

SLLN for Adapted Sequences

Fatima Ezzaki

Department of Mathematics

University of Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah, F.S.T Saiss V
Road Dimmouzer, B.P. 2202, Fès, Morocco

Received June 28, 1997

Revised December 22, 1997

Abstract. In the present paper, we examine the strong law of large numbers (SLLN) for integrable adapted sequences with values in a p -smooth (in Pisier's sense [11]) Banach space E . A general SLLN theorem for integrable adapted sequences is proved and a special form for *mils* [4] and *martingales difference* [10] is obtained. This leads to SLLN in Mosco convergence for convex weakly compact valued integrable adapted sequence including *super-martingales* and martingales difference.

1. Introduction

We shall suppose throughout this paper that (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is a complete probability space, $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_n \dots$ with $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ is an increasing sequence of sub- σ -algebras of \mathcal{F} , $\langle c_n \rangle$ is a decreasing sequence in \mathbf{R}^+ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0$, E is a separable Banach space, $cc(E)$ (resp. $cwk(E)$) is the family of all nonempty closed (resp. weakly compact) convex subsets of E , and \mathcal{E} is the Effros tribe on $cc(E)$. A closed convex valued measurable multifunction (alias *closed convex random set*) from Ω to $cc(E)$ is a $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ -measurable mapping from Ω to $cc(E)$. A measurable multifunction X from Ω to $cc(E)$ is integrable (resp. integrably bounded) if the real function $d(0, X(\cdot))$ (resp. $|X| : \omega \mapsto \sup\{\|x\| : x \in X(\omega)\}$) is integrable.

If X is a \mathcal{F} -measurable and integrable closed convex random set and \mathcal{B} is a sub- σ -algebra of \mathcal{F} , then there is a \mathcal{B} -measurable random closed convex random set G such that

$$S_G^1(\mathcal{B}) = cl\{E^{\mathcal{B}}(f) : f \in S_X^1(\mathcal{F})\},$$

where $S_G^1(\mathcal{B})$ is the set of all \mathcal{B} -measurable and integrable selections of G and the closure being taken in L_E^1 . Such a G is the multivalued conditional expectation of X relative to \mathcal{B} and is denoted by $E^{\mathcal{B}}X$. Let $L_{cwk(E)}^1(\mathcal{F})$ be the set of all \mathcal{F} -measurable integrably bounded multifunction X from Ω to $cwk(E)$. If the strong dual of E is separable, then by

[14], the conditional expectation of a random set $X \in \mathcal{L}_{cwk(E)}^1(\mathcal{F})$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{cwk(E)}^1(\mathcal{B})$. A sequence $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{cwk(E)}^1(\mathcal{F})$ is a *super-martingale* if $X_n \in \mathcal{L}_{cwk(E)}^1(\mathcal{F}_n)$ and $E^{\mathcal{F}_n} X_{n+1}(\omega) \subset X_n(\omega)$ for all $n \geq 1$ and almost surely (a.s. for short) $\omega \in \Omega$. Given a super-martingale (X_n) in $\mathcal{L}_{cwk(E)}^1(\mathcal{F})$, then by [6], there is a martingale (f_n, \mathcal{F}_n) in $L_E^1(\mathcal{F})$ such that, for each n , $f_n(\omega) \in X_n(\omega)$ a.s. Let us recall the following notions of p -smooth Banach space due to Pisier [11]. Let E be a Banach space and $p \in]1, 2]$. We say that E is a p -smooth space, if there exists an equivalent norm on E for which the *modulus of smoothness* ρ_E defined as

$$\rho_E(t) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\|x + ty\| + \|x - ty\| - 2) : \|x\| = \|y\| = 1 \right\}$$

satisfies the following condition: There exists a constant k such that $\rho_E(t) \leq kt^p$ for each $t \in]0, \infty[$.

Also, we shall use the following limit notions. Let C_1, C_2, \dots and C_∞ be closed convex subsets of E . We say that C_n *Mosco converges* to C_∞ if the two following inclusions are satisfied:

$$C_\infty \subset \text{s-li } C_n := \{x \in E : \|x - x_n\| \rightarrow 0; x_n \in C_n\}$$

$$\text{w-ls } C_n := \{x \in E : x_{n_k} \rightarrow x \text{ weakly}; x_{n_k} \in C_{n_k}\} \subset C_\infty.$$

We shall suppose throughout this paper that $p \in]1, 2]$ and E is a p -smooth Banach space.

2. SLLN for Adapted Sequences

The following lemma is decisive in the statement of our main result.

Lemma 2.1. *Let F be a Banach space and $(g_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ a martingale in $L_F^1(\mathcal{F})$ such that*

- (a) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} c_k^p E|g_k|^p = 0$;
- (b) $\sum_{k=1}^\infty (c_k^p - c_{k+1}^p) E|g_k|^p < \infty$.

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n g_n = 0$ a.s.

Proof. By the Chow inequality [3], for positive integrable submartingales, we have

$$\epsilon^p P \left[\max_{k \geq n} c_k^p |g_k|^p \geq \epsilon^p \right] \leq c_n^p E|g_n|^p + \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty c_k^p E(|g_k|^p - |g_{k-1}|^p).$$

By (a), it is enough to check that $\sum_{k=2}^\infty c_k^p E(|g_k|^p - |g_{k-1}|^p) < \infty$. But for all integers $m \geq 1$, we have

$$c_1^p E|g_1|^p + \sum_{k=2}^m c_k^p E(|g_k|^p - |g_{k-1}|^p) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (c_k^p - c_{k+1}^p) E|g_k|^p + c_m^p E|g_m|^p.$$

Then by (a) and (b), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (c_1^p E|g_1|^p + \sum_{k=2}^m c_k^p E(|g_k|^p - |g_{k-1}|^p)) \\ &= c_1^p E|g_1|^p + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k^p E(|g_k|^p - |g_{k-1}|^p) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (c_k^p - c_{k+1}^p) E|g_k|^p \\ &\leq \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we are ready to prove the main result in this section.

Theorem 2.2. Let $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $L_E^1(\mathcal{F})$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $(S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \mathcal{F}_n)$ is an adapted sequence;
- (ii) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^p E|X_i|^p < \infty$;
- (iii) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i (|E^{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}} X_i|) < \infty$ a.s.

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(\omega) = 0$ a.s.

Proof. By Doob's decomposition for adapted sequence [4, p.144], there exist a martingale (Y_n, \mathcal{F}_n) and a predictable sequence (Z_n, \mathcal{F}_n) such that $\forall n \geq 1, S_n = Y_n + Z_n$, where $S_1 = Y_1, Z_1 = 0, Y_{n+1} - Y_n = S_{n+1} - E^{\mathcal{F}_n} S_{n+1}$, and $Z_{n+1} - Z_n = E^{\mathcal{F}_n} S_{n+1} - S_n$.

First Step. Claim. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n Y_n = 0$ a.s. It is enough to check that conditions (a) and (b) of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied. Indeed, by Pisier's martingale inequality, there exists a positive constant B such that

$$\forall n \geq 1, E|Y_n|^p \leq B \sum_{i=1}^n E|Y_i - Y_{i-1}|^p \tag{2.1}$$

with $Y_0 = 0$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} E|Y_n - Y_{n-1}|^p &= E|(S_n - S_{n-1}) - (Z_n - Z_{n-1})|^p \\ &\leq E(|X_n| + |Z_n - Z_{n-1}|)^p \\ &= E(|X_n| + |E^{\mathcal{F}_{n-1}} S_n - S_{n-1}|)^p \\ &\leq 2^p E|X_n|^p \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

using Hölder's inequality. By (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$E|Y_n|^p \leq 2^p B \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_i|^p. \tag{2.3}$$

By (ii), (2.3) and Kronecker’s lemma, we obtain (a). Moreover, by (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n^p - c_{n+1}^p) E|Y_n|^p &\leq 2^p B \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n^p - c_{n+1}^p) E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|^p\right) \\ &= 2^p B \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E|X_i|^p \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} (c_n^p - c_{n+1}^p) \\ &= 2^p B \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^p E|X_i|^p < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then (b) is satisfied. Hence, Lemma 2.1 gives the desired conclusion.

Second Step. Claim. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n Z_n = 0$ a.s. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |c_n Z_n| &= \left| c_n \sum_{i=2}^n (Z_i - Z_{i-1}) \right| \\ &= \left| c_n \sum_{i=2}^n (E^{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}} S_i - S_{i-1}) \right| \\ &\leq c_n \sum_{i=1}^n |E^{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}} X_i|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying again Kronecker’s lemma in connection with (iii) yields $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n Z_n = 0$ a.s., thus proving the theorem. ■

A special form of Theorem 2.2 is the following result of SLLN for mils.

Corollary. Let $\langle S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \mathcal{F}_n \rangle$ be an integrable mil such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^p} E|X_i|^p < \infty.$$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n = 0$ a.s.

Proof. By applying Doob’s decomposition to $\langle S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \mathcal{F}_n \rangle$, there exist a martingale $\langle Y_n, \mathcal{F}_n \rangle$ and a predictable sequence $\langle Z_n, \mathcal{F}_n \rangle$ such that $\forall n, S_n = Y_n + Z_n$ and $Z_{n+1} - Z_n = E^{\mathcal{F}_n} S_{n+1} - S_n$. Set $c_i = \frac{1}{i}$, then $(S_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.2. By repeating the first step of the proof in Theorem 2.2, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} Y_n = 0$ a.s. Now, we need to show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} Z_n = 0$ a.s. Since $\langle S_n, \mathcal{F}_n \rangle$ is a mil, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq m} |E^{\mathcal{F}_m} S_n - S_m| = 0$ a.s., then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |Z_n - Z_{n-1}| = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

and since $\frac{1}{n} Z_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i - Z_{i-1})$ with $Z_0 = 0$, so by (2.4), we deduce that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} Z_n = 0$ a.s. ■

3. SLLN for Convex Weakly Compact Adapted Sequences

The following is a multivalued version of Theorem 2.2.

Proposition 3.1. *Let X_1 be a random set in $\mathcal{L}_{cwk(E)}^1(\mathcal{F})$, $(X_i)_{i \geq 2}$ a sequence in $L_E^1(\mathcal{F})$ and $\langle S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n, \mathcal{F}_n \rangle$ an adapted sequence. Assume the two following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^p E|X_i|^p < \infty$;
- (ii) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i |E^{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}} X_i| < \infty$ a.s.

Then $0 \in \text{s-li } c_n S_n$ a.s.

Proof. Since X_1 is \mathcal{F}_1 -measurable, there exists an integrable selection $h_1 \in S_E^1(\mathcal{F}_1)$. So $\langle f_n = h_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n, \mathcal{F}_n \rangle$ is an adapted sequence selection of S_n . By (i), (ii) and Theorem 2.2, we deduce that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n f_n = 0$, then $0 \in \text{s-li } c_n S_n$ a.s. ■

The following proposition ensures the existence of convex weakly compact valued adapted sequences having the form given in Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.2. *Let F be a Banach space with strongly separable dual F^* . If (X_n) is a sequence in $\mathcal{L}_{cwk(E)}^1(\mathcal{F})$, such that $\langle \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \mathcal{F}_n \rangle$ is a super-martingale, then S_n has the form*

$$S_n = X_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_n,$$

where $f_i \in L_F^1(\mathcal{F})$ ($i \geq 2$).

Proof. Since $\langle S_n, \mathcal{F}_n \rangle$ is a super-martingale, it is easy to check that

$$\int_{\Omega} X_{n+1}(\omega) P(d\omega) = \{0\}$$

for all $n \geq 1$. From [7], there exists $f_{n+1} \in L_F^1(\mathcal{F})$ such that $X_{n+1}(\omega) = \{f_{n+1}(\omega)\}$ a.s. Then $\forall n \geq 1$

$$S_n(\omega) := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(\omega) = X_1(\omega) + f_2(\omega) + \dots + f_n(\omega) \text{ a.s.} \quad \blacksquare$$

The following is a consequence of Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 3.2.

Proposition 3.3. Assume E is separable. Let (X_n) be a sequence in $\mathcal{L}_{cwk(E)}^1(\mathcal{F})$ satisfying the following two conditions:

- (i) $\langle \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \mathcal{F}_n \rangle$ is a super-martingale;
 (ii) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^p E|X_i|^p < \infty$.

Then

- (a) $0 \in \text{s-li } c_n \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(\omega)$ a.s.;
 (b) if $\langle \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \mathcal{F}_n \rangle$ is a martingale, then for a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\text{M-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(\omega) = \{0\}.$$

Proof Claim 1. $0 \in \text{s-li } c_n \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ a.s.

First Proof. By (i) and Proposition 3.2, there exists $f_i \in L_E^1(\mathcal{F})$ ($i \geq 2$) such that $\forall n \geq 1$,

$$S_n(\omega) := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(\omega) = X_1(\omega) + f_2(\omega) + \cdots + f_n(\omega) \text{ a.s.}$$

Since $\langle S_n \rangle$ is a super-martingale in $\mathcal{L}_{cwk(E)}^1(\mathcal{F})$, by [5], there exists an integrable martingale selection $\langle g_n \rangle$ for $\langle S_n \rangle$. Consequently, for each $n \geq 1$, there exists an integrable selection h_1^n of X_1 such that a.s.

$$g_n = h_1^n + f_2 + \cdots + f_n. \quad (3.1)$$

Since $(g_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a martingale, it is enough to check that conditions (a) and (b) of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied. Indeed by (3.1), we have $h_1^n = h_1^{n+1} + E^{\mathcal{F}_n} f_{n+1}$, then $g_{n+1} - g_n = f_{n+1} - E^{\mathcal{F}_n} f_{n+1}$ where $g_0 = 0$, $f_1 = g_1$. On the other hand, by Pisier's martingale inequality, there exists a positive constant B such that $\forall n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} E|g_n|^p &\leq B \sum_{i=1}^n E|g_i - g_{i-1}|^p \\ &= B \sum_{i=1}^n E|f_i - E^{\mathcal{F}_{i-1}} f_i|^p \\ &\leq 2^p B \sum_{i=1}^n E|f_i|^p \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

by using Hölder's inequality. By (3.2), we have

$$E|g_n|^p \leq 2^p B \sum_{i=1}^n E|f_i|^p. \quad (3.3)$$

By (ii), (3.3) and Kronecker’s lemma, we obtain Lemma 2.1(a). Moreover, by (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n^p - c_{n+1}^p) E|g_n|^p &\leq 2^p B \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n^p - c_{n+1}^p) E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f_i|^p\right) \\ &\leq 2^p B \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E|X_i|^p \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} (c_n^p - c_{n+1}^p) \\ &= 2^p B \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i^p E|X_i|^p < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then Lemma 2.1(b) is satisfied. Hence, Lemma 2.1 gives the desired conclusion.

Second Proof. (Suggested by C. Hess) By (i), one can check that $\int_B f_{n+1} P(d\omega) = \{0\}$, $\forall B \in \mathcal{F}_n$ so that, for all n , $E^{\mathcal{F}_n}(f_{n+1}) = 0$ a.s. Set $\tilde{S}_n = \tilde{f}_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_n$ (where $\tilde{f}_1 = 0$), then $(\tilde{S}_n, \mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is an adapted sequence, and by (ii), $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} c_i^p E|f_i|^p < \infty$. So by Proposition 3.1, we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n(f_2 + f_3 + \dots + f_n) = 0$ a.s., and since $c_n|X_1| \rightarrow 0$ a.s., (a) is satisfied. It remains to prove:

Claim 2. w-ls $c_n \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(\omega) = \{0\}$ a.s. Let $(e_k^*)_{k \geq 1}$ be a dense sequence in E^* .

Applying Theorem 2.2 to real valued martingales $\langle \delta^*(e_k^*, S_n) \rangle$ yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n \delta^*(e_k^*, S_n(\omega)) = 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

for all $k \geq 1$. Hence, Claim 2 follows. ■

References

1. D.L. Burkholder, Martingale transforms, *Ann. Math. Stat.* **37** (1966) 1494–1504.
2. C. Castaing and M. Valadier, *Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 580, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
3. Y. S. Chow, A martingale inequality and the law of large numbers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **11** (1960) 107–111.
4. L. Egghe, *Stopping Times Techniques for Analysis and Probabilists*, Cambridge University Press, 1985.
5. C. Hess, Loi de probabilité et indépendance des ensembles aléatoires à valeurs fermées dans un espace de Banach, *Séminaire d’Analyse Convexe Université Montpellier II*, Exposé No. 7, 1983.
6. C. Hess, On multivalued martingales whose values may be unbounded: martingale selectors and Mosco convergence, *J. of Multivariate Analysis* **39**(1) (1991) 175–201.
7. F. Hiai, Convergence of conditional expectations and strong law of large numbers for multivalued random variables, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **291**(2) (1985).
8. F. Hiai, Multivalued conditional expectations multivalued Radon-Nikodym theorems, in: *Integral Representation of Additive Operators and Multivalued Strong Law of Large Numbers*, Conference of Catania, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1091, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
9. F. Hiai and H. Umegaki, Integrals, conditional expectations and martingales of multivalued functions, *J. of Multivariate Analysis* **7** (1977) 149–182.

- 10. J. Hoffmann–Jorgensen and G. Pisier, The law of large numbers and the central limit theorem in Banach space, *Annals of Probability* 4(4) (1976) 587–599.
- 11. G. Pisier, Martingales with values in uniformly convex spaces, *Israel J. of Math.* 20(3&4) (1975) 326–350.
- 12. P. Raynaud de Fitte, Deux lois fortes des grands nombres pour des ensembles aléatoires, *Séminaire d'Analyse Convexe de l'Université Montpellier II*, Exposé No. 1, 1991.
- 13. L. Schwartz, *Geometry and Probability in Banach Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 852, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- 14. M. Valadier, On conditional expectation of random sets, *Ann. Math. Pura Appl.* CXXXVI (1980) 81–91.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \dots$$

Theorem 2.1(i) is satisfied. Hence Lemma 2.1 gives the desired conclusion. ...
 Second proof (suggested by C. Hoad): By (i), one can check that $\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_i) = \varphi(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$...
 Proposition 1. We choose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(x) = \varphi(x)$ and since $\varphi_n(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x)$...

Claim 2. We let $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$ and let $\varphi_n(x) = 0$ for a dense sequence in B .

Applying Theorem 2.2 to real valued martingales $\{\varphi_n^2, \mathcal{F}_n\}$ yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n^2(x) = 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

for all $x \in B$. Hence Claim 2 follows.

References

- 1. D.L. Burkholder, Martingale transforms, *Ann. Math. Stat.* 37 (1966) 1494–1504.
- 2. C. Doleans-Dalle and M. Yor, Convex functions and martingale inequalities, *Israel J. Math.* 198 (1993) 107–111.
- 3. Y. K. Choe, A martingale inequality and the law of large numbers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 111 (1983) 107–111.
- 4. L. Eggle, *Stochastic Time Evolutions for Anisotropic and Proliferating Cell Growth*, Ph.D. Thesis, 1988.
- 5. C. Hoad, On the probability of independence for martingales, *Israel J. Math.* 198 (1993) 107–111.
- 6. C. Hoad, On martingale inequalities whose values may be interpreted as martingale submartingales, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* 19 (1983) 175–211.
- 7. F. Hoffmann-Jorgensen, On conditional expectations and strong law of large numbers for martingales, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* 19 (1983) 175–211.
- 8. F. Hoffmann-Jorgensen, On conditional expectations and strong law of large numbers for martingales, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* 19 (1983) 175–211.
- 9. F. Hoffmann-Jorgensen, On conditional expectations and strong law of large numbers for martingales, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* 19 (1983) 175–211.