Vietnam Journal of Mathematics 28:4 (2000) 381-385

Vietnam Journal of MATHEMATICS © Springer-Verlag 2000

Short Communication

# On Entropy Numbers and Non-Linear Approximation by Sets of Finite Pseudo-Dimension

## **Dinh Dung**

Institute of Information Technology Nghia Do, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam

Received August 10, 1999

1. Let X be a quasi-normed linear space and  $W, M \subset X$ . For approximation of elements from W by M, the quantity

$$E(W, M, X) := \sup_{f \in W} \inf_{\varphi \in M} \|f - \varphi\|$$

gives the worst case error of approximation. When M is a linear manifold we have the linear approximation problem. Non-linear approximation is that when the set of the approximation M is a non-linear manifold.

Given a family  $\mathcal{M}$  of subsets in X, we are interested in the best approximation by  $\mathcal{M}$  from  $\mathcal{M}$  in terms of the quantity

$$d(W, \mathcal{M}, X) := \inf_{M \in \mathcal{M}} E(W, M, X).$$
(1)

In linear approximation, if  $\mathcal{M}$  is the family of all linear manifolds of dimension  $\leq n$ , then  $d(W, \mathcal{M}, X)$  in (1) defines the well-known Kolmogorov *n*-width  $d_n(W, X)$ .

We are interested in non-linear approximation in terms of the entropy number  $\varepsilon_n(W, X)$  and the non-linear *n*-width  $\rho_n(W, X)$ .

The quantity  $d(W, \mathcal{M}, X)$  is called entropy number  $\varepsilon_n(W, X)$  if in (1)  $\mathcal{M}$  is the family of all subsets of X such that  $|\mathcal{M}| \leq 2^n$ , where  $|\mathcal{M}|$  denotes the cardinality of  $\mathcal{M}$ . It is inverse to the  $\varepsilon$ -entropy  $H_{\varepsilon}(W, X) := \log N_{\varepsilon}(W, X)$  where  $N_{\varepsilon}(W, X)$  is the cardinality of the minimal  $\varepsilon$ -net of W. The  $\varepsilon$ -entropy  $H_{\varepsilon}(W, X)$  was introduced by Kolmogorov and Tikhomirov [5].

The non-linear *n*-width  $\rho_n(W, X)$  introduced recently by Ratsaby and Maiorov [7,8] is defined only for a space X of real-valued functions on a set  $\Omega$ . It is  $d(W, \mathcal{M}, X)$ , if  $\mathcal{M}$  in (1) is the family of all subsets of X of pseudo-dimension  $\leq n$ .

The notion of pseudo-dimension is defined as follows. For a real number t

let  $\operatorname{sgn}(t)$  be 1 for t > 0 and -1 otherwise. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  let  $\operatorname{sgn}(x) = \{\operatorname{sgn}(x_1), \operatorname{sgn}(x_2), \dots, \operatorname{sgn}(x_n)\}$ . Let M be a set of real-valued functions defined on  $\Omega$ . The pseudo-dimension of M is defined as the largest integer n such that there exist points  $a^1, a^2, \dots, a^n$  in  $\Omega$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the cardinality of the set  $\{\operatorname{sgn}(y): y = \{f(a^1) + b_1, f(a^2) + b_2, \dots, f(a^n) + b_n\}, f \in M\}$  is  $2^n$ . If n is arbitrarily large then the pseudo-dimension of M is infinite. We denote the pseudo-dimension of M by  $\dim_p(M)$ .

The definition of pseudo-dimension of a real-valued functions set is introduced by Pollard [6] and later by Haussler [4] as an extension of the Vapnik-Chervonekis dimension [12] of an indicator function set. The pseudo-dimension defined above and the Vapnik-Chervonekis dimension are measures of capacity of function set. They play an important role in theory of pattern recognition and regression estimation, empirical processes and computational learning theory (see also [7, 8] for details).

If M is a linear manifold of dimension n in X, then  $\dim_p(M) = n$  (see [4.6]). From the definition we can see that  $\dim_p(M) \leq \log|M|$ , and consequently,

$$o_n(W,X) \le \varepsilon_n(W,X) \tag{2}$$

for any subset W in the quasi-normed linear space X of real valued functions on  $\Omega$ .

We establish in our paper asymptotic orders of the non-linear *n*-width  $\rho_n$ and entropy number  $\varepsilon_n$  in the space  $L_q(\mathbb{T}^d)$  of the unit  $\mathbf{SW}_q^r$  of Sobolev space and the unit ball of  $\mathbf{SB}_{p,\theta}^r$  of the Besov space of functions on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  with common mixed smoothness r.

2. For a nonnegative integer r, the univariate symmetric difference operator  $\Delta_h^s$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{T}$ , is defined inductively by  $\Delta_h^s := \Delta_h^1 \Delta_h^{s-1}$ , starting from the operator

$$\Delta_h^1 f := f(\cdot + h/2) - f(\cdot - h/2).$$

For a natural number s and  $e \subset E := \{1, 2, ..., d\}$ , we let the multivariable mixed s-th difference operator  $\Delta_h^s$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{T}^d$ , be defined by

$$\Delta_h^s(e)f := \prod_{j \in e}^d \Delta_{h_j}^s f,$$

where the univariate operator  $\Delta_{h_j}^s$  is applied to the variable  $x_j$  (in particular,  $\Delta_h^s(\emptyset) f \equiv f$ ).

For r > 0 and  $1 \le p \le \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta \le \infty$ , let  $\mathbf{B}_{p,\theta}^r$  denote the Besov space of all functions on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ , for which the quasi-norm

$$||f||_{\mathbf{B}_{p,\theta}^{r}} := \sum_{e \in E} |f|_{B_{p,\theta}^{r,e}}$$

is finite, where  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is the usual *p*-integral norm in  $L_p := L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$  and

$$|f|_{B^{r,e}_{p,\theta}} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \prod_{j \in e} |h|^{-1-\theta r} \|\Delta^s_h(e)f\|_p^{\theta} dh \right)^{1/\theta}, \ \theta < \infty$$

(the integral is changed to the supremum for  $\theta = \infty$ ) for some s > r. The definition of  $\mathbf{B}_{p,\theta}^r$  can be extended for any  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  (see, e.g. [3]).

The Sobolev space  $\mathbf{W}_p^r$  is defined in the same way as  $\mathbf{B}_{p,\theta}^r$  by replacing

## On Entropy Numbers and Non-Linear Approximation

 $\|f\|_{\mathbf{B}_{p,\theta}^{r}}$  and  $\|f\|_{\mathbf{B}_{p,\theta}^{r,\epsilon}}$  by  $\|f\|_{\mathbf{W}_{p}^{r}}$  and  $\|f\|_{W_{p}^{r,\epsilon}} := \|(\prod_{j \in e} \partial^{r} / \partial x_{j}^{r})f\|_{p}$ , respectively, where  $\partial^{r} / \partial x_{j}^{r}$  is the fractional partial differential operator of order r in the sense of Weil.

3. We give the main result of our paper. Let

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{SB}_{p,\theta}^r &:= \{f \in \mathbf{W}_p^r : \|f\|_{\mathbf{W}_p^r} \le 1\}\\ \mathbf{SW}_p^r &:= \{f \in \mathbf{B}_{p,\theta}^r : \|f\|_{\mathbf{B}_{p,\theta}^r} \le 1\} \end{split}$$

and

be the unit balls in  $\mathbf{B}_{p,\theta}^r$  and  $\mathbf{W}_p^r$ , respectively.

We use the notation  $F \asymp F'$  if  $F \ll F'$  and  $F' \ll F$ , and  $F \ll F'$  if  $F \leq CF'$  with C an absolute constant. Denote by  $\gamma_n$  either  $\varepsilon_n$  or  $\rho_n$  and put  $a_+ := \max\{a, 0\}$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let 1 < p,  $q < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta \le \infty$ . Then we have for either r > 1/p or  $r > (1/p - 1/q)_+$  and  $\theta \ge \min\{q, 2\}$ 

$$v_n(\mathbf{SB}_{n,\theta}^r, L_q) \simeq n^{-r} (\log n)^{(d-1)(r+1/2-1/\theta)},$$
(3)

and for  $r > (1/p - 1/q)_+$ 

$$\gamma_n(\mathbf{SW}_p^r, L_q) \asymp \left(n/\log^{d-1} n\right)^{-r}.$$
(4)

10

In addition, we can explicitly construct a subset M in  $L_q$  of cardinality  $|M| \leq 2^n$ and a mapping  $S: M \to M$  so that

$$E(W, M, L_q) \leq \sup_{f \in W} ||f - S(f)||_q \ll E(n),$$

where W denotes  $SB_{p,\theta}^r$  of  $SW_p^r$  and E(n) the right side of (3) or (4), respectively.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $0 < p, q, \theta \le \infty$ ,  $1 \le \tau \le \infty$  and  $r > \alpha$ . Assume that either  $r - \alpha > 1/p$  or  $r - \alpha > (1/p - 1/q)_+$  and  $\theta \ge \tau$ . Then we have

$$\varepsilon_n (\mathbf{SB}_{p,\theta}^r, \mathbf{B}_{q,\tau}^\alpha) \ll E_{\theta,\tau}(n),$$
$$E_{\theta,\tau}(n) = n^{-r+\alpha} (\log n)^{(d-1)(r-\alpha+1/\tau-1)}$$

In addition, we can explicitly construct a finite subset  $\mathbf{V}^*$  in  $\mathbf{V}$ , a subset M in  $\mathbf{M}_n(\mathbf{V}^*)$  of cardinality  $|M| \leq 2^n$ , and a mapping  $S : \mathbf{B}_{p,\theta}^r \longrightarrow M$  so that

 $E(\mathbf{SB}_{p,\theta}^{r}, M, \mathbf{B}_{q,\tau}^{\alpha}) \leq \sup_{f \in \mathbf{SB}_{p,\theta}^{r}} \|f - S(f)\|_{\mathbf{B}_{q,\tau}^{\alpha}} \ll E_{\theta,\tau}(n).$ 

**Theorem 3.** Let  $0 < p, q, \theta, \tau \leq \infty$  and  $r > \alpha$ . Then we have

$$\rho_n(\mathbf{SB}_{p,\theta}^r, \mathbf{B}_{q,\tau}^\alpha) \gg n^{-r+\alpha} (\log n)^{(d-1)(r-\alpha+1/\tau-1/\theta)}.$$

The asymptotic order of  $\varepsilon_n(\mathbf{SW}_p^r, L_q)$  was proved by Smolyak [9] for p = q = 2, by Dinh Dung for 1 [2] and by Temlyakov for <math>1 [10] and <math>r > 1, and Belinsky [1] for  $1 and <math>1/p - 1/q < r \leq 1$ . The asymptotic order of  $\varepsilon_n(\mathbf{SB}_{p,\infty}^r, L_q)$  was proved by Temlyakov [10] for  $1 < p, q < \infty$  and r > 1, and Belinsky [1] for  $1 and <math>1/p - 1/q < r \leq 1$ . We are

where

restricted to consider the case  $1 < p, q < \infty$  of  $\varepsilon_n(\mathbf{SW}_p^r, L_q)$  and  $\varepsilon_n(\mathbf{SB}_{p,\theta}^r, L_q)$ . See [1,11] for details of recent results on the cases  $p, q = 1, \infty$  and  $\theta = \infty$ . The asymptotic order of  $\rho_n$  of the unit ball of the multivariate classical Sobolev space was obtained by Ratsaby and Maiorov [8].

4. Theorem 1 is easily proved from Theorems 2 and 3 using the inequality (2), the well-known Littlewood–Paley theorem and the following

**Lemma 1.** Let the linear space L be equipped with two quasi-norms  $\|\cdot\|_X$  and  $\|\cdot\|_Y$ , and W be a subset of L. If  $\varepsilon_m(W, X) > 0$ , we have

$$\varepsilon_{n+m}(W,Y) \leq \varepsilon_n(SX,Y)\varepsilon_m(W,X).$$

In order to prove Theorems 2 and 3, we used non-linear *n*-term approximations with regard to the family formed from the integer translates of the mixed dyadic scales of the tensor product multivariate de la Vallée Poussin kernel, discretization methods, a lemma of Haussler on upper bound for the  $\varepsilon$ -packing number (see [8, Lemma 1]) and the following estimates of  $\varepsilon_n$  for sets in finitedimensional spaces.

For  $0 denote by <math>l_p^m$  the space of all sequences  $x = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^m$  of (complex) numbers, equipped with the quasi-norm  $||x||_{l_p^m} := (\sum_{k=1}^m |x_k|^p)^{1/p}$  with the change to the max norm when  $p = \infty$ .

Let  $0 < p, \theta \le \infty$ ,  $\mathbf{N} = \{N_k\}_{k \in Q}$  be a sequence of natural numbers with Q a finite set of indices. Denote by  $\mathbf{b}_{p,\theta}^{\mathbf{N}}$  the space of all such sequences  $x = \{x^k\}_{k \in Q} = \{\{x_j^k\}_{j=1}^{N_k}\}_{k \in Q}$ , for which the mixed quasi-norm

$$\|x\|_{\mathbf{b}_{p,\theta}^{\mathbf{N}}} := \left(\sum_{k \in Q} \|x^k\|_{X^k}^{\theta}\right)^{1/\theta}, \ \theta < \infty,$$

is finite (the sum is changed to supremum for  $\theta = \infty$ ), where  $X^k := l_p^{N_k}$ . Let  $S_{p,\theta}^N$  be the unit ball in  $b_{p,\theta}^N$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $0 . Then for any positive integer n we can explicitly construct a subset <math>M \subset l_{\infty}^m$  of cardinality  $|M| \leq 2^n$ , and a mapping  $S : l_p^m \to M$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} & E_n(B_p^m, l_{\infty}^m) \leq \sup_{x \in B_p^m} \|x - S(x)\|_{l_{\infty}^m} \leq C(p)A_p(m, n), \\ & A_p(m, n) = \begin{cases} m^{-1/p}2^{-n/m}, & \text{for } n \geq m\\ n^{-1/p}\log^{1/p}(m/n), & \text{for } n < m. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where

**Lemma 3.** Let  $0 < p, \theta, \tau \leq \infty$  and  $p \leq \theta$ . Then for any positive integer  $n < m = \sum_{k \in Q} N_k$ , we can explicitly construct a subset  $M \subset \mathbf{b}_{\infty,\tau}^{\mathbf{N}}$  of cardinality  $|M| \leq 2^n {n \choose n}$ , and a mapping  $S : \mathbf{b}_{p,\theta}^{\mathbf{N}} \to M$  so that

$$\varepsilon_n(\mathbf{S}_{p,\theta}^{\mathbf{N}},\mathbf{b}_{\infty,\tau}^{\mathbf{N}}) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbf{S}_{p,\theta}^{\mathbf{N}}} \|x - S(x)\|_{\mathbf{b}_{\infty,\tau}^{\mathbf{N}}} \leq Cn^{-1/p} |Q|^{1/\tau + 1/p - 1/\theta}.$$

*Remark.* Lemma 2 was proved by Maiorov for the case p = 1 (see, e.g., [10]) by a method which is not suitable for the case p < 1.

On Entropy Numbers and Non-Linear Approximation

#### References

- 1. E.S. Belinsky, Estimates of entropy numbers and Gaussian measure for classes of functions with bounded mixed derivative, J. Approx. Theory 93 (1998) 114-127.
- Dinh Dung, Approximation of Smooth Functions of Several Variables by Means of Harmonic Analysis, Doctoral Dissertation, Moscow State Univ., Moscow, 1985. (Russian).
- Dinh Dung, Continuous algorithms in n-term approximation and non-linear widths, J. Approx. Theory 102 (2000) 217-242.
- 4. D. Haussler, Decision theoretic generalization of the PAC model for neural net and other learning applications, *Inform. Comput.* 100(1) (1982) 78-150.
- A. N. Kolmogorov and V. M. Tikhomirov, ε-entropy and ε-capacity of sets in function space, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 14 (1959) 3-86; English transl. in Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 17 (2) (1961).
- D. Pollard, Empirical processes: theory and applications, NSF-CBMS Regional Conference Series in Probability and Statistics, Vol. 2, Inst. Math., Stat. and Ann. Stat. Assoc., Providence, RI, 1989.
- J. Ratsaby and V. Maiorov, The degree of approximation of sets in Euclidean space using sets with bounded Vapnik-Chervonekis dimension, *Discrete Applied* Math. 86 (1998) 81-93.
- J. Ratsaby and V. Maiorov, On the degree of approximation by manifolds of finite pseudo-dimension, Constr. Approx. 15(1999) 291-300.
- S. A. Smolyak, The ε-entropy of the classes E<sup>αk</sup><sub>s</sub> and W<sup>α</sup><sub>s</sub>(B) in the L<sub>2</sub>-metric, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 131 (1960) 30-33; English transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl. 1 (1960).
- V. N. Temlyakov, Estimates of the asymptotic characteristics of classes of functions with bounded mixed derivative or difference, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov* 189 (1989) 138-167; English transl. in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 12 (1992).
- 11. V. N. Temlyakov, An inequality for trigonometric polynomials and its application for estimating the entropy numbers J. Complexity 11 (1995) 293-307.
- V. N. Vapnik and A. Ya. Chervonekis, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the uniform convergence of means to their expectations, *Theory Probab. Appl.* 26 (1981) 264-280.

### Corrigendum

The author would like to make a correction to the paper: Dinh Dung, On asymptotic orders of *n*-term approximations and nonlinear *n*-widths, Vietnam J. Math. 27 (4) (1999) 363-367. The assumption r > 1/p in the main results (Theorem) should be changed to the assumption: either r > 1/p or  $r > (1/p - 1/q)_{+}$  and  $\theta \ge \min\{q, 2\}$ . Therefore, the power of log in the asymptotic order  $n^{-r}(\log n)^{(d-1)(r+1/2-1/\theta)}$  of  $\sigma_n(\mathbf{SB}_{p,\theta}^r, \mathbf{V}, L_q)$  and  $\gamma_n(\mathbf{SB}_{p,\theta}^r, L_q)$  should be positive and the corresponding comments should be corrected. He apologizes to the reader for this error.