Vietnam Journal of Mathematics 26:4 (1998) 311-313

Vietnam Journal of MATHEMATICS © Springer-Verlag 1998

Borel's Lemma in the *p***-adic Case***

Nguyen Thanh Quang

Department of Mathematics, Training Teachers College of Vinh Vinh, Nghe An, Vietnam

> Received June 28, 1997 Revised December 22, 1997

Abstract. By using the p-adic Nevanlinna–Cartan theory, we prove a version of p-adic Borel's lemma.

Recent studies suggest that the hyperbolicity of the complex variety X is related to the finiteness of the number of rational or integral points of X (see [2, 5]). It is well known that complex Borel's lemma is one of the main tools in the construction of hyperbolic hypersurfaces. Let us recall it.

Borel's Lemma. Let f_1, \ldots, f_n $(n \ge 3)$ be holomorphic functions without zeros on C such that

$$f_1+f_2+\cdots+f_n=0.$$

Then the functions f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} are linearly dependent over C.

The most obvious analog would be to call a *p*-adic projective variety X *p*-adic Brody hyperbolic if the only *p*-adic holomorphic maps $f : C_p \to X$ are the constant maps, where C_p is the completion of the algebraic closure of Q_p , the field of *p*-adic numbers. In the *p*-adic case, since the holomorphic functions without zeros are constant (*p*-adic Picard's theorem [3]), *p*-adic Borel's lemma on C_p , if formulated as in the complex case, would be trivial. In this paper, we prove a version of *p*-adic Borel's lemma. The key of the proof is the *p*-adic Nevanlinna–Cartan theorem [4].

Theorem. (*p*-adic Borel's lemma) Let f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n $(n \ge 3)$ be *p*-adic holomorphic functions without common zeros on C_p such that

$$f_1+f_2+\cdots+f_n=0.$$

^{*}This work was partially supported by the Association Auboune, Culture and Education France– Vietnam.

Then the functions f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} are linearly dependent if, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, every zero of f_j is of multiplicity at least d_j and the following condition holds:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_j} \le \frac{1}{n-2} \,. \tag{1}$$

Proof. Following [4], we use the notations $h^+(f, t)$ for the height function of a meromorphic function f. The necessary properties of the height function can be found in [4].

We first claim that f_j , $1 \le j \le n-1$ are linearly dependent over C_p . Assume f_j , $1 \le j \le n-1$ are linearly independent over C_p . We define a holomorphic curve g in $P^{n-2}(C_p)$ by

$$g: z \mapsto (f_1(z), \ldots, f_{n-1}(z)).$$

Then g is linearly non-degenerated. Take the following hyperplanes in a general position,

$$H_1 = \{z_1 = 0\}, \dots, H_{n-1} = \{z_{n-1} = 0\},\$$
$$H_n = \{z_1 + \dots + z_{n-1} = 0\}.$$

Then by *p*-adic Cartan–Nevanlinna theorem [4], we have

$$h^+(g,t) \le \sum_{j=1}^n N_{n-2}(g \circ H_j,t) + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}t + 0(1).$$

and the second

On the other hand, we have

$$N(f_{j}, t) \ge d_{j} N_{1}(f_{j}, t), \quad (j = 1, ..., n)$$

$$\Rightarrow N_{n-2}(g \circ H_{j}, t) = N_{n-2}(f_{j}, t)$$

$$\le (n-2) N_{1}(f_{j}, t) \le \frac{n-2}{d_{j}} N(f_{j}, t)$$

$$\le \frac{n-2}{d_{j}} \max_{1 \le j \le n-1} N(f_{j}, t), \quad (j = 1, 2, ..., n-1).$$

For j = n, we still have

CONCEPTION OF THE PARTY OF

$$N_{n-2}(g \circ H_n, t) = N_{n-2}(f_1 + \dots + f_{n-1}, t) = N_{n-2}(f_n, t)$$

$$\leq (n-2)N_1(f_n, t) \leq \frac{n-2}{d_n} N(f_n, t)$$

$$= \frac{n-2}{d_n} N(f_1 + \dots + f_{n-1}, t)$$

$$\leq \frac{n-2}{d_n} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} N(f_i, t) + 0(1).$$

By Lemma 3.8 in [4], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h^+(g,t) &= \max_{1 \le j \le n-1} h^+(f_j,t) = \max_{1 \le j \le n-1} N(f_j,t) + 0(1) \\ \Rightarrow \max_{1 \le j \le n-1} N(f_j,t) \le \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{n-2}{d_j} \max_{1 \le j \le n-1} N(f_j,t) + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} t + 0(1) \\ &= (n-2) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{d_j}\right) \max_{1 \le j \le n-1} N(f_j,t) + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} t + 0(1) \\ \Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{n-2} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{d_j}\right) \max_{1 \le j \le n-1} N(f_j,t) \le \frac{(n-1)}{2} t + 0(1). \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{d_j} \le \frac{1}{n-2},$$

we obtain a contradiction as $t \to -\infty$.

We have the following corollary, which is another statement of *p*-adic Borel's lemma.

Corollary. Let f_1, \ldots, f_n be p-adic holomorphic functions satisfying

$$f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_n = 1 \ (n \ge 2).$$

Then f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n are linearly dependent if every zero of f_j is of multiplicity at least d_j and the following condition holds:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{d_j} \le \frac{1}{n-1}.$$

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank his adviser, Professor Ha Huy Khoai, for suggesting these problems. He thanks the referee for pointing out Boutabaa's paper [1] where the author received similar (but less general) results. This note is a brief version of his original paper, following the referee's suggestions.

References

- 1. A. Boutabaa, Sur les courbes holomorphes *p*-adiques, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. 5(6) (1996) 29–52.
- 2. W. A. Cherry, Hyperbolic *p*-adic analytic spaces, Ph.D. Thesis, Yale University, 1993.
- 3. H. H. Khoai, On p-adic meromorphic functions, Duke Math. J. 50 (1983) 695-711.
- 4. H. H. Khoai and M. V. Tu, p-adic Nevanlinna–Cartan theorem, Inter. J. Math. 6(5) (1995) 719–731.
- 5. S. Lang, Hyperbolic and Diophantine analysis, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1986) 159-205.