

Remarks on Rings with Zero Products Commuting and Zero Insertion Properties*

Zhanping Wang

*Department of Mathematics, Northwest Normal University,
Lanzhou, 730070, China*

Received August 15, 2011

Revised December 6, 2011

Abstract. In this paper, we continue to study the rings satisfying zero insertion property for n (abbr., ZI_n) and zero products commuting property for n (abbr., ZC_n). In particular, we prove that R satisfies ZI_n (resp., ZC_n) if I is a reduced ideal of R and R/I satisfies ZI_n (resp., ZC_n), where n is a positive integer. Furthermore, we show that $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$ satisfies ZI_n (resp., ZC_n) if and only if R satisfies ZI_n (resp., ZC_n) under some additional conditions.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16U80, 16S70.

Key words. Rings satisfying ZI_n , rings satisfying ZC_n , rings of generalized power series.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R denotes an associative ring not necessarily with identity and n is a positive integer. A ring R is called *reduced* if it has no non-zero nilpotent elements. It is well known that if R is a reduced ring, then

$$ab = 0 \Rightarrow ba = 0 \quad \forall a, b \in R.$$

Cohn [2] called a ring R *reversible* if this condition holds, while Habeb [4] used the name *zero commutative* for this notion. Anderson and Camillo [1] extended

* Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10961021, 11126295).

the class of zero commutative rings to the class of rings satisfying zero products commuting property for $n \geq 2$. A ring R is said to *satisfy the zero products commuting property* (abbr., ZC_n) for $n \geq 2$ if for $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R$, $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n = 0$ implies $a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} \dots a_{\sigma(n)} = 0$ for each $\sigma \in S_n$, where S_n denotes the permutation group on n letters. Every reduced ring satisfies ZC_n for all $n \geq 2$ [1, Theorem I.3], but the converse is not true [1, Example II.5]. A ring R is called *symmetric* if $abc = 0$ implies $acb = 0$ for any $a, b, c \in R$. Observe that a reversible ring coincides with the ring satisfying ZC_2 . Examples 1(1) of [5] showed that a symmetric ring may not be reversible, and a symmetric ring may not satisfy ZC_3 , Example 1(2) of [5] showed that the ring satisfying ZC_n for all $n \geq 4$ may not be symmetric. However, it is noted that if R is a ring with identity, then R is symmetric if and only if R satisfies ZC_3 if and only if R satisfies ZC_n for all $n \geq 3$.

A ring R is called *semicommutative* if for each $a \in R$, $r(a) = \{b \in R | ab = 0\}$ is an ideal of R . Lemma 1.2 of Shin [10] showed that R is semicommutative if and only if $ab = 0$ implies $aRb = 0$ for $a, b \in R$. Habeb [4] used the name zero insertion for this notion. Hong et al. [5] extended the class of zero insertion ring to the class of ring satisfying zero insertion property for $n \geq 2$. A ring R is said to satisfy the *zero insertion property* for n (abbr., ZI_n) if for $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R$, $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n = 0$ implies $a_1 R a_2 R \dots R a_n = 0$. Observe that a semicommutative ring coincides with the ring satisfying ZI_2 . Moreover, if R is a ring with identity, then R is semicommutative if and only if R satisfies ZI_n for some $n \geq 2$ if and only if R satisfies ZI_n for all $n \geq 2$. Every ring satisfying ZC_n satisfies ZI_n for all $n \geq 2$ by [5, Corollary 7]. In this paper, we continue to study the rings satisfying ZI_n (resp., ZC_n) motivated by [5, 8].

2. On rings satisfying ZI_n (resp., ZC_n)

Lemma 2.1. [5, Corollary 7(1)] *If a ring R satisfies ZC_n , then R satisfies ZI_n for $n \geq 2$.*

Lemma 2.2. [1, Theorem I.1] *Let S be a semigroup with 0 and let $n \geq 3$. If S satisfies ZC_n , then S satisfies ZC_{n+1} .*

Lemma 2.3. [8, Proposition 3.2] *Let S be a torsion-free and cancellative monoid, \leq a strict order on S and R be an S -Armendariz ring. If $f_1, \dots, f_n \in [[R^{S, \leq}]]$ are such that $f_1 f_2 \dots f_n = 0$, then $f_1(u_1) f_2(u_2) \dots f_n(u_n) = 0$ for all $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in S$.*

By [5, Example 13(1)], a ring R does not satisfy ZI_n (resp., ZC_n) for $n \geq 2$, even for nonzero ideal I , R/I and I satisfy ZI_n (resp., ZC_n). However, for reduced ideals I we obtain the following results.

Theorem 2.4. *Let R be a ring, I an ideal of R and $n \geq 2$. If R/I satisfies ZI_n and I is reduced, then R satisfies ZI_n .*

Proof. Let $a_1a_2\dots a_n = 0$ with $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R$. Then we have $a_1Ra_2R\dots Ra_n \subseteq I$ and $a_nIa_1a_2\dots a_{n-1} = 0$ since $a_nIa_1a_2\dots a_{n-1} \subseteq I$, $(a_nIa_1a_2\dots a_{n-1})^2 = 0$ and I is reduced. Hence

$$(a_{n-1}Ra_nIa_1a_2\dots a_{n-2})^2 = a_{n-1}R(a_nIa_1a_2\dots a_{n-2}a_{n-1})Ra_nIa_1a_2\dots a_{n-2} = 0$$

and so $a_{n-1}Ra_nIa_1a_2\dots a_{n-2} = 0$. Further, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_{n-2}Ra_{n-1}Ra_nIa_1\dots a_{n-3})^2 \\ &= a_{n-2}R(a_{n-1}Ra_nIa_1\dots a_{n-3}a_{n-2})Ra_{n-1}Ra_nIa_1\dots a_{n-3} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $a_{n-2}Ra_{n-1}Ra_nIa_1a_2\dots a_{n-3} = 0$ since $a_{n-2}Ra_{n-1}Ra_nIa_1a_2\dots a_{n-3} \subseteq I$ and I is reduced. Continuing as above, we get $a_1Ra_2R\dots Ra_nI = 0$. Hence

$$(a_1Ra_2R\dots Ra_n)^2 \subseteq a_1Ra_2R\dots Ra_nI = 0,$$

which implies $(a_1Ra_2R\dots Ra_n)^2 = 0$, and so $a_1Ra_2R\dots Ra_n = 0$. Thus R satisfies ZI_n . \blacksquare

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be a ring, I an ideal of R and $n \geq 2$. If R/I satisfies ZC_n and I is reduced, then R satisfies ZC_n .*

Proof. In fact, it suffices to show that we can interchange two elements a_i, a_j ($i < j$) and still get a zero product. Let $a_1a_2\dots a_i\dots a_j\dots a_n = 0$ where $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we need $a_1\dots a_{i-1}a_ja_{i+1}\dots a_{j-1}a_ia_{j+1}\dots a_n = 0$. Since R/I satisfies ZC_n , we have that $a_1\dots a_{i-1}a_ja_{i+1}\dots a_{j-1}a_ia_{j+1}\dots a_n \in I$ and R/I satisfies ZI_n by Lemma 2.1. Further, we have that R satisfies ZI_n by Theorem 2.4. Hence $a_1\dots a_i\dots a_j\dots a_n = 0$, which implies $a_1R\dots Ra_iR\dots Ra_jR\dots Ra_n = 0$. That is,

$$a_1u_1\dots a_iu_i\dots a_ju_j\dots u_{n-1}a_n = 0 \quad \forall u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1} \in R. \quad (1)$$

We have the following two cases for i, j .

Case 1. $j = i + 1$. For $i = 1$, multiply equation (1) on the left hand side by a_2 , and set

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= a_3a_4\dots a_n, \\ u_2 &= a_1, \\ u_3 &= a_4a_5\dots a_na_2a_1a_3, \\ u_4 &= a_5a_6\dots a_na_2a_1a_3a_4, \\ &\vdots \\ u_{n-1} &= a_na_2a_1a_3a_4\dots a_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

we have $(a_2a_1a_3a_4\dots a_n)^{n-1} = 0$, which implies $a_2a_1a_3a_4\dots a_n = 0$. For $i = n - 1$, multiply equation (1) on the right hand side by a_{n-1} , and set

$$u_1 = a_2a_3\dots a_{n-2}a_na_{n-1}a_1,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
u_2 &= a_3 a_4 \dots a_{n-2} a_n a_{n-1} a_1 a_2, \\
&\vdots \\
u_{n-3} &= a_{n-2} a_n a_{n-1} a_1 \dots a_{n-3}, \\
u_{n-2} &= a_n, \\
u_{n-1} &= a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-2},
\end{aligned}$$

we have $(a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-2} a_n a_{n-1})^{n-1} = 0$, which implies $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-2} a_n a_{n-1} = 0$. For $i \neq 1$ and $i \neq n-1$, set

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1 &= a_2 a_3 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+2} \dots a_n a_1, \\
u_2 &= a_3 a_4 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+2} \dots a_n a_1 a_2, \\
&\vdots \\
u_{i-2} &= a_{i-1} a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+2} \dots a_n a_1 \dots a_{i-2}, \\
u_{i-1} &= a_{i+1}, \\
u_i &= a_{i+2} \dots a_n a_1 \dots a_{i-1}, \\
u_{i+1} &= a_i, \\
u_{i+2} &= a_{i+3} \dots a_n a_1 a_2 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+2}, \\
u_{i+3} &= a_{i+4} \dots a_n a_1 a_2 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+2} a_{i+3}, \\
&\vdots \\
u_{n-1} &= a_n a_1 a_2 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+2} \dots a_{n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

we have $(a_1 a_2 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+2} \dots a_n)^{n-2} = 0$, which implies $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+2} \dots a_n = 0$.

Case 2. $j \neq i+1$. By using the case 1 again and again, the case is true.

Therefore we have $a_1 a_2 \dots a_j \dots a_i \dots a_n = 0$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. \blacksquare

Remark 2.6. [6, Theorem 6] and [7, Proposition 1.12] are obtained from Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5, respectively, when setting $n = 2$.

An example was given in [5] to show that the conditions ZI_n and ZC_n for $n \geq 2$ are not preserved by homomorphic images. But it was shown in [7, Proposition 1.14(1)] that for a symmetric ring R if I is an ideal of R that is an annihilator in R then R/I is a reversible ring. More generally we have the following Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 2.8.

Proposition 2.7. *Let R be a ring and I be an ideal of R that is an annihilator in R . If R satisfies ZI_n for $n \geq 2$, then R/I satisfies ZI_n .*

Proof. Set $I = r_R(J)$ with $J \subseteq R$ and $\bar{r} = r + I$ for $r \in R$. Let $\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \dots \bar{a}_n = 0$ then $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \in I$ and so $J a_1 a_2 \dots a_n = 0$. Since R satisfies ZI_n , we have that $J a_1 R a_2 R \dots R a_n = 0$ by [5, Proposition 4(2)], which implies $\bar{a}_1 (R/I) \bar{a}_2 (R/I) \dots (R/I) \bar{a}_n = 0$. Thus R/I satisfies ZI_n . \blacksquare

Proposition 2.8. *Let R be a ring and I be an ideal of R that is an annihilator in R . If R satisfies ZC_n for $n \geq 3$, then R/I satisfies ZC_n .*

Proof. Set $I = r_R(J)$ with $J \subseteq R$ and $\bar{r} = r + I$ for $r \in R$. Let $\bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2 \dots \bar{a}_n = 0$ then $a_1a_2 \dots a_n \in I$ and so $Ja_1a_2 \dots a_n = 0$. Since R satisfies ZC_n , we have that R satisfies ZC_{n+1} by Lemma 2.2. Hence $Ja_1a_2 \dots a_n = 0$ implies $Ja_{\sigma(1)}a_{\sigma(2)} \dots a_{\sigma(n)} = 0$ by [5, Proposition (2)] and so $\bar{a}_{\sigma(1)}\bar{a}_{\sigma(2)} \dots \bar{a}_{\sigma(n)} = 0$. Therefore R/I satisfies ZC_n . ■

Let (S, \leq) be an ordered set. Recall that (S, \leq) is *artinian* if every strictly decreasing sequence of elements of S is finite, and that (S, \leq) is *narrow* if every subset of pairwise order-incomparable elements of S is finite. Let S be a commutative monoid. Unless stated otherwise, the operation of S will be denoted additively, and the neutral element by 0. The following definition is due to [3].

Let (S, \leq) be a strictly ordered monoid (that is, (S, \leq) is an ordered monoid satisfying the condition that, if $s, s', t \in S$ and $s < s'$, then $s + t < s' + t$), and R be a ring. Let $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$ be the set of all maps $f : S \rightarrow R$ such that $\text{supp}(f) = \{s \in S \mid f(s) \neq 0\}$ is artinian and narrow with pointwise addition, and the operation of convolution

$$(fg)(s) = \sum_{(u,v) \in X_s(f,g)} f(u)g(v),$$

where $X_s(f, g) = \{(u, v) \in S \times S \mid s = u + v, f(u) \neq 0, g(v) \neq 0\}$ is a finite set by Ribenboin [9] for every $s \in S$ and $f, g \in [[R^{S, \leq}]]$, $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$ becomes a ring, which is called the *generalized power series ring* with coefficients in R and exponents in S .

A monoid S is called *torsion-free* if the following property holds: if $s, t \in S$ and $k \geq 1$ are such that $ks = kt$, then $s = t$. An element $t \in S$ is *cancellative* whenever $t + s = t + s'$ (with $s, s' \in S$) implies $s = s'$. A monoid S is called *cancellative* if every element is cancellative. A ring R is called *S -Armendariz* if whenever $f, g \in [[R^{S, \leq}]]$ satisfy $fg = 0$, then $f(u)g(v) = 0$ for each $u, v \in S$.

Similar to [8, Proposition 2.7], we get the following result.

Proposition 2.9. *Let (S, \leq) be a strictly ordered monoid and R be an S -Armendariz ring. Then $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$ is reversible if and only if R is reversible.*

Proof. Suppose that R is reversible and $f, g \in [[R^{S, \leq}]]$ are such that $fg = 0$. Since R is S -Armendariz and reversible, we have that $g(u)f(v) = 0$ for any $u \in \text{supp}(g)$ and $v \in \text{supp}(f)$. Now for any $s \in S$,

$$(gf)(s) = \sum_{(u,v) \in X_s(g,f)} g(u)f(v) = 0.$$

Thus $gf = 0$. This shows that $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$ is reversible.

If $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$ is reversible, then R is reversible since subrings of reversible rings are also reversible. ■

Based on Proposition 2.9 and Lemma 2.3, we have a more general result as follows.

Theorem 2.10. *Let S be a torsion-free and cancellative monoid, \leq a strict order on S and R be an S -Armendariz ring. Then*

- (1) $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$ satisfies ZI_n if and only if R satisfies ZI_n for $n \geq 3$;
 - (2) $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$ satisfies ZC_n if and only if R satisfies ZC_n for $n \geq 3$.
- In particular, $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$ is symmetric if and only if R is symmetric.

Proof. (1) Suppose that R satisfies ZI_n and $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in [[R^{S, \leq}]]$ are such that $f_1 f_2 \dots f_n = 0$. By Lemma 2.3, we have that $f_1(u_1) f_2(u_2) \dots f_n(u_n) = 0$ for any $u_i \in \text{supp}(f_i) (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, which implies $f_1(u_1) R f_2(u_2) R \dots R f_n(u_n) = 0$ since R satisfies ZI_n . Now for any $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1} \in [[R^{S, \leq}]]$ and any $s \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (f_1 g_1 f_2 g_2 \dots g_{n-1} f_n)(s) \\ &= \sum_{(u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}, u_n)} f_1(u_1) g_1(v_1) f_2(u_2) g_2(v_2) \dots g_{n-1}(v_{n-1}) f_n(u_n) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $(u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}, u_n) \in X_s(f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1}, f_n)$. Thus $f_1 g_1 f_2 g_2 \dots g_{n-1} f_n = 0$. This shows that $f_1 [[R^{S, \leq}]] f_2 [[R^{S, \leq}]] \dots [[R^{S, \leq}]] f_n = 0$. Thus $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$ satisfies ZI_n .

If $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$ satisfies ZI_n , then R satisfies ZI_n since subrings of rings satisfying ZI_n also satisfy ZI_n .

(2) Suppose that R satisfies ZC_n and $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in [[R^{S, \leq}]]$ are such that $f_1 f_2 \dots f_n = 0$, we next show that $f_{\sigma(1)} f_{\sigma(2)} \dots f_{\sigma(n)} = 0$ for any $\sigma \in S_n$. By Lemma 2.3, we have that $f_1(u_1) f_2(u_2) \dots f_n(u_n) = 0$ for any $u_i \in \text{supp}(f_i) (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, which implies

$$f_{\sigma(1)}(v_1) f_{\sigma(2)}(v_2) \dots f_{\sigma(n)}(v_n) = 0,$$

for any $v_i \in \text{supp}(f_{\sigma(i)})$ and any $\sigma \in S_n$ since R satisfies ZC_n . Now for any $s \in S$,

$$(f_{\sigma(1)} f_{\sigma(2)} \dots f_{\sigma(n)})(s) = \sum_{(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)} f_{\sigma(1)}(v_1) f_{\sigma(2)}(v_2) \dots f_{\sigma(n)}(v_n) = 0,$$

where $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in X_s(f_{\sigma(1)}, f_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, f_{\sigma(n)})$. Thus $f_{\sigma(1)} f_{\sigma(2)} \dots f_{\sigma(n)} = 0$. This shows that $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$ satisfies ZC_n .

If $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$ satisfies ZC_n , then R satisfies ZC_n since subrings of rings satisfying ZC_n also satisfy ZC_n . ■

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee for valuable suggestions and helpful corrections.

References

1. D. D. Anderson and V. Camillo, Semigroups and rings whose zero products commute, *Commun. Algebra* **27** (1999), 2847-2852.
2. P. M. Cohn, Reversible rings, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **31** (1999), 641-648.
3. G. A. Elliott and P. Ribenboim, Fields of generalized power series, *Arch. Math.* **54** (1990), 365-371.
4. J. M. Habeb, A note on zero commutative and duo rings, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **32** (1990), 73-76.
5. C. Y. Hong, N. K. Kim and T. K. Kwak, Extensions of generalized reduced rings, *Algebra Colloq.* **12** (2005), 229-240.
6. C. Huh, Y. Lee and A. Smoktunowicz, Armendariz rings and semicommutative rings, *Commun. Algebra* **30** (2002), 751-761.
7. N. K. Kim and Y. Lee, Extensions of reversible rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **185** (2003), 207-223.
8. Z. K. Liu, Special properties of rings of generalized power series, *Commun. Algebra* **32** (2004), 3215-3226.
9. P. Ribenboim, Semisimple rings and Von Neumann regular rings of generalized power series, *J. Algebra* **198** (1997), 327-338.
10. G. Y. Shin, Prime ideals and sheaf representation of a pseudo symmetric ring, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **184** (1973), 43-60.