partial \bar{\partial}(\varphi+\psi)
$$

in the sense of currents. Thus $\Theta_{\varepsilon} \leqslant i \partial \bar{\partial}(\varphi+\psi)_{\varepsilon}$ on $K_{a}$ when $\varepsilon$ is small enough. By the result of the beginning of the proof it follows that there exists a solution
$u_{a, \varepsilon}$ of equation (1) satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{K_{a}}\left|u_{a, \varepsilon}\right|^{2} e^{-(\varphi+\psi)_{\varepsilon}} d V & \leqslant \frac{1}{r^{2}} \sum_{|K|=r}^{\prime} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \int_{K_{a}} \Theta_{\varepsilon}^{j, \bar{k}} g_{j, K} \bar{g}_{k, K} e^{-(\varphi+\psi)_{\varepsilon}} d V \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{r^{2}} \sum_{|K|=r} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \int_{K_{a}} \Theta_{\varepsilon}^{j, \bar{k}} g_{j, K} \bar{g}_{k, K} e^{-(\varphi+\psi)} d V
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4.4.2 in [11] we finish the proof of Theorem 1.3.

The following result is an extension of Lemma 4.4.1 in [11] for $q$-pseudoconvex domains and $(0, r)$-forms.

Corollary 4.3. Assume that $\varphi$ is a q-subharmonic function in $\Omega$, where $\Omega$ is a $q$-pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} h|\alpha|^{2} d V \leqslant \int_{\Omega} \varphi \sum_{|K|=r-1} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}}\left(\alpha_{j K} \bar{\alpha}_{k K}\right) d V \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $(0, r)$-form $\alpha=\sum_{|J|=r}{ }^{\prime} \alpha_{J} d \bar{z}_{J} \in \mathcal{D}_{(0, r)}(\Omega)$, where $h$ is a positive continuous function. Then for every $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0, r)$-form $g$, there exists a solution, $u$, to equation (1) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d V \leqslant \int_{\Omega} \frac{|g|^{2}}{h} e^{-\varphi} d V \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We may assume that the right-hand side of (8) is finite and equal to 1. We first consider the case when $\varphi$ is a smooth function. Repeating the proof of Theorem A5.1 in [6] the proof of the corollary follows.

For the general case we assume that $\varphi$ is arbitrary $q$-subharmonic. Because $\Omega$ is $q$-pseudoconvex then there exists a strictly $q$-subharmonic and smooth exhaustion function $s$. The sublevel sets $K_{a}=\{s<a\} \Subset \Omega$ are smoothly, bounded, $q$-pseudoconvex for almost every $a$. Since $h$ is continuous on $\bar{K}_{a}$ for every fixed $a$ then $h$ is uniformly continuous on $K_{a}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{K_{a}} \frac{|g|^{2}}{h * \varrho_{\varepsilon}} e^{-\varphi} d V=\int_{K_{a}} \frac{|g|^{2}}{h} e^{-\varphi} d V \leqslant 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for each $i=1,2, \ldots$ take $\varepsilon_{i}>0$ small sufficiently such that $K_{i}+B\left(0, \varepsilon_{i}\right) \Subset$ $\Omega, \varphi_{\varepsilon_{i}}:=\varphi * \varrho_{\varepsilon_{i}} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{1 / i}\right)$ and

$$
\int_{K_{i}} \frac{|g|^{2}}{h * \varrho_{\varepsilon_{i}}} e^{-\varphi} d V<1+\frac{1}{i}
$$

We can choose $\varepsilon_{i}$ such that the sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\} \downarrow 0$ as $i \uparrow \infty$. For every $w \in B\left(0, \varepsilon_{i}\right)$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_{(0, r)}\left(K_{i}\right)$ we have $\alpha(.+w) \in \mathcal{D}_{(0, r)}(\Omega)$. By the hypothesis (7) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} h(z)|\alpha(z+w)|^{2} d V(z) \\
& \leqslant \int_{\Omega} \varphi(z) \sum_{|K|=r-1}, \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}}\left[\alpha_{j K}(z+w) \bar{\alpha}_{k K}(z+w)\right] d V(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

After a change of variables we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{K_{i}} h(z-w)|\alpha(z)|^{2} d V(z) \\
& \leqslant \int_{K_{i}} \varphi(z-w) \sum_{|K|=r-1}, \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}}\left[\alpha_{j K}(z) \bar{\alpha}_{k K}(z)\right] d V(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $w \in B\left(0, \varepsilon_{i}\right)$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_{(0, r)}\left(K_{i}\right)$.
By multiplying by $\varrho_{\varepsilon_{i}}(w)$ and integrating with respect to $d V(w)$ we have

$$
\int_{K_{i}} h * \varrho_{\varepsilon_{i}}|\alpha|^{2} d V \leqslant \int_{K_{i}} \varphi * \varrho_{\varepsilon_{i}} \sum_{|K|=r-1} \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}}\left(\alpha_{j K} \bar{\alpha}_{k K}\right) d V
$$

Since $K_{i}$ is also a $q$-pseudoconvex domain then using the results of the above part we can find $u_{\varepsilon_{j}} \in L_{(0, r-1)}^{2}\left(K_{i}\right.$, loc $)$ such that $\bar{\partial} u_{\varepsilon_{i}}=g$ in $K_{i}$ and

$$
\int_{K_{i}}\left|u_{\varepsilon_{i}}\right|^{2} e^{-\varphi_{\varepsilon_{i}}} d V \leqslant \int_{K_{i}} \frac{|g|^{2}}{h * \varrho_{\varepsilon_{i}}} e^{-\varphi_{\varepsilon_{i}}} d V \leqslant \int_{K_{i}} \frac{|g|^{2}}{h * \varrho_{\varepsilon_{i}}} e^{-\varphi} d V \leqslant 1+\frac{1}{i}
$$

Now using arguments as at the end of the proof of Proposition 3.4 the desired conclusion follows.
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