

# Monomials Basis of the Araki-Kudo-Dyer-Lashof Algebra<sup>\*</sup>

Phan Hoàng Chơn

*Department of Mathematics - Applications, Saigon University,  
273 An Duong Vuong, District 5, Ho Chi Minh city, Vietnam.*

Received January 16, 2010

Revised February 17, 2011

**Abstract.** The Araki-Kudo-Dyer-Lashof algebra  $R$ , which is an algebra of operations acting on the homology of infinite loop space, is isomorphic to the algebra of Dickson coinvariants. In this paper, we give a new basis for the Araki-Kudo-Dyer-Lashof algebra and discuss its relationship with other known bases.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 55N20, 57T05, 57T25.

*Key words:* Araki-Kudo-Dyer-Lashof algebra, Dickson invariant, homology operations, infinite loop space.

## 1. Introduction and Statement of Results

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the free graded associative algebra with unit over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  generated by the symbols  $Q^0, Q^1, \dots, Q^i, \dots$ , where  $\deg Q^i = i$ . For any string of non-negative integers  $I = (i_{k-1}, \dots, i_0)$ , define  $Q^I = Q^{i_{k-1}} \dots Q^{i_0}$ . We call that  $Q^I$  (or  $I$ ) is *admissible* if  $i_s \leq 2i_{s-1}$ , for  $1 \leq s \leq k-1$ , and define the *excess* of  $Q^I$  (or  $I$ ) to be

$$e(Q^I) = i_{k-1} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} i_j.$$

The *length* of  $Q^I$ ,  $\ell(Q^I)$  is the number of integers in  $I$ , i.e.  $\ell(Q^I) = \ell(I) = k$  if  $I = (i_{k-1}, \dots, i_0)$ . The *degree* of  $Q^I$  is  $i_{k-1} + \dots + i_0$ .

---

\* This work is partially supported by a grant of VNU.

Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be the two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{F}$  generated by the elements of one of the following forms:

- (i)  $Q^a Q^b + \sum_i \binom{i-b-1}{2i-a} Q^{a+b-i} Q^i$ ,  $a > 2b$ .
- (ii)  $Q^I$ , with  $e(Q^I) < 0$ .

The quotient algebra  $R = \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{J}$  is called the Araki-Kudo-Dyer-Lashof algebra. It was used to describe the mod 2 homology of the infinite loop space  $QS^0$  ([1], [3]), namely,

$$H_*(QS^0) = P[Q^I[1]|I \text{ admissible, } e(Q^I) \geq 0] \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[\mathbb{Z}],$$

where  $[1] \in H_*(QS^0)$  is the image of the non-base point generator of  $H_0S^0 = \mathbb{F}_2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$  under the canonical inclusion  $S^0 \hookrightarrow QS^0$ .

The relations (i) are usually called Adem relations because of their similarity with the usual Adem relations in the Steenrod algebra. Together with (ii), it is well-known that the set of all admissible monomials of non-negative excess forms an additive basis of  $R$ . This basis is called the admissible basis.

Let  $R[k]$  be the subspace of  $R$  spanned by the elements  $Q^I$  of length  $k$ . In fact,  $R[k]$  is a sub-coalgebra of  $R$ .

In this paper, we provide a new additive basis for the Araki-Kudo-Dyer-Lashof algebra, and discuss the relationship between this basis and the known bases.

The following is one of our main results.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The set of all monomials  $Q^{j_{k-1}} \cdots Q^{j_0}$ , where  $j_n \geq 2j_{n-1}$ , for  $1 \leq n \leq k-1$ , and  $j_n$  is divisible by  $2^n$ , is an additive basis of  $R[k]$ .*

For example, the set  $\{Q^{12}Q^0, Q^{10}Q^2, Q^8Q^4\}$  is an additive basis for  $R[2]$  in degree 12.

Let  $Q^I$  and  $Q^J$  be monomials of length  $k$ , we call  $Q^I \leq Q^J$  (resp.  $Q^I \leq_R Q^J$ ) if  $I \leq J$  in the lexicographic ordering from the left (resp. from the right).

Let  $A_{Adm}$  be the admissible basis for  $R$ , and let  $A_C$  be the basis in Theorem 1.1. We choose the order  $\leq$  for  $A_{Adm}$  and the order  $\leq_R$  for  $A_C$ . Then, using Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 1.2.** *The change of basis matrix between  $A_{Adm}$  and  $A_C$  is upper triangular with respect to the order chosen for each basis.*

In order to find the change of basis matrix we first describe the basis  $A_C$  and then use Adem relations to convert them to the admissible basis. For example, in degree 12,

$$A_C = \{Q^{12}Q^0, Q^{10}Q^2, Q^8Q^4\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_{Adm} = \{Q^6Q^6, Q^7Q^5, Q^8Q^4\}.$$

On the other hand, by direct inspection, we have

$$Q^{12}Q^0 = Q^6Q^6; \quad Q^{10}Q^2 = Q^7Q^5 + Q^6Q^6; \quad Q^8Q^4 = Q^8Q^4.$$

So the change of basis matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any linear ordering on the set of monomials in  $R$ , a monomial is called maximal (minimal) with respect to the given ordering if it cannot be expressed as combination of larger (smaller) monomials. An easy but crucial observation, which was used in great effect in Arnon's work on the construction of new bases for the Steenrod algebra (see [2]), is that the set of maximal (minimal) monomials with respect to any given linear ordering forms a vector space basis for  $R$ .

The following theorem, which claims that our basis is the basis of maximal monomials with respect to the order  $\leq$ , is the second main result in this paper.

**Theorem 1.3.**  *$A_C$  is the basis consisting of all maximal monomials of  $R[k]$  with respect to the order  $\leq$ .*

**Remark 1.4.** It is straightforward to verify that the admissible basis is the basis consisting of all minimal monomials with respect to the left lexicographic ordering.

The Araki-Kudo-Dyer-Lashof algebra is closely related to the Dickson-Müi algebra. To be more precise, define a sequence  $I_{ik}$ , each of length  $k$ , as follows:

$$I_{ik} = \begin{cases} (2^{k-i-1}(2^i - 1), \dots, 2(2^i - 1), 2^i - 1, 2^{i-1}, \dots, 2, 1), & 1 \leq i < k; \\ (2^{k-1}, \dots, 2, 1), & i = k. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that for each pair  $k < i$ ,  $Q^{I_{ik}}$  is an admissible monomial of non-negative excess. Let  $\xi_{ik}$  be the dual of  $Q^{I_{ik}}$  in  $R[k]^*$ . That is,  $\xi_{ik}$  is such that  $\langle \xi_{ik}, Q^{I_{ik}} \rangle = 1$  and  $\langle \xi_{ik}, Q^I \rangle = 0$  for all other admissible sequence  $I \neq I_{ik}$ . In [6], Madsen computed the dual  $R[k]^*$  and proved that it is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra on the generators  $\xi_{ik}$ :

$$R[k]^* \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\xi_{1k}, \dots, \xi_{kk}],$$

where  $\xi_{ik}$  is in degree  $2^{k-i}(2^i - 1)$ . This was soon extended to odd primary cases by May [7]. Later, it was recognized that this dual algebra is exactly the Dickson algebra of invariant elements of the polynomial rings  $P_k = \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  under the usual action of the general linear group  $GL_k$  of  $k \times k$  invertible matrices over the field  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

To describe our next results, we need to introduce several definitions. Let  $E_k$  be a  $k$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . It is well-known that the cohomology of  $BE_k$  is the polynomial algebra  $P_k := \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  where each  $x_i$  is in degree 1. The homology of  $BE_k$ ,  $H_*(BE_k) = \Gamma(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ , is the divided power algebra generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ , each of degree 1, where  $a_i$  is the dual of  $x_i \in H^1(BE_1)$ . The general linear group  $GL_k = GL(E_k)$  acts regularly on  $E_k$  and therefore on

the homology and cohomology of  $BE_k$ . The Dickson algebra [4], which is the algebra of all  $GL_k$ -invariants has the following well-known description:

$$D_k := H^*(BE_k)^{GL_k} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_k]^{GL_k} = \mathbb{F}_2[Q_{k,0}, Q_{k,1}, \dots, Q_{k,k-1}],$$

where  $Q_{k,i}$  denotes the Dickson invariant of degree  $2^k - 2^i$  (see Section 4).

In [10], Mui provided an explicit isomorphism  $R[k] \cong D_k^*$  as coalgebra over the Steenrod algebra. We note in passing that a description of the dual of the Araki-Kudo-Dyer-Lashof algebra was worked out in [7] by May in odd primary cases, but the situation is much more complicated. Kechagias in [5] provided a similar correspondence between  $R[k]^*$  and the invariant rings  $[H^*(BE_k)]^{GL_k}$  - which was completely identified by Mui [9]. However,  $R[k]^*$  is not isomorphic to the entire invariant ring. In [11], Turner introduced an additive basis for the dual of the Dickson algebra, which we will call the Turner basis.

**Theorem 1.5.** ([11]) *The set  $\{[a_1^{[t_1]} a_2^{[2(t_1+t_2)]} \dots a_k^{[2^{k-1}(t_1+\dots+t_k)]]] | t_i \geq 0\}$  forms a basis for the dual  $D_k^*$  of the Dickson algebra.*

Under Mui's isomorphism, we automatically obtain a basis for the Araki-Kudo-Dyer-Lashof  $R[k]$ , which is also called the Turner basis. Order elements of this basis lexicographically. The following theorem, which claims that the relation between the admissible basis and the Turner's basis is upper triangular, is the final result in this paper.

**Theorem 1.6.** *The change of basis matrix between the admissible basis and Turner's one is triangular with respect to the order chosen for each basis.*

Combining Theorem 1.6 and Corollary 1.2, we have the following result.

**Corollary 1.7.** *The change of basis matrix between our basis and Turner's basis is upper triangular with respect to the order chosen for each basis.*

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For a fixed positive integer  $k$ , we define two sets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} &= \{I = (i_{k-1}, \dots, i_0) : 0 \leq i_n \leq 2i_{n-1}, e(I) \geq 0\}, \\ \mathcal{S}' &= \{J = (j_{k-1}, \dots, j_0) : j_n \geq 2j_{n-1} \geq 0, 2^n | j_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Delta: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$  be a function such that  $\Delta(i_{k-1}, \dots, i_0) = (j_{k-1}, \dots, j_0)$ , where

$$j_{k-1} = 2^{k-1}i_0, j_n = 2^n(i_{k-1-n} - \sum_{s=0}^{k-n-2} i_s) \text{ if } 0 \leq n \leq k-2. \quad (1)$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *The function  $\Delta$  is a bijection.*

*Proof.* It is clear from (1) that  $j_n$  is divisible by  $2^n$  and

$$j_0 = i_{k-1} - i_{k-2} - \cdots - i_0 = e(I) \geq 0.$$

By direct inspection, we have  $j_n = 2^{n+1}i_{k-1-n} - 2^n i_{k-n} + 2j_{n-1}$ ,  $\forall 0 < n \leq k-1$ .

Hence  $j_n - 2j_{n-1} = 2^n(2i_{k-1-n} - i_{k-n}) \geq 0$ . Moreover,  $j_0 \geq 0$  and it follows that  $j_n$  are also non-negative for all  $0 \leq n \leq k-1$ . Thus,  $\Delta$  is well defined.

We now define an inverse of  $\Delta$ . Let  $\Phi: \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  be such that

$$\Phi(j_{k-1}, \dots, j_0) = (i_{k-1}, \dots, i_0),$$

where

$$i_0 = \frac{j_{k-1}}{2^{k-1}}, \quad i_s = \frac{2j_{k-1-s} + j_{k-s} + \cdots + j_{k-1}}{2^{k-s}}, \quad 0 < s \leq k-1.$$

It is straightforward to show that  $\Phi$  and  $\Delta$  are indeed inverse functions of one another.  $\blacksquare$

Let  $Q^a Q^b$  be an admissible non-trivial monomial. Thus, we must have  $b \leq a \leq 2b$ . The Adem relation shows that

$$Q^{2b} Q^{a-b} = \sum_{t \geq b} \binom{t - (a-b) - 1}{2t - 2b} Q^{a+b-t} Q^t = Q^a Q^b + \sum_{t > b} M_t,$$

where for each  $t > b$ ,  $M_t$  is admissible and strictly less than  $Q^a Q^b$ .

So, we have

$$Q^a Q^b = Q^{2b} Q^{a-b} + \sum_t M_t, \quad (2)$$

where  $M_t$  is admissible and strictly less than  $Q^a Q^b$ . More generally, we have the following.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $Q^I = Q^{i_{k-1}} \cdots Q^{i_0}$  be an admissible non-trivial monomial. Then*

$$Q^I = Q^{\Delta(I)} + \sum_t M_t,$$

where  $M_t$  is admissible and strictly less than  $Q^I$ .

**Example 2.3.** If  $I = (22, 12, 8)$ , then  $\Delta(I) = (32, 8, 2)$ . Thus

$$Q^{(22,12,8)} = Q^{(32,8,2)} + \text{other terms.}$$

In fact, we have

$$Q^{22} Q^{12} Q^8 = Q^{32} Q^8 Q^2 + Q^{21} Q^{13} Q^8 + Q^{21} Q^{12} Q^9.$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.2.* Clearly, the assertion of the lemma is true for  $k = 1$ . Suppose  $Q^I$  is an admissible monomial of non-negative excess. Then  $Q^{i_{k-1}} \cdots Q^{i_1}$

is also admissible, having non-negative excess. By induction, we may write

$$Q^{i_{k-1}} \dots Q^{i_1} = Q^{2^{k-2}i_1} \dots Q^{i_{k-1} - \dots - i_1} + \sum_t P_t,$$

where  $P_t$  are admissible and strictly less than  $Q^{i_{k-1}} \dots Q^{i_1}$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Q^I &= Q^{2^{k-2}i_1} \dots Q^{i_{k-1} - \dots - i_1} Q^{i_0} + \sum_t P_t Q^{i_0} \\ &= Q^{2^{k-2}i_1} \dots Q^{i_{k-1} - \dots - i_1} Q^{i_0} + \sum_t P'_t, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $P'_t$  is admissible and strictly less than  $Q^I$ . Because  $I$  is admissible,  $i_{k-1} - \dots - i_1 \leq 2i_0$ , and by hypothesis,  $e(Q^I) = i_{k-1} - i_{k-2} - \dots - i_1 - i_0 \geq 0$ .

Applying (2) for  $Q^{i_{k-1} - \dots - i_1} Q^{i_0}$ , we get

$$Q^{2^{k-2}i_1} \dots Q^{i_{k-1} - \dots - i_1} Q^{i_0} = Q^{2^{k-2}i_1} \dots Q^{2(i_{k-2} - \dots - i_1)} Q^{2i_0} Q^{i_{k-1} - \dots - i_0} + \sum N_s,$$

where  $N_s = Q^{2^{k-2}i_1} \dots Q^{2(i_{k-2} - \dots - i_1)} Q^{u_s} Q^{u'_s}$ , for  $u_s < i_{k-1} - i_{k-2} - \dots - i_1$  and  $u'_s > i_0$ .

Now apply again the Adem relation for  $Q^{2(i_{k-2} - \dots - i_1)} Q^{u_s}$  we obtain

$$N_s = \sum_l Q^{2^{k-2}i_1} \dots Q^{2^2(i_{k-3} - \dots - i_1)} Q^{u_{sl}} Q^{v_l} Q^{u'_s},$$

where  $u_{sl} < i_{k-1} - 2(i_{k-3} + \dots + i_1) < 2(i_{k-2} - \dots - i_1)$ .

Repeatedly applying Adem relation, finally we have

$$N_s = \sum Q^{a_{k-1}} \dots Q^{a_1} Q^{u'_s},$$

where  $a_{k-1} < i_{k-1}$ . Thus,

$$Q^{2^{k-2}i_1} \dots Q^{i_{k-1} - \dots - i_1} Q^{i_0} = Q^{2^{k-2}i_1} \dots Q^{2(i_{k-2} - \dots - i_1)} Q^{2i_0} Q^{i_{k-1} - \dots - i_0} + \sum L_s,$$

where  $L_s$  is admissible and strictly less than  $Q^I$ .

By induction, we have

$$Q^{2^{k-2}i_1} \dots Q^{i_{k-1} - \dots - i_1} Q^{i_0} = Q^{2^{k-1}i_0} \dots Q^{i_{k-1} - \dots - i_0} + \sum N'_r,$$

where  $N'_r$  is admissible and strictly less than  $Q^I$ .

Thus, (3) can be rewritten as,

$$Q^I = Q^{\Delta(I)} + \sum_t M_t,$$

where  $M_t$  is admissible and strictly less than  $Q^I$ . ■

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Put

$$A_k := \{Q^{j_{k-1}}Q^{j_{k-2}} \cdots Q^{j_0} : j_s \geq 2j_{s-1} \geq 0, 2^s | j_s, 1 \leq s \leq k-1\}.$$

Lemma 2.1 shows that in each degree, the number of elements of  $A_k$  is equal to the dimension of  $R[k]$ . Therefore, it suffices to prove that  $A_k$  is a generating set for  $R[k]$ . Now we make use of Lemma 2.2. For any admissible monomial  $Q^I$ , we can write  $Q^I$  as a sum of  $Q^{\Delta(I)}$  and some other monomials  $M_t$  which are also admissible and strictly less than  $Q^I$ . By induction on the order of monomials, we have the assertion.  $\blacksquare$

**Example 2.4.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{21}Q^{13}Q^8 &= Q^{32}Q^{10}Q^0 + Q^{21}Q^{12}Q^9 + Q^{21}Q^{11}Q^{10}, \\ Q^{21}Q^{11}Q^{10} &= Q^{40}Q^2Q^0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from Example 2.3, we obtain

$$Q^{22}Q^{12}Q^8 = Q^{32}Q^8Q^2 + Q^{32}Q^{10}Q^0 + Q^{40}Q^2Q^0.$$

where all monomials on the right hand side are in  $A_3$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

It is sufficient to prove that if  $Q^I$  is not of the form described in  $A_C$ , then it is not maximal. A monomial  $Q^I = Q^{j_{k-1}} \cdots Q^{j_0}$  is not in  $A_C$  if and only if at least one of the following is satisfied:

1.  $j_s < 2j_{s-1}$  for some  $s$ , or
2.  $j_s$  is not divisible by  $2^s$  for some  $s$ .

In the first case, if  $j_s < j_{s-1}$  then  $Q^{j_s}Q^{j_{s-1}} = 0$  and  $Q^I = 0$  as well. Otherwise, we can apply the Adem relation:

$$Q^I = Q^{j_{k-1}} \cdots Q^{2j_{s-1}}Q^{j_s-j_{s-1}} \cdots Q^{j_0} + \sum_t M_t,$$

where  $Q^{j_{k-1}} \cdots Q^{2j_{s-1}}Q^{j_s-j_{s-1}} \cdots Q^{j_0} > Q^I$ .

We now consider the second case. Let  $s$  be such that  $Q^I$  contains a factor  $Q^{j_s}Q^{j_{s-1}} \cdots Q^{j_0}$ , where  $j_r$  is divisible by  $2^r$  for all  $0 \leq r \leq s-1$  and  $j_s = 2^m u$ , with  $m \leq s-1$  and  $u$  odd. Moreover, we can assume that  $j_s > 2j_{s-1}, j_{s-1} \geq 2j_{s-2}, \dots, j_1 \geq 2j_0$ .

We consider two separate cases.

*Case 1.* If  $m = 0$ , then  $j_s = u$  is odd. Since

$$Q^{j_s} Q^{j_{s-1}} = \sum_{2t \geq j_s} \binom{t - j_{s-1} - 1}{2t - j_s} Q^{j_s + j_{s-1} - t} Q^t,$$

it follows that  $Q^{j_s} Q^{j_{s-1}} \neq 0$  if and only if there exists some  $t, j_s < 2t \leq j_s + j_{s-1}$ , such that  $\binom{t - j_{s-1} - 1}{2t - j_s}$  is odd. In that case, we have

$$Q^{j_s} Q^{j_{s-1}} = Q^{j_s + j_{s-1} - t} Q^t + \text{other terms.}$$

Apply relation (2), we have

$$Q^{j_s} Q^{j_{s-1}} = Q^{2t} Q^{j_s + j_{s-1} - 2t} + \text{other terms.}$$

Therefore,  $Q^I$  can be expressed as

$$Q^I = Q^{j_{k-1}} \dots Q^{2t} Q^{j_s + j_{s-1} - 2t} \dots Q^{j_0} + \text{other terms,}$$

where  $2t > j_s$ , so  $Q^{j_{k-1}} \dots Q^{2t} Q^{j_s + j_{s-1} - 2t} \dots Q^{j_0} > Q^I$ .

*Case 2.* If  $m > 0$ , the Adem relation for  $Q^{j_s} Q^{j_{s-1}}$  has the form:

$$Q^{j_s} Q^{j_{s-1}} = Q^{j_s/2 + j_{s-1}} Q^{j_s/2} + \sum_{t > j_s/2} \binom{t - j_{s-1} - 1}{2t - j_s} Q^{j_s + j_{s-1} - t} Q^t.$$

If there exists  $t, \frac{j_s}{2} < t \leq \frac{j_s + j_{s-1}}{2}$ , such that  $\binom{t - j_{s-1} - 1}{2t - j_s}$  is odd, then we are back to the Case 1.

If no such  $t$  exist, then  $Q^{j_s} Q^{j_{s-1}} = Q^{j_s/2 + j_{s-1}} Q^{j_s/2}$ . Since  $j_s/2 > 2j_{s-2}$ , we can then apply the Adem relation for  $Q^{j_s/2} Q^{j_{s-2}}$ . Repeat this process at most  $m$  step, we obtain either  $Q^{j_s} \dots Q^{j_0} = 0$ , therefore  $Q^I = 0$  or  $Q^{j_s} \dots Q^{j_0}$  can be expressed as

$$Q^{j_s} \dots Q^{j_0} = Q^{j_s/2 + j_{s-1}} \dots Q^{j_s/2^r + j_{s-r} - t} Q^t Q^{j_s - r - 1} \dots Q^{j_0} + \text{other terms,} \quad (4)$$

where  $r \leq m$  and  $j_s/2^r + j_{s-r} \geq 2t > j_s/2^r$ .

Applying relation (2), we have

$$Q^{j_s/2^r + j_{s-r} - t} Q^t = Q^{2t} Q^{j_s/2^r + j_{s-r} - 2t} + \text{other terms.}$$

Then, (4) can be rewritten as

$$Q^{j_s} \dots Q^{j_0} = Q^{j_s/2 + j_{s-1}} \dots Q^{2t} Q^{j_s/2^r + j_{s-r} - 2t} \dots Q^{j_0} + \text{other terms.}$$

Note that

$$2t > j_s/2^r > j_s/2^{r-1} + j_{s-r+1} \text{ and } 2^2 t \leq j_s/2^{r-1} + 2j_{s-r} \leq j_s/2^{r-1} + j_{s-r+1}.$$

Applying (2), and repeating this process, finally (4) can be expressed by



$$Q^{j_s} \dots Q^{j_0} = Q^{2^{r+1}t} Q^{j_s/2+j_{s-1}-2^r t} \dots Q^{j_s/2^r+j_{s-r}-2t} \dots Q^{j_0} + \text{other terms.}$$

Hence,

$$Q^I = Q^{j_{k-1}} \dots Q^{2^{r+1}t} \dots Q^{j_0} + \text{other terms,}$$

where  $Q^I < Q^{j_{k-1}} \dots Q^{2^{r+1}t} \dots Q^{j_0}$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete.

Using a similar method, we can prove that  $A_{Adm}$  is the basis consisting of all maximal monomials, and  $A_C$  is the basis consisting of all minimal monomials of  $R[k]$  with respect to the right lexicography order  $\leq_R$ .

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.6

We use the notations for  $H^*(BE_k) = \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  and  $H_*(BE_k) = \Gamma(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  as in the introduction.

Let  $GL_k$  and  $T_k$  be the general linear group and the group of upper triangular matrices with 1's on the main diagonal. These groups act canonically on  $E_k$  and therefore on the homology and cohomology of  $BE_k$ . The invariant ring of  $T_k$  and  $GL_k$  are determined by Mui [9] and Dickson [4] as follows:

$$\mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_k]^{T_k} = \mathbb{F}_2[V_1, \dots, V_k],$$

$$\mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_k]^{GL_k} = \mathbb{F}_2[Q_{k,0}, \dots, Q_{k,k-1}],$$

where

$$V_n = \prod_{\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}_2} (\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1} + x_n),$$

and the generators  $V_i$  and  $Q_{k,j}$  are related by the following recursive formula:

$$Q_{k,n} = Q_{k-1,n-1}^2 + V_k Q_{k-1,n}, \quad 0 \leq n < k.$$

By convention, let  $Q_{k,j} = 0$  if  $j < 0$  or  $j > k$ , and  $Q_{n,n} = 1$ .

For a string of non-negative integer  $I = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ , we denote  $v(I) = v(t_1, \dots, t_k)$  the dual of  $V_1^{t_1} \dots V_k^{t_k}$  with respect to the additive basis  $V_1^{h_1} \dots V_k^{h_k}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_2[V_1, \dots, V_k]$ . Similarly, let  $q(I) = q(t_1, \dots, t_k)$  be the dual of  $Q_{k,0}^{t_1} \dots Q_{k,k-1}^{t_k}$  with respect to the additive basis  $Q_{k,0}^{h_1} \dots Q_{k,k-1}^{h_k}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_2[Q_{k,0}, \dots, Q_{k,k-1}]$ .

In [10], Mui described explicitly an isomorphism as coalgebra over the Steenrod algebra between  $R[k]$  and the dual of the Dickson algebra  $D_k^*$ :

$$Q^{i_1} \dots Q^{i_k} \mapsto [v(i_1 - \dots - i_k, i_2 - \dots - i_k, \dots, i_k)].$$

In this section, we will use this isomorphism to find the relationship between our new basis and Turner's basis in [11].

We order the  $k$ -tuples  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  lexicographically from the left.

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* It is easy to see that for each  $k$ -tuple  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ ,

$$V^I = V_1^{i_1} \dots V_k^{i_k} = x_1^{i_1} x_2^{2i_2} \dots x_k^{2^{k-1}i_k} + \text{greater monomials.}$$

Moreover, it is clear that if  $x_1^{i_1} x_2^{2i_2} \dots x_k^{2^{k-1}i_k}$  occurs as a nontrivial summand in a  $V^J$ , then  $J$  must be greater than  $I$ . Therefore, we can construct a representation of  $v(I)$  as follows.

Put

$$\theta(I) = a_1^{[i_1]} a_2^{[2i_2]} \dots a_k^{[2^{k-1}i_k]} + \sum_{\ell=1}^s \mu_\ell a_1^{[u_1^\ell]} a_2^{[2u_2^\ell]} \dots a_k^{[2^{k-1}u_k^\ell]},$$

where  $I > (u_1^1, \dots, u_k^1) > \dots > (u_1^s, \dots, u_k^s)$ ,  $\sum_{s=1}^k 2^{s-1} u_s^\ell = \sum_{s=1}^k 2^{s-1} i_s$  for all  $\ell$ ; and  $\mu_\ell$  is defined inductively by

$$\mu_\ell = \left\langle a_1^{[i_1]} a_2^{[2i_2]} \dots a_k^{[2^{k-1}i_k]} + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \mu_j a_1^{[u_1^j]} a_2^{[2u_2^j]} \dots a_k^{[2^{k-1}u_k^j]}, V_1^{u_1^\ell} \dots V_k^{u_k^\ell} \right\rangle.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\langle \theta(I), V^J \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } I = J, \\ 0 & \text{if } I \neq J. \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $\theta(I)$  is a representation of  $v(I)$ . So that, under Mui's isomorphism,

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{i_1} \dots Q^{i_k} &\mapsto [\theta(j_1, \dots, j_k)] \\ &= [a_1^{[j_1]} a_2^{[2j_2]} \dots a_k^{[2^{k-1}j_k]}] + \text{smaller terms.} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Q^I$  is admissible,  $[a_1^{[j_1]} a_2^{[2j_2]} \dots a_k^{[2^{k-1}j_k]}]$  is an element in the Turner basis. The proof is complete.  $\blacksquare$

**Example 4.1.** In degree 12, the admissible basis and Turner's basis for  $R[2]$  are

$$\{Q^8 Q^8, Q^9 Q^7, Q^{10} Q^6\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \{[a_1^{[0]} a_2^{[16]}], [a_1^{[2]} a_2^{[14]}], [a_1^{[4]} a_2^{[12]}]\}.$$

Under the Mui's isomorphism and the above analysis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q^8 Q^8 &\mapsto [v(0, 8)] = [\theta(0, 8)] = [a_1^{[0]} a_2^{[16]}]; \\ Q^9 Q^7 &\mapsto [v(2, 7)] = [\theta(2, 7)] = [a_1^{[2]} a_2^{[14]}]; \\ Q^{10} Q^6 &\mapsto [v(4, 6)] = [\theta(4, 6)] = [a_1^{[4]} a_2^{[12]}] + [a_1^{[2]} a_2^{[14]}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the change of basis matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Final remark.** We believe that our basis can be used to describe the structure of the mod 2 homology of  $QS^0$  considered as an  $E(1)$ -module, and then the structure of  $Ext_{E(1)}(\mathbb{F}_2, H^*(QS^0))$ , which is the  $E_2$ -term of Adams spectral sequence converging to  $ku^*(QS^0)$  (see [12]).

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Lê Minh Hà and Robert R. Bruner for many illuminating discussions.

## References

1. S. Araki and T. Kudo, Topology of  $H_n$ -spaces and  $H$ -squaring operations, *Mem-oirs of the Faculty of Science* **10**(1956), 85 - 120.
2. D. Arnon, Monomial bases in the Steenrod algebra, *J. Pure Applied Algebra* **96**(1994), 215 - 223.
3. F. R. Cohen, T. J. Lada and J. P. May, *The Homology of Iterated Loop Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 533 (1976).
4. L. E. Dickson, A fundamental system of invariants of the general modular linear group with a solution of the from problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12**(1911), 75 - 98.
5. N. E. Kechagias, Extended Dyer-Lashof algebras and modular coinvariants, *Manuscripta Math.* **84**(1994), 261 - 290.
6. I. Madsen, On the action of the Dyer-Lashof algebra in  $H_*(G)$ , *Pacific J. Math.* **60**(1975), 235 - 275.
7. J. P. May, *The homology of  $E_\infty$  spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 533 (1976), 1-68.
8. J. Milnor, The Steenrod algebra and its dual, *Ann. of Math.* **67**(1958), 150 - 171.
9. H. Mui, Modular invariant theory and the cohomology algebras of the symmetric groups, *J. Fac. Sci., Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA* **22**(1975), 319 - 369.
10. H. Mui, *Homology operations derived from modular coinvariants*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1172 (1985), 85 - 115.
11. P. R. Turner, Dickson coinvariants and the homology of  $QS^0$ , *Math. Z.* **224**(1997), 209 - 228.
12. N. P. Son, *Some calculations towards the connective complex K-theory of  $QS^0$* , PhD. thesis, Wayne State University, Detroit MI 48202-3489, USA.