

Some Properties of Shape Metric

Nguyen Nhuy and Pham Quang Trinh

Department of Mathematics, Training Teachers College of Vinh
Vinh, Nghe An, Vietnam

Received December 5, 1996

Revised May 12, 1997

Abstract. By slight modification of Borsuk’s definition of fundamental metric ρ_F , we obtain in this note a definition of the shape metric ρ_S on the hyperspace 2^X of all non-empty uniformly bounded closed subsets of a complete metric space X .

Let us denote

$$FAEU(X) = \{A \in 2^X : A \text{ is an FAEU-space}\},$$

$$FANRU(X) = \{A \in 2^X : A \text{ is an FANRU-space}\}.$$

It is shown that $FAEU(X)$ is closed in $(2^X, \rho_S)$, but $FANRU(X)$ is not closed in $(2^X, \rho_S)$. The result gives partial answers to problems 8–9 and 9–9 posed by Borsuk [2].

1. Introduction

For the sake of convenience, throughout this note, “maps” mean uniformly continuous maps between metric spaces, and “neighborhoods” uniform neighborhoods.

Following Atsugi–Isbell [6], let us say that a subset A of a metric space X is uniformly bounded if and only if every uniformly continuous function on A is bounded.

Given a complete metric space X lying in an ANRU-space P , let 2^X denote the collection on all non-empty uniformly bounded closed subsets of X . For every A, B in 2^X , by $\rho_S(A, B)$, we denote the infimum of the set of all positive numbers ε satisfying the following condition.

There exist two fundamental sequences (see [7, 8])

$$\underline{f} = \{f_k, A, B\}_P \text{ and } \hat{f} = \{\hat{f}_k, B, A\}_P$$

such that there is a neighborhood (U, V) of the pair (A, B) in (P, P) such that for almost all k , $\rho(x, f_k(x)) \leq \varepsilon$ for every $x \in U$ and $\rho(x, \hat{f}_k(x)) \leq \varepsilon$ for every $x \in V$.

Remark. In [2], Borsuk introduced and studied the fundamental metric ρ_F on the hyperspace of all compact sets of a metric space X , and in [8], Nhu studied the weak metric ρ_W on the hyperspace of all uniformly bounded closed subsets of a complete metric space X . The definition of ρ_S is a slight modification of Borsuk's and Nhu's. By the same argument as that of Borsuk in [2], one shows the following:

Theorem 1.1. ρ_S is a metric on 2^X and it does not depend on the ANRU-space P containing X isometrically.

The metric ρ_S will be called the *shape metric* of 2^X . It is easy to see that $\rho_S(A, B) = \rho_F(A, B)$ for every compact sets $A, B \in 2^X$. The collection of all compact sets of X is closed in 2^X .

The aim of this note is to prove some properties of the shape metric ρ_S similar to that proved by Borsuk [2] for the fundamental metric ρ_F , and by Nhu [8] for the weak metric ρ_W . We prove first that the uniformity of 2^X depends only on the uniformity of X .

Theorem 1.2. If X and Y are uniformly homeomorphic, so are 2^X and 2^Y .

Proof. Consider X and Y as subsets of ANRU-spaces P and Q , respectively. Let $h : X \rightarrow Y$ be a uniform homeomorphism. Then there are a neighborhood (U_0, V_0) of (X, Y) in (P, Q) and maps $\varphi : U_0 \rightarrow Q$, $\psi : V_0 \rightarrow P$ such that $\varphi|_X = h$ and $\psi|_Y = h^{-1}$.

Let us define a map $h^\# : 2^X \rightarrow 2^Y$ by $h^\#(A) = h(A)$ for every $A \in 2^X$.

It is clear that $h^\#$ is a one-to-one map from 2^X onto 2^Y . Thus, by symmetry, it suffices to prove that $h^\#$ is uniformly continuous.

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, x') < \delta &\Rightarrow \rho(\varphi(x), \varphi(x')) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for } x, x' \in X \\ \rho(y, y') < \delta &\Rightarrow \rho(\varphi(y), \varphi(y')) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for } y, y' \in Y. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Let us show that

$$\rho_S(A, B) < \delta \Rightarrow \rho_S(h^\#(A), h^\#(B)) < \varepsilon.$$

By the definition of ρ_S , there exist two fundamental sequences

$$\underline{f} = \{f_k, A, B\}_P \text{ and } \underline{\hat{f}} = \{\hat{f}_k, B, A\}_P$$

and a neighborhood (U, V) of (A, B) in (P, P) such that, for almost all k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, f_k(x)) < \delta &\text{ for every } x \in U \\ \rho(x, \hat{f}_k(x)) < \delta &\text{ for every } x \in V. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Select a neighborhood $(\hat{U}, \hat{V}) \subset (\psi^{-1}(U), \psi^{-1}(V))$ of $(h(A), h(B))$ in (Q, Q) such that

$$\rho(y, \varphi\psi(y)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for every } y \in \hat{U} \cup \hat{V}. \quad (1.3)$$

Setting $g_k = \varphi f_k \psi$, $\hat{g}_k = \varphi \hat{f}_k \psi$ for every $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we obtain fundamental sequences

$$\underline{g} = \{g_k, h(A), h(B)\}_Q \text{ and } \underline{\hat{g}} = \{\hat{g}_k, h(B), h(A)\}_Q.$$

If $y \in \hat{U}$, then $\psi(y) \in U$. Thus, by (1.2),

$$\rho(\psi(y), f_k \psi(y)) < \delta \text{ for almost all } k.$$

Hence, by (1.1),

$$\rho(\varphi \psi(y), \varphi f_k \psi(y)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for almost all } k.$$

Consequently, from (1.3), we obtain

$$\rho(y, g_k(y)) < \varepsilon \text{ for almost all } k.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\rho(y, \hat{g}_k(y)) < \varepsilon \text{ for every } y \in \hat{V} \text{ and for almost all } k.$$

That means

$$\rho_S(h^\#(A), h^\#(B)) < \varepsilon.$$

The theorem is proved. ■

2. The Main Results

A metric space Y lying in an ANRU-space Q is called an *FAEU-space* [9] if and only if, for every subset A of a metric space X lying in an ANRU-space P and for every fundamental sequence $\underline{f} = \{f_k, A, Y\}_{P,Q}$, there exists a fundamental sequence $\underline{f}' = \{f'_k, X, Y\}_{P,Q}$ such that $f'_k(x) = f_k(x)$ for every $x \in A$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

In [9], it was shown that every FAEU-space is uniformly bounded. Let $\text{FAEU}(X)$ denote the collection of all FAEU-spaces lying in a complete metric space X . We have the following:

Theorem 2.1. *FAEU(X) is closed in 2^X .*

We use the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *A metric space Y lying in an ANRU-space Q is an FAEU-space if and only if, for every neighborhood U of Y in Q , there exists a neighborhood U_0 of Y in Q such that, for every metric space X and for every map f from a subset A of X into U_0 , there exists a map $\tilde{f}' : X \rightarrow U$ such that $\tilde{f}'|_A = f$.*

For the proof of Lemma 2.2, see [9].

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Consider X as a subset of the ANRU-space $P = I^\infty(X)$. Assume $A_0 \in \text{FAEU}(X)$ and let U be a neighborhood of A_0 in P . Put

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{8} \rho(A_0, P \setminus U). \tag{2.1}$$

Select an $A \in \text{FAEU}(X)$ such that $\rho_S(A, A_0) < \varepsilon$. It follows that there exist two fundamental sequences

$$\underline{f} = \{f_k, A, A_0\}_P \text{ and } \underline{\hat{f}} = \{\hat{f}_k, A_0, A\}_P$$

and a neighborhood (W, W_0) of (A, A_0) in (P, P) such that, for almost all k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, f_k(x)) &< \varepsilon \text{ for every } x \in W \\ \rho(x, \hat{f}_k(x)) &< \varepsilon \text{ for every } x \in W_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

We may assume

$$\begin{aligned} W &\subset \{x \in P : \rho(x, A) \leq \varepsilon\} \\ W_0 &\subset \{x \in P : \rho(x, A_0) \leq \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

From (2.1)–(2.3), it follows that the set

$$V = \{x \in P : \rho(x, A_0) \leq 4\varepsilon\}$$

is a neighborhood of A in P and that

$$\hat{f}_k|_{W_0} \cong \text{id}_{W_0} \text{ in } V \text{ for almost all } k. \quad (2.4)$$

Since $A \in \text{FAEU}(X)$, there exists a neighborhood V_0 of A in P such that every map with values in V_0 can be extended to a map with values in V . Let $U_0 \subset W_0$ be a neighborhood of A_0 in P such that

$$\hat{f}_k(U_0) \subset V_0 \text{ for almost all } k. \quad (2.5)$$

Select an index $k_0 \in N$ such that all the conditions (2.2), (2.4) and (2.5) are satisfied. Let φ be a map from a subset Y of a metric space Z into U_0 . Then there exists a map $\psi : Z \rightarrow V$ such that $\psi|_Y = \hat{f}_{k_0}\varphi$.

From (2.4), we obtain

$$\varphi \cong \hat{f}_{k_0}\varphi \text{ in } V.$$

Thus, by the Homotopy Extension Lemma [7], there exists a map $\varphi' : Z \rightarrow U$ such that $\varphi'|_Y = \varphi$.

Then the theorem follows from Lemma 2.2.

Remark. In [2], Borsuk posed the following problem.

Problem. [2, 9.9] Let α be a hereditary shape property (that is, if $shX \geq shY$ and $X \in \alpha$, then $Y \in \alpha$). Is it true that, for every sequence A_0, A_1, \dots of compact sets lying in a metric space X , the two conditions

- (1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_F(A_n, A_0) = 0$;
- (2) $A_n \in \alpha$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$

imply that $A_0 \in \alpha$?

Theorem 2.1 gives a positive answer to this problem for $\alpha = \text{FAR}$. The following example shows that the answer is negative if $\alpha = \text{FANR}$.

Example. Let us consider the sets

- (1) $A_n = \{k^{-1}\}_{k=1}^n$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$
- (2) $A_0 = \{k^{-1}\}_{k=1}^\infty \cup \{0\}$.

It is easy to see that

- (1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_F(A_n, A_0) = 0$
- (2) $A_n \in FANR$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Let us show that

- (3) $A_0 \notin FANR$.

Indeed, let U be a neighborhood of A_0 in R^1 . We may assume U is of the form

$$U = \{x \in R^1 : \|x - A_0\| \leq \delta\}$$

for some $\delta > 0$. Then we have $[0, \delta] \subset U$.

Select an index $n_0 > \delta^{-1}$ and put

$$V = \left\{ x \in R^1 : \|x - A_0\| \leq \frac{1}{4n_0(n_0 + 1)} \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that there is no continuous map r from U into V such that $r(x) = x$ for every $x \in A_0$. Thus, $A_0 \notin FANR$.

Since FAEU-spaces are the same as metric spaces with trivial shape [9], we obtain the following:

Corollary 2.3. *The set*

$$\{A \in 2^X : shA \text{ is trivial}\}$$

is closed in 2^X .

Corollary 2.3 gives a partial answer to Problem 8–9 in [2] posed by Borsuk.

3. Some Closed Sets of 2^X

Definition 3.1. [3] *A metric space Y lying in an ANRU-space Q is called approximately n -connected if and only if, for every neighborhood U of Y in Q , there exists a neighborhood U_0 of Y in Q such that every map from the n -sphere S^n into U_0 is homotopic in U to a constant map.*

Definition 3.2. [4] *A metric space Y lying in an ANRU-space Q is called movable if and only if, for every neighborhood U of Y in Q , there exists a neighborhood $U_0 \subset U$ of Y in Q such that, for every neighborhood V of Y in Q , there is a homotopy $\varphi : U_0 \times I \rightarrow U$ satisfying the condition*

$$\varphi(x, 0) = x, \quad \varphi(x, 1) \in V \text{ for every } x \in U_0.$$

Definition 3.3. [5] Let X and Y be metric spaces lying in ANRU-spaces P and Q , respectively. Then Y is said to be quasi-dominated by X (notation $Y \leq X$) if and only if, for every neighborhood U of Y in Q , there exist a neighborhood V of Y in Q and two fundamental sequences

$$\underline{f} = \{f_k, X, Y\}_{P,Q} \text{ and } \underline{\hat{g}} = \{g_k, Y, X\}_{Q,P}$$

such that

$$f_k g_k|_V \cong \text{id}_V \text{ in } U \text{ for almost all } k.$$

It is easy to see that in Definitions 3.1–3.3, the choice of ANRU-spaces $P \supset X$ and $Q \supset Y$ is immaterial.

Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(X) &= \{A \in 2^X : A \text{ is approximately } n\text{-connected}\} \\ \mathcal{M}(X) &= \{A \in 2^X : A \text{ is movable}\} \\ \mathcal{D}(X, B) &= \{A \in 2^X : A \leq B\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1. $C_n(X), \mathcal{M}(X), \mathcal{D}(X, B)$ are closed in 2^X .

Proof. We prove the theorem only for $C_n(X)$ because the proofs for $\mathcal{M}(X)$ and $\mathcal{D}(X, B)$ are similar (see [2]).

Consider X as a subset of the ANRU-space $P = I^\infty(X)$. Assume $A_0 \in \overline{C_n(X)}$ and let U be a neighborhood of A_0 in P . Put

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{8} \rho(A_0, P \setminus U). \tag{3.1}$$

Select an $A \in C_n(X)$ such that $\rho_S(A, A_0) < \varepsilon$. Then there exist two fundamental sequences $\underline{f} = \{f_k, A, A_0\}_P$ and $\underline{\hat{f}} = \{\hat{f}_k, A_0, A\}_P$ and a neighborhood (W, W_0) of (A, A_0) in (P, P) satisfying the following conditions for almost all k

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, f_k(x)) &< \varepsilon \text{ for every } x \in W \\ \rho(x, \hat{f}_k(x)) &< \varepsilon \text{ for every } x \in W_0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

We may assume

$$W \subset \{x \in P : \rho(x, A) \leq \varepsilon\}, \quad W_0 \subset \{x \in P : \rho(x, A_0) \leq \varepsilon\}. \tag{3.3}$$

From (3.1)–(3.3), it follows that

$$\hat{f}_k|_{W_0} \cong \text{id}_{W_0} \text{ in } U \text{ for almost all } k. \tag{3.4}$$

Since $A \in C_n(X)$, there exists a neighborhood V_0 of A in P such that every map from the n -sphere S^n into V_0 is homotopic in U to a constant map. Let $U_0 \subset W_0$ be a neighborhood of A_0 in P such that

$$\hat{f}_k(U_0) \subset V_0 \text{ for almost all } k. \tag{3.5}$$

Select an index $k_0 \in N$ such that all conditions (3.2), (3.4) and (3.5) are satisfied and let $\varphi : S^n \rightarrow U_0$ be a map. Then $\widehat{f}_{k_0}\varphi$ is homotopic in U to a constant map. Hence, by (3.4), $\varphi \cong \widehat{f}_{k_0}\varphi$ in U . Thus, φ is homotopic in U to a constant map and the theorem is proved. ■

Acknowledgement. We are very grateful to Professor Nguyen Van Khue for his comments during the preparation of this paper.

References

1. M. Atsugi, Uniform continuity of continuous function of metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **8** (1958) 11–16.
2. K. Borsuk, On a metrization of the hyperspace of a metric space, *Fund. Math.* **94** (1977) 191–207.
3. K. Borsuk, *Theory of Shape, Monografic Matematyczne*, Vol. 59, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1975.
4. K. Borsuk, On movable compacta, *Fund. Math.* **66** (1969) 137–146.
5. K. Borsuk, Some quantitative properties of shape, *Fund. Math.* **93** (1976) 197–212.
6. J. Isbell, Uniform neighborhood retracts, *Pacific. J. Math.* **11** (1961) 609–648.
7. Nguyen To Nhu, Shape of a metric space in the category of metric spaces and uniformly continuous maps, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Math. Astr. Phys.* **27** (1979) 929–934.
8. Nguyen To Nhu, On the extension of uniformly continuous mappings, Ph.D. Thesis, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, 1978.
9. Nguyen Nhuy, Shape theory in the category of metric spaces and uniformly continuous maps, *Acta Math. Vietnam.* **6**(2) (1981) 49–70.