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## 1. Introduction

Two basic techniques widely used in global optimization are branch-and-bound and outer approximations. Algorithms using these techniques require constructing at the beginning an initial polyhedral convex set whose vertices and extreme directions are easy to calculate. Moreover this set must contain at least one optimal solution and not beyond the domain where the objective function as well as constraints are defined. Throughout this note we mean such a polyhedral convex set as a well initiated polyhedron. When the objective function and the constraints of the problem are finite on the whole space, a well-initiated polyhedron can be constructed by available methods. Usually it is a simplex, a cone, or a box depending on the structure of the considered problem. There are however some important problems for which finding a well-nitiated polyhedron is not an easy task, because the objective and/or constraint functions are not defined everywhere or their effective domains are not given explicitly. Examples for such problems can be taken from a class of multiplicative optimization, bilevel programming, and optimization over the efficient set.

In this note we propose the use of outer and inner (primal and dual) approximations, which are widely used in global optimization, to construct a well initiated polyhedron for optimization problems over the efficient set of a multiple objective linear program and for bilevel linear programs. Branch-and-bound

[^0]methods using an initial polyhedron constructed according to our proposal require special subdivision strategies because the resulting initial polyhedron does not, in general, belong to the sets of cones, simplices, and boxes. For this case we make use of an adaptive polyhedral subdivision developed in convex-concave programming to obtain a decomposition branch-and-bound algorithm for solving bilevel linear progams.

## 2. Examples

Below are examples for which constructing a well-initiated polyhedron, which is a cone, a simplex, or a box, is not an easy task.
(1) Positive Multiplicative Optimization [3]

Consider the following multiplicative programming problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{f(x):=\prod_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}(x): x \in D\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $D$ is a closed convex set and $f_{i}(i=1, \ldots, k)$ is affine, positive valued on $D$. Then $\Pi_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}(x)$ is quasiconcave on $D$. So in this case, Problem (1) is a quasiconcave minimization. Note that without the restriction to positive values of $f_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$, the quasiconcavity property of $f$ may fail to hold. Therefore, solution methods rely on quasiconcavity of the objective function should work within a domain where all $f_{i}(i=1, \ldots, k)$ are positive valued.
(2) Optimization Over the Efficient Set $[1,2,4,6,7]$

Let $X$ be a bounded polyhedron in $R^{n}, C$ a $(p \times n)$-matrix, and $f$ a real-valued function on $R^{n}$. Let $E(C, X)$ denote the set of all efficient (Pareto) points of $C$ on $X$, i.e.,

$$
E(C, X):=\{x \in X: C y \geq C x, y \in X \Rightarrow C x=C y\}
$$

The problem of finding a most preferred (with respect to $f$ ) efficient point can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \{f(x): x \in E(C, X)\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
C_{0}:=\left\{x \in R^{n}: C x \leq 0\right\}, G(X):=\left\{x \in R^{n}: C y \geq C x, y \in X\right\}
$$

and

$$
r(x):=\max \left\{e^{T}(C y-C x): C y \geq C x, y \in X\right\}
$$

where as usual $e$ denotes the vector whose every entry is 1 . It has been shown $[1,4]$ that (2) is equivalent to the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \{f(x): r(x) \leq 0, x \in X\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the effective domain $G(X)$ of $r$ is not given explicitly, constructing a well initiated polyhedron contained in $G(X)$ is not straightforward.
(3) Bilevel Linear Programming $[9,10]$

Let $K$ and $L$ be two bounded polyhedral convex sets in $R^{p}$ and $R^{q}$ respectively, and let $f: R^{p} \times R^{q} \rightarrow R, g: R^{q} \rightarrow R$ be linear functions. Consider the following bilevel linear program:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\max f(x, y) \text { s.t. } x \in K \text { and } y \text { solves }  \tag{4}\\
\max \{g(y): y \in L, A x+B y \leq r\} \tag{x}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $r \in R^{m}$, and $A, B$ are given appropriate matrices. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}(x):=\max \{g(y): y \in L, B y \leq r-A x\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g$ is linear, $p_{0}$ is a finite concave function on the set

$$
G(L):=\{x: B y \leq r-A x, y \in L\} .
$$

As usual we assume that, for every $x \in K$, $\operatorname{Problem}(P(x))$ has a feasible solution. Thus, $K \subset G(L)$. For each $z=(x, y) \in G(L) \times R^{q}$, define $p(x, y):=p_{0}(x)-g(y)$. Then $p$ is a finite concave function on $G(L) \times R^{q}$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y) \text { is feasible for (4) if and only if }(x, y) \in K \times L, A x+B y \leq r, p(x, y)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, Problem (4) can be formulated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \{f(x, y): x \in K, y \in L, A x+B y \leq r, p(x, y) \leq 0\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Construction of an Initial Polyhedral Convex Set to Optimization Over the Efficient Set and Bilevel Linear Programming

In branch-and-bound and outer approximation methods we are often given two polyhedra $P$ and $Q$ satisfying $P \subset Q$. It requires us to construct a polyhedron $S$ such that $P \subset S \subset Q$ and its extreme points and directions can be calculated with a reasonable effort. Below we propose two procedures using inner and outer approximations for constructing such a polyhedron. In the sequel we assume that $P$ is a bounded polyhedron (polytope) given by a finite system of inequalities.

### 3.1. Outer Approximation

Suppose that a bounded polyhedral convex set $S_{0}$ containing $P$ has been constructed. If $S_{0} \subset Q$, we are done. Otherwise, there must exist a vertex $v$ of $S_{0}$ such that $v \notin Q$. Then $v \notin P$, since $P \subset Q$. Find a constraint of $P$ violated by $v$. Add this constraint to $S_{0}$ to obtain a new polytope $S_{1}$. Then the procedure is repeated with $S_{1}$ and so on. Since $P \subset Q$, the procedure must terminate yielding a polytope containing $P$ and contained in $Q$. Since searching vertices is very costly in high-dimensional spaces, we suggest reducing, if possible, the dimension of the space in which this searching takes place. Let us illustrate this
procedure by applying it to the feasible domain of Problem (3) which is given as $D:=\{x: r(x) \leq 0, x \in X\}$. For simplicity, assume that $\operatorname{rank} C=k$, that the first $k$ rows $c^{1}, \ldots, c^{k}$ of $C$ are independent, and that all the data are given in the basis $c^{1}, \ldots, c^{k}, b^{k+1}, \ldots, b^{n}$, where $b^{k+1}, \ldots, b^{n}$ forms a basis of the subspace $L_{2}:=\{x: C x=0\}$. Denote by $L_{1}$ the subspace generated by $\left\{c^{1}, \ldots, c^{k}\right\}$. Then every $x$ is uniquely expressed as $x=x^{1}+x^{2}$ with $x^{1} \in L_{1}, x^{2} \in L_{2}$. Thus, every $x$ is uniquely defined by a couple of vectors $(u, v)$, where $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right)$ and $v=\left(v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ are calculated by the following system of equations:

$$
x^{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} u_{j} c^{j}, \quad x^{2}=\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} v_{j} b^{j} .
$$

It has been shown (see e.g., [4]) that $r(x)=r\left(x^{1}\right)=r(u)$. Thus, the constraint $r(x) \leq 0$ that makes $D$ non-convex actually only depends upon $k$-variables. This allows us to apply the above outer approximation in $L_{1}$. Namely, we take $P=X_{1}$, and $Q=G\left(X_{1}\right)$ where $X_{1}$ stands for the projection of $X$ on $L_{1}$ and $G\left(X_{1}\right)$ is the effective domain of $r(u)$.

### 3.2. Inner Approximation

In inner approximation, which can be regarded as a dual form of the above outer approximation, we suppose that a polyhedron $S_{0}$ containing the origin and contained in $Q$ has been defined and that the vertices and extreme directions of its polar, denoted by $S_{0}^{*}$, can be easily computed. If $P \subset S_{0}$, then we are done. Otherwise, there exists $v \in S_{0}^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle v, a\rangle>1 \text { with } a \in \operatorname{argmax}\{\langle v, x\rangle: x \in V(P)\} \tag{v}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(V(P)$ stands for the set of the vertices of $P)$. Then we set $S_{1}=\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{0},\{a\}\right)$ and repeat the procedure with $S_{1}$. Clearly $S_{1} \subset Q$. Since the number of vertices of $P$ is finite, this procedure must terminate yielding a polyhedron containing $P$ and contained in $Q$.

Since $S_{1}=\operatorname{conv}\left(S_{0},\{a\}\right)$, we have $S_{1}^{*}=S_{0}^{*} \cap\{u:\langle a, u\rangle \leq 1\}$. Since dim $S_{0}^{*}$ is equal to $n$-lineality $S_{0}$ and the latter is a measure of non-linearity of $S_{0}$, this inner (dual outer) approximation procedure is expected to apply to problems where $S_{0}$ has low non-linearity.

To illustrate the proposed methods, let us apply them to the optimization problem over the efficient set and to the bilevel program given in the previous section.

Consider first the optimization problem over the efficient set in the form of (3). Without loss of generality, we assume that $X$ contains the origin. Then $C_{0} \subset G(X)$. Since $C_{0}=\{x: C x \leq 0\}$, its polar is

$$
C_{0}^{*}=\left\{u: u=\sum_{j=1}^{k} t_{j} c^{j}, t_{j} \geq 0 \forall j=1, \ldots, k\right\}
$$

We can then apply the above proposed inner approximation method with $P=X$, $Q=G(X)$, and $S_{0}=C_{0}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} S_{0}^{*}=k$, the vertices and extreme directions are created in a $k$-dimensional space.

Next we consider the bilevel program given by (7). As before, assume that the origin is feasible. We observe that $p_{0}(x)$ is constant on the linear space $L_{0}:=\{x: A x=0\}$. Hence, the function $p(x, y)=p_{0}(x)-g(y)$ is convex on $L_{0}$. Since the origin is feasible for (7), we have $C_{0}:=\{x: A x \leq 0\} \subset G(L)$. We then can apply the inner approximation method with $P=K, Q=G(L)$ and $S_{0}=C_{0}$. Note that if $\operatorname{rank} A=k$, then the vertices and extreme directions, are computed, as before, in a $k$-dimensional space.

## 4. On Solution Method by Branch-and-Bound

The initial polyhedral convex set constructed by the above methods in general is neither a simplex, a cone, nor a rectangle. Therefore, branch-and-bound methods using simplicial, conical, or rectangular subdivisions, in general, cannot be used for this set. We propose to use an adaptive polyhedral bisection developed in convex-concave programming to obtain a decomposition algorithm for solving Problem (7).

Suppose that a polyhedral convex set $S_{0}$ satisfying $K \subset S_{0} \subset G(L)$ has been constructed. Let $S$ be a subpolyhedron of $S_{0}$ whose vertices $V(S)$ and extreme directions $R(S)$ are at hand. Consider the bilevel program (7) with respect to $S$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(S):=\max \left\{f(x, y): x \in S, y \in L, A x+B y \leq r, p_{0}(x)-g(y) \leq 0\right\} \tag{S}
\end{equation*}
$$

By decoupling variables $x$ and $y$ in $(P(S)$ ), we obtain the relaxed problem

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\alpha(S):=\max \{f(x, y): x \in S \cap K, u \in S, y \in L \\
\left.A x+B y \leq r, p_{0}(u)-g(y) \leq 0\right\} . \tag{S}
\end{array}
$$

Since $p_{0}$ is finite and concave on $S$, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha(S)=\max \{f(x, y): x \in S \cap K, y \in L \\
& \left.A x+B y \leq r, p_{0}\left(u^{S}\right)-g(y) \leq 0\right\} \tag{S}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
u^{S} \in \arg \min \left\{p_{0}(u): u \in V(S)\right\}
$$

Note that since $f$ and $g$ are linear, $\left(P\left(u^{S}\right)\right)$ is a linear program.
Let $\left(x^{S}, y^{S}\right)$ be an optimal solution of Problem $\left(P\left(u^{S}\right)\right.$ ). Clearly, $\beta(S) \leq$ $\alpha(S)$, and $\beta(S)=\alpha(S)$ whenever $u^{S}=x^{S}$. Thus, if $u^{S} \neq x^{S}$, one suggests bisecting $S$ into two polyhedral convex sets by a separation function defined by a hyperplane passing the midpoint of segment joining $u^{S}$ and $x^{S}$. Namely, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}:=\left\{x \in S:\left\langle l^{S}, x-\left(u^{S}+x^{S}\right) / 2\right\rangle \leq 0\right\} \\
& S_{2}:=\left\{x \in S:\left\langle l^{S}, x-\left(u^{S}+x^{S}\right) / 2\right\rangle \geq 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $l^{S}=\left(u^{S}-x^{S}\right) /\left(\left\|u^{S}-x^{S}\right\|\right)$. The points $x^{S}$ and $u^{S}$ are called bisection points of $S$. As usual, a partition set $S_{k}$ is selected to be bisected at iteration $k$ if

$$
\alpha\left(S_{k}\right)=\max \left\{\alpha(S): S \in \mathcal{R}_{k}\right\}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{k}$ denotes the family of partition sets of interest at iteration $k$.
It has been shown [5] that if $\left\{S_{j}\right\}$ is an infinite nested sequence of partition sets generated by this bisection and $\left\{u^{j}\right\},\left\{x^{j}\right\}$ are two sequences of corresponding bisection points, then the sequences $\left\{u^{j}\right\},\left\{x^{j}\right\}$ have a common cluster point. This property ensures that a branch-and-bound algorithm using the just described bounding, branching, and selecting rules will be convergent in the sense that the algorithm produces a non-increasing sequence of upper bounds and a non-decreasing sequence of lower bounds which both tend to the global optimal value of the problem. Moreover, the common cluster point of bisection points of selected partition sets is a global optimal solution.
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