

## Is a Right Perfect Right Self-Injective Ring Right PF?\*

Dinesh Khurana

Centre for Advanced Study in Mathematics, Panjab University  
Chandigarh 160 014, India

Received August 25, 1999

**Abstract.** Several equivalent formulations of the question of the title are given. In particular, it is proved that a right perfect right self-injective ring  $R$  is right PF if and only if  $R/Z(RR)$  is a semiprime ring. It is shown that a right perfect left quasi-continuous ring is left and right Kasch. Some necessary and sufficient conditions for a semiperfect ring to be right Kasch are given.

Throughout this paper all rings are associative with identity and all modules are unital. If  $R$  is a ring, the Jacobson radical of  $R$  is denoted by  $J(R)$ , the singular ideals are denoted by  $Z(RR)$  and  $Z(RR)$  and socles are denoted by  $\text{Soc}(RR)$  and  $\text{Soc}(RR)$ . The left (respectively right) annihilator of a subset  $X$  of  $R$  is denoted by  $l_R(X)$  (resp.  $r_R(X)$ ). We write  $N \subseteq M$  to mean that  $N$  is an essential submodule of  $M$ . The socle of a module  $M$  is denoted by  $\text{Soc}(M)$ .

A ring  $R$  is called *right pseudo-Frobenius* (PF) if  $R_R$  is an injective cogenerator and *right Kasch* if  $R_R$  contains a copy of each simple right  $R$ -module. A right self-injective right Kasch ring is right PF ([1, Proposition 18.15]). A ring  $R$  is said to be *left principally injective* (respectively *left mininjective*) if every  $R$ -homomorphism from a principal (resp. minimal) left ideal of  $R$  can be extended to  $R$ . A ring  $R$  is left principally injective if and only if every principal right ideal is a right annihilator ([16, Lemma 1.1]). In a right cogenerator ring  $R$  every right ideal is a right annihilator ([11, Lemma 12.4.1]) and so  $R$  is left principally injective.

From Osofsky [18] and Kato [12] it follows that a one sided perfect and two sided self-injective ring is quasi-Frobenius (QF) (i.e., left and right PF and left

\*Research supported by CSIR, India.

and right Artinian). It is an open problem whether a semiprimary right self-injective ring is QF (see [6, Exercise 24.16, p. 218]). D. V. Huynh [10] "proved" that a right perfect right self-injective ring is QF but later he discovered a gap in the proof (see [4, Remarks, p. 539]). Many authors have studied this question and have given affirmative answers under some extra conditions (see e.g., [2, 5, 9, 21]).

It is well known that a left perfect right self-injective ring is right PF ([7, Corollary 4.21]). But whether a right perfect right self-injective ring is right PF, is an open problem (see [21, Remark 2, p. 754]). Osofsky [19] gave an example of a semiperfect right self-injective ring which is not right PF.

The following result is well known (see e.g. [11, Lemma 12.4.1] and [16, Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.2]).

**Proposition 0.1.** *Let  $R$  be a right PF ring. Then*

- (a)  $R$  is left principally injective,
- (b)  $J(R) = Z({}_R R)$ ,
- (c)  $\text{Soc}({}_R R) = \text{Soc}(R_R)$ ,
- (d)  $\text{Soc}(R_R) \leqslant_R R$ .
- (e) Every simple left  $R$ -module embeds in  $\text{Soc}(R_R)$ .

This makes one ask the following questions for a right perfect right self-injective ring  $R$ :

- (i) Is  $R$  left mininjective?
- (ii) Is  $R$  left principally injective?
- (iii) Is  $J(R) = Z({}_R R)$ ?
- (iv) Is  $\text{Soc}({}_R R) = \text{Soc}(R_R)$ ?
- (v) Is  $\text{Soc}(R_R) \leqslant_R R$ ?
- (vi) Does every simple left  $R$ -module embed in  $\text{Soc}(R_R)$ ?

In Sec. 2, we prove that all the questions listed above (i.e., (i) to (vi)) are equivalent to the question: *Is a right perfect right self-injective ring right PF?* We also prove that a right perfect right self-injective ring  $R$  is right PF if and only if  $R/Z({}_R R)$  is a semiprime ring. In Sec. 3, it is shown that a right perfect left quasi-continuous ring is left and right Kasch. As a corollary it follows that a left quasi-continuous right perfect right self-injective ring is right PF.

In Sec. 1, we study semiperfect Kasch rings.

## 1. Semiperfect Kasch Rings

**Lemma 1.1** [1, Proposition 15.17]. *For a ring  $R$  with radical  $J$  the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $R$  is semilocal;
- (b) for every right  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $\text{Soc}(M) = l_M(J)$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $e$  be an idempotent of a semilocal ring  $R$  with  $J = J(R)$ . Then,*

$$\text{Hom}_R(eR/eJ, R) \cong l_R(J)e = \text{Soc}(R_R)e.$$

*Proof.* It is easily checked that  $\lambda : Re \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(eR, R)$  defined as  $\lambda(re)(es) = res$ , where  $r, s \in R$ , is an  $R$ -isomorphism (see [1, Proposition 4.6]). Thus by Lemma 1.2,

$$\text{Hom}_R(eR/eJ, R) \cong l_{Re}(J) = l_R(J) \cap Re = l_R(J)e = \text{Soc}(R_R)e.$$

This completes the proof. ■

Equivalence of assertions (a) and (b) in the following proposition improves upon [17, Proposition 2.3 (2)].

**Proposition 1.3.** *For a semiperfect ring  $R$  with  $J = J(R)$  the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $R$  is right Kasch;
- (b)  $\text{Soc}(R_R)e \neq 0$  for every primitive idempotent  $e$  of  $R$ ;
- (c)  $r_R l_R(J) = J$ ;
- (d)  $r_R(\text{Soc}(R_R)) = J$ .

*In particular, these equivalent conditions imply that for every nonzero idempotent  $e$  of  $R$ ,  $\text{Hom}_R(eR/eJ, R) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Equivalence of (a) and (b) follows from Lemma 1.2 and equivalence of (c) and (d) follows from Lemma 1.1.

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (d). As  $R$  is right Kasch,  $\text{Soc}(R_R)$  contains a copy of each simple right  $R$ -module. Also as the Jacobson radical of a ring  $R$  coincides with the intersection of annihilators in  $R$  of all simple right  $R$ -modules, (d) follows.

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). For any idempotent  $e$  of  $R$ ,  $\text{Soc}(R_R)e = 0$  implies  $e \in r_R(\text{Soc}(R_R)) = J$ . As the Jacobson radical of a ring does not contain any non-zero idempotent so  $e = 0$ . Thus (b) follows. This completes the proof. ■

The following result, which now follows as a corollary, was proved by Nicholson and Yousif in [15, Lemma 3].

**Corollary 1.4.** *A semiperfect ring  $R$  with  $\text{Soc}(R_R) \leq_R R$  is right Kasch.*

*Proof.* For every primitive idempotent  $e$  of  $R$ ,  $\text{Soc}(R_R)e = \text{Soc}(R_R) \cap Re \neq 0$ . Hence the result follows from Proposition 1.3. ■

If  $L \leq K$  are submodules of a module  $M$  with  $L$  maximal in  $K$ , then  $K/L$  is called a *composition factor* of  $M$ .

**Lemma 1.5** [1, Exercise 27.9]. *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $e$  be an idempotent of  $R$  with  $eR/eJ$  a simple right  $R$ -module, where  $J = J(R)$ . Then a right  $R$ -module  $M$  has a composition factor isomorphic to  $eR/eJ$  if and only if  $Me \neq 0$ .*

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $R$  be a semiperfect ring with  $\text{Soc}({}_R R) \subseteq \text{Soc}(R_R)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\text{Soc}(Re) \neq 0$  for every primitive idempotent  $e$  of  $R$ ;  
 (b) Every simple right  $R$ -module embeds in  $\text{Soc}(R_R)$ .  
 In particular, these conditions imply that  $R$  is right Kasch.

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). As  $\text{Soc}(R_R)e = \text{Soc}(R_R) \cap Re = \text{Soc}(Re) \neq 0$ , in view of Lemma 1.5,  $\text{Soc}(R_R)$  contains a composition factor isomorphic to  $eR/eJ$  for every primitive idempotent  $e$  of  $R$ . As  $\text{Soc}(R_R) \subseteq \text{Soc}(R_R)$ ,  $\text{Soc}(R_R)$  is a semisimple right ideal of  $R$ . Hence there exists an embedding  $eR/eJ \rightarrow \text{Soc}(R_R)$  for every primitive idempotent  $e$  of  $R$  and thus (b) follows.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). By Lemma 1.5,  $0 \neq \text{Soc}(R_R)e = \text{Soc}(Re)$  for every primitive idempotent  $e$  of  $R$ . This completes the proof. ■

A module  $M$  is said to satisfy:

the  $(C_1)$ -condition if every submodule of  $M$  is essential in a direct summand of  $M$ ;

the  $(C_3)$ -condition if whenever  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are direct summands of  $M$  with  $M_1 \cap M_2 = 0$ , then  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  is also a direct summand of  $M$ .

A module satisfying condition  $(C_1)$  is called *CS*; if it satisfies  $(C_1)$  and  $(C_3)$  it is called *quasi-continuous*. A quasi-injective module is quasi-continuous (see [13, Proposition 2.2]).

**Lemma 1.7** [13, Proposition 2.5]. *An indecomposable module is CS if and only if it is uniform.*

Nicholson and Yousif [16, Theorem 2.4] proved that a semiperfect right principally injective ring is right Kasch if and only if  $\text{Soc}(R_R) \leq_R R$ . We prove

**Proposition 1.8.** *Let  $R$  be a semiperfect ring with  $R_R$  CS. Then  $R$  is right Kasch if and only if  $\text{Soc}(R_R) \leq_R R$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\text{Soc}(R_R) \leq_R R$  then  $R$  is right Kasch by Corollary 1.4. Now suppose that  $R$  is right Kasch. Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be a complete orthogonal set of primitive idempotents of  $R$ . Then  $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Re_i$  and each  $Re_i$  is uniform by Lemma 1.7. By Proposition 1.3(b),  $\text{Soc}(R_R)e_i = \text{Soc}(R_R) \cap Re_i \neq 0$  for each  $i$ . Then  $\text{Soc}(R_R) \cap Re_i \leq Re_i$  for each  $i$  and so  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\text{Soc}(R_R) \cap Re_i) \leq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Re_i = {}_R R$ . Since  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\text{Soc}(R_R) \cap Re_i) \subseteq \text{Soc}(R_R)$  we get  $\text{Soc}(R_R) \leq {}_R R$ . This completes the proof. ■

## 2. Right Perfect Right Self-Injective Rings

We first list the following characterizations of a right PF ring. These are due to Azumaya [3], Gomez Pardo and Guil Asensio [8], Osofsky [18] and Utumi [20].

**Theorem 2.1.** *The following statements are equivalent for a ring  $R$ :*

- (i)  $R$  is right PF;
- (ii)  $R_R$  is a CS cogenerator;

- (iii)  $R$  is semiperfect right self-injective with  $\text{Soc}(R_R) \leq R_R$ ;
- (iv) Every faithful right  $R$ -module is a generator;
- (v)  $R_R$  is injective and  $\text{Soc}(R_R)$  is a finitely generated essential right ideal.

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $R$  be a semilocal ring with  $J(R) \subseteq Z({}_R R)$ . Then  $\text{Soc}({}_R R) \subseteq \text{Soc}(R_R)$ .*

*Proof.* As the socle of a module is the intersection of all its essential submodules, for any  $r \in Z({}_R R)$ ,  $\text{Soc}({}_R R) \subseteq l_R(r)$  because by definition  $l_R(r) \leq {}_R R$ . Thus using Lemma 1.1, we get

$$\text{Soc}({}_R R) \subseteq \bigcap_{r \in Z({}_R R)} l_R(r) = l_R(Z({}_R R)) \subseteq l_R(J(R)) = \text{Soc}(R_R).$$

**Lemma 2.3** [17, Theorem 1.14(4)]. *For a left mininjective ring  $R$ ,  $\text{Soc}({}_R R) \subseteq \text{Soc}(R_R)$ .*

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a semiperfect right self-injective ring with  $\text{Soc}(R_e) \neq 0$ , for every primitive idempotent  $e$  of  $R$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $R$  is right PF;
- (b)  $R$  is left principally injective;
- (c)  $R$  is left mininjective;
- (d)  $J(R) = Z({}_R R)$ ;
- (e)  $\text{Soc}({}_R R) = \text{Soc}(R_R)$ ;
- (f)  $\text{Soc}(R_R) \leq {}_R R$ ;
- (g) Every simple left  $R$ -module embeds in  $\text{Soc}(R_R)$ .

*Proof.*

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b), (c), (d), (e), (f) and (g). Well known (see e.g., [16, Corollary 2.2 and Theorem 2.3]).

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (e) and (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (e). Follow from Lemma 2.3.

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (e). Follows from Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.2.

(f)  $\Rightarrow$  (e). As  $\text{Soc}(R_R) \leq {}_R R$  so  $\text{Soc}({}_R R) \subseteq \text{Soc}(R_R)$ . Also by Lemma 2.3,  $\text{Soc}({}_R R) \supseteq \text{Soc}(R_R)$ . This gives (e).

(e)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Follows from Proposition 1.6.

(g)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be a complete orthogonal set of primitive idempotents of  $R$ . As  $R$  is right self-injective,  $\text{Soc}(R_R) \subseteq \text{Soc}({}_R R)$  by Lemma 2.3. Since every simple left  $R$ -module embeds in  $\text{Soc}(R_R)$ , by Proposition 1.6 we get  $\text{Soc}(e_i R) \neq 0$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Now as  $e_i R$  is uniform (see Lemma 1.7),  $\text{Soc}(e_i R) \leq e_i R$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . But then  $\text{Soc}(R_R) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Soc}(e_i R) \leq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n e_i R = R_R$ . Thus  $R$  is right PF (see Theorem 2.1(iii)). This completes the proof. ■

The proof of the following lemma is easy and hence is omitted.

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $X$  is a right  $T$ -nilpotent subset of a ring  $R$  then for any nonzero left  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $r_M(X) \neq 0$ .*

A ring is said to be *semiprime* if it has no nonzero one sided nilpotent ideals.

**Proposition 2.6.** *A right perfect right self-injective ring  $R$  is right PF if and only if  $R/Z({}_R R)$  is a semiprime ring.*

*Proof.* Let  $J = J(R)$ . If  $R$  is right PF then  $J = Z({}_R R)$  and so  $R/Z({}_R R)$  is semiprime.

Conversely, suppose that  $R/Z({}_R R)$  is semiprime. In view of Theorem 2.4, it is enough to show that  $J = Z({}_R R)$ . As  $R$  is right self-injective,  $Z({}_R R) \subseteq J$  (see [20, Theorem 1.3]). Suppose, on the contrary,  $Z({}_R R) \neq J$ . As  $J$  is right  $T$ -nilpotent so  $J/Z({}_R R)$  is a nonzero right  $T$ -nilpotent ideal of  $R/Z({}_R R)$ . By Lemma 2.5,  $T = \tau_{J/Z({}_R R)}(J/Z({}_R R))$  is a nonzero ideal of  $R/Z({}_R R)$ . As  $T^2 = 0$  this violates the semiprimeness of  $R/Z({}_R R)$ . This completes the proof. ■

### 3. Quasi-Continuous Kasch Rings

**Lemma 3.1** [14, Theorem 4]. *Let  $M$  be a quasi-continuous module and let  $A_i \trianglelefteq P_i \leq M$ , ( $i=1,2$ ), where  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are direct summands. Then*

$$A_1 \cong A_2 \Rightarrow P_1 \cong P_2.$$

**Corollary 3.2.** *A semiperfect left quasi-continuous ring  $R$  with  $\text{Soc}({}_R R) \trianglelefteq {}_R R$  is left Kasch.*

*Proof.* Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be a basic set of idempotents of  $R$ . From Lemma 1.7 it follows that each  $Re_i$  is uniform. As  $\text{Soc}(Re_i) \neq 0$ ,  $\text{Soc}(Re_i)$  is simple for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Also in view of Lemma 3.1,  $\text{Soc}(Re_i) \not\cong \text{Soc}(Re_j)$  if  $i \neq j$ . Thus  $\{\text{Soc}(Re_1), \dots, \text{Soc}(Re_n)\}$  is a complete irredundant set of representatives of simple left  $R$ -modules. Hence  $R$  is left Kasch and the proof is complete. ■

**Lemma 3.3** (Clark and Huynh [4, Lemma 6(ii)]). *Let  $R$  be a quasi-continuous left or right perfect ring. Then  $J(R) = Z({}_R R)$ .*

**Proposition 3.4.** *A right perfect left quasi-continuous ring  $R$  is left and right Kasch.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.2,  $R$  is left Kasch. As  $J(R) = Z({}_R R)$  (see Lemma 3.3),  $\text{Soc}({}_R R) \subseteq \text{Soc}(R_R)$  (see Lemma 2.2). Hence  $\text{Soc}(R_R) \trianglelefteq {}_R R$  and so  $R$  is right Kasch by Corollary 1.4. ■

**Corollary 3.5.** *A left quasi-continuous right perfect right self injective ring is right PF.*

As a right PF ring is left Kasch the following question arises:

**Question 3.6.** *Is a right perfect right self-injective ring left Kasch?*

In Theorem 2.4, we proved that if  $R$  is a right perfect right self-injective ring such that every simple left  $R$ -module embeds in  $\text{Soc}(R_R)$  then  $R$  is right PF. So one may ask the following stronger question.

**Question 3.7.** *Is a right perfect right self-injective left Kasch ring right PF?*

*Acknowledgements.* The author would like to thank his Ph.D. supervisor Professor R. N. Gupta for many useful discussions and also the referee of the paper for making some corrections and modifications which improved the presentation of the paper.

## References

1. F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, GTM 13, Springer Verlag, 2nd ed., 1992.
2. P. Ara and J. K. Park, On continuous semiprimary rings, *Comm. Algebra* 19 (1991) 1945-1957.
3. G. Azumaya, Completely faithful modules and self-injective rings, *Nagoya Math. J.* 27 (1966) 697-708.
4. J. Clark and D. V. Huynh, When is a self-injective semiperfect ring quasi-Frobenius?, *J. Alg.* 165 (1994) 531-542.
5. J. Clark and D. V. Huynh, A note on perfect self-injective rings, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* 45 (1994) 13-17.
6. Carl Faith, *Algebra II, Ring Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New-York, 1976.
7. Carl Faith, Rings and things and a fine array of twentieth century associative algebra, American Mathematical Society Monograph, 65, 1999.
8. J. L. Gomez Pardo and Pedro A. Guil Asensio, Rings with finite essential socle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125 (1997) 971-977.
9. D. Herbera and A. Shamsuddin, On self-injective perfect rings, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 39 (1996) 55-58.
10. D. V. Huynh, A right perfect right self-injective ring is quasi-Frobenius, *Vietnam J. Math.* 19 (1991) 22-27.
11. F. Kasch, *Modules and Rings*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, 17, Academic Press, New-York, 1982.
12. T. Kato, On self-injective rings, *Tohoku Math. J.* 19 (1967) 485-495.
13. S. Mohamed and B. J. Müller, *Continuous and Discrete Modules*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
14. B. J. Müller and S. T. Rizvi, On injective and quasi-continuous modules, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* 28 (1983) 197-210.
15. W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif, Continuous rings with chain conditions, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* 97 (1994) 325-332.
16. W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif, Principally injective rings, *J. Algebra* 174 (1995) 77-93.
17. W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif, Mininjective rings, *J. Algebra* 187 (1997) 548-578.
18. B. L. Osofsky, A generalization of quasi-Frobenius rings, *J. Algebra* 4 (1996) 373-387.

19. B. L. Osofsky, A semiperfect one-sided injective ring, *Comm. Algebra* 12 (1984) 2037-2041.
20. Y. Utumi, Self-injective rings, *J. Algebra* 6 (1967) 56-64.
21. W. Xue, A note on perfect self-injective rings, *Comm. Algebra* 24 (1996) 749-755.