

Some Properties on Marginal Extensions and the Baer-Invariant of Groups

M. R. R. Moghaddam, A. R. Salemkar, and A. Gholami

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran

Received November 10, 1999

Revised August 20, 2000

Abstract. Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of groups defined by the set of laws V . In this paper, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a marginal extension to be irreducible and primitive, with respect to a given variety \mathcal{V} . It is also shown the existence of \mathcal{V} -marginal irreducible extension and a \mathcal{V} -covering group of a given \mathcal{V} -perfect group¹.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let F_∞ be the free group freely generated by a countable set $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$, and V a non-empty subset of F_∞ . Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of groups defined by the set of laws V . There exist two important subgroups associated with a given group G and a variety \mathcal{V} , as follows:

$$V(G) = \langle \nu(g_1, \dots, g_r) \mid g_i \in G, 1 \leq i \leq r, \nu \in V \rangle,$$

$$V^*(G) = \{a \in G \mid \nu(g_1, \dots, g_i a, \dots, g_r) = \nu(g_1, \dots, g_r), g_i \in G, 1 \leq i \leq r, \nu \in V\},$$

which are called the *verbal subgroup* and the *marginal subgroup* of G with respect to the variety \mathcal{V} , respectively. See [8] for more information regarding varieties of groups.

Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of groups defined by the set of laws V , and let G be a group with a free presentation

$$1 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1,$$

where F is a free group. Then the *Baer-invariant* of G , denoted by $\mathcal{VM}(G)$, is defined to be (see also [3] or [4])

$$\frac{R \cap V(F)}{[RV^*F]},$$

where $[RV^*F]$ is the subgroup of F generated by the following set:

$$\{v(x_1, \dots, x_i r, \dots, x_s) v(x_1, \dots, x_s)^{-1} \mid x_i \in F, r \in R, v \in V, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

One can check that $[RV^*F]$ is the least normal subgroup T of F contained in R such that $R/T \subseteq V^*(F/T)$.

Note that the Baer-invariant of G is always abelian and independent of the choice of the free presentation of G (see [3]). In particular, if \mathcal{V} is the variety of abelian or nilpotent groups of class at most c ($c \geq 1$), then the Baer-invariant of the group G will be $(R \cap F')/[R, F]$, which by I. Schur [9] is isomorphic to the *Schur-multiplicator* of G , or $(R \cap \gamma_{c+1}(F))/[R, cF]$ (F is repeated c times), respectively (see [2, 4]).

The extension $e : 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ is said to be \mathcal{V} -*marginal extension* of the group N by G with respect to the variety \mathcal{V} , if $N \subseteq V^*(H)$. In particular, if \mathcal{V} is the variety of abelian or nilpotent groups of class at most c , then the extension e is called a *central extension* or \mathcal{N}_c -*marginal extension*, respectively.

Clearly $e_H : 1 \rightarrow V^*(H) \rightarrow H \rightarrow H/V^*(H) \rightarrow 1$ is always a \mathcal{V} -marginal extension. Let $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a free presentation of a group G , then

$$1 \rightarrow \frac{R}{[RV^*F]} \rightarrow \frac{F}{[RV^*F]} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

is a \mathcal{V} -marginal extension, which is called *free \mathcal{V} -marginal extension*, see [6,7] for more investigations.

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of groups defined by the set of laws V and let $e : 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a \mathcal{V} -marginal extension of N by a finite group G . Then the extension e is called \mathcal{V} -*irreducible*, if there is no proper subgroup K of H such that $H = NK$. If in addition $|V(H) \cap N| = |\mathcal{VM}(G)|$, then e is called a \mathcal{V} -*primitive extension*.

We have the following useful lemma.

Lemma 1.2. Let $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a free presentation of a group G and $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\pi} C \rightarrow 1$ be a \mathcal{V} -marginal extension of a group C . If $\alpha : G \rightarrow C$ is a homomorphism, then there exists a homomorphism $\beta : F/[RV^*F] \rightarrow B$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \frac{R}{[RV^*F]} & \longrightarrow & \frac{F}{[RV^*F]} & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \beta_1 & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \alpha \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\pi} & C \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

where β_1 is the restriction of β to $R/[RV^*F]$.

Proof. Due to the freeness of F , there is a homomorphism $f : F \rightarrow B$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \longrightarrow & G \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \alpha \\ B & \longrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

Consequently, f maps the group R into $\ker \pi$. Now if

$$w = \nu(x_1, \dots, x_i r, \dots, x_s) \nu(x_1, \dots, x_s)^{-1}$$

is a generator of $[RV^*F]$, where $\nu \in V$, $r \in R$, $x_i \in F$ and $1 \leq i \leq s$, then we have

$$f(w) = \nu(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_i) f(r), \dots, f(x_s)) \nu(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_s))^{-1}.$$

Clearly, $f(r) \in A = \ker \pi$. But A is a \mathcal{V} -marginal subgroup of B , which implies that

$$\nu(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_i) f(r), \dots, f(x_s)) \nu(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_s))^{-1} = 1.$$

Thus, f maps any word of $[RV^*F]$ into 1. Consequently, $[RV^*F]$ is contained in the kernel of f . Now, f induces a homomorphism $\beta : \frac{F}{[RV^*F]} \rightarrow B$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \frac{R}{[RV^*F]} & \longrightarrow & \frac{F}{[RV^*F]} & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \beta_1 & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \alpha \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

where β_1 is the restriction of β . This completes the proof of the lemma. ■

An exact sequence $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ is said to be a \mathcal{V} -stem cover of G , if $N \subseteq V(H) \cap V^*(H)$ and $N \cong \mathcal{V}M(G)$. Note that this isomorphism is the restriction of β to $\mathcal{V}M(G)$. In this case H is called a \mathcal{V} -covering group of G . It is clear that in finite case H is a \mathcal{V} -covering group of G , if and only if the exact sequence e is \mathcal{V} -primitive and $|H| = |G| |\mathcal{V}M(G)|$.

The following lemma is also useful in our further investigations.

Lemma 1.3. *Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of groups and G a group with a normal subgroup N contained in $V^*(G)$. Then the sequence*

$$\mathcal{V}M(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}M\left(\frac{G}{N}\right) \longrightarrow V(G) \cap N \longrightarrow 1$$

is exact.

Proof. Assume that $G \cong F/R$, where F is a free group and $N = T/R$, for some suitable normal subgroup T of F . Since $N \subseteq V^*(G)$, then by the property of

$[TV^*F]$, we have $[TV^*F] \subseteq R$. The inclusion maps $R \cap V(F) \xrightarrow{f} T \cap V(F)$ and $T \cap V(F) \xrightarrow{g} T \cap V(F)R$ induce the sequence of homomorphisms

$$\frac{R \cap V(F)}{[RV^*F]} \xrightarrow{f^*} \frac{T \cap V(F)}{[TV^*F]} \xrightarrow{g^*} \frac{T \cap V(F)R}{R} \longrightarrow 1.$$

Owing to the modular law, $(T \cap V(F)R) = (T \cap V(F))R$, and so g^* is surjective. Clearly

$$\ker g^* = \frac{R \cap V(F)}{[TV^*F]} = \text{Im } f^*,$$

that is the above sequence is exact. Finally the domain and codomain of f^* are the groups $\mathcal{V}M(G)$ and $\mathcal{V}M(G/N)$, respectively, and

$$V(G) \cap N = (V(F)R/R) \cap (T/R) = (T \cap V(F)R)/R.$$

Thus the assertion follows. ■

Keeping the above notation we have the following

Lemma 1.4. *Let*

$$1 \longrightarrow \frac{R}{[RV^*F]} \longrightarrow \frac{F}{[RV^*F]} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$$

*be a free \mathcal{V} -marginal extension of a finite group G , and $1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$ be any \mathcal{V} -irreducible extension of G . Then H is a homomorphic image of $F/[RV^*F]$, in such a way that there exists a normal subgroup $S/[RV^*F]$ of $R/[RV^*F]$ such that*

$$H \cong \frac{F/[RV^*F]}{S/[RV^*F]}, \text{ and } N \cong \frac{R/[RV^*F]}{S/[RV^*F]}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.2, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \frac{R}{[RV^*F]} & \longrightarrow & \frac{F}{[RV^*F]} & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow i \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

and so we have $N\beta(F/[RV^*F]) = H$. By the assumption H is \mathcal{V} -irreducible, so $\beta(F/[RV^*F]) = H$. By the commutativity of the above diagram we have $\ker \beta \subseteq R/[RV^*F]$. Put $S/[RV^*F] = \ker \beta$, then $H \cong (F/[RV^*F])/(S/[RV^*F])$ and so we have

$$N \cong \frac{R/[RV^*F]}{S/[RV^*F]}.$$

2. \mathcal{V} -Irreducible Extensions

In this section we give some properties of \mathcal{V} -marginal extensions and their connections with the Baer-invariants of a group. A necessary and sufficient condition

is given for a \mathcal{V} -marginal extension to be \mathcal{V} -irreducible. Also the connection of such extensions with \mathcal{V} -perfect groups will be discussed.

Let $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a free presentation of a finite group G , where F is a finitely generated free group. Let $e : 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a \mathcal{V} -irreducible extension. By Lemma 1.4, there exists a normal subgroup $\frac{S}{[RV^*F]}$ of $\frac{F}{[RV^*F]}$ such that

$$\frac{S}{[RV^*F]} \subset \frac{R}{[RV^*F]}, \quad \frac{F/[RV^*F]}{S/[RV^*F]} \cong H$$

and

$$\frac{R/[RV^*F]}{S/[RV^*F]} \cong N.$$

Clearly, if S is a normal subgroup of F such that $[RV^*F] \subset S \subset R$, then

$$1 \rightarrow \frac{R}{S} \rightarrow \frac{F}{S} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

is a \mathcal{V} -marginal extension of G , but it is not necessarily \mathcal{V} -irreducible.

In the following we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the above extension to be \mathcal{V} -irreducible.

Theorem 2.1 *By the above notation and assumption the \mathcal{V} -marginal extension $1 \rightarrow R/S \rightarrow F/S \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ is \mathcal{V} -irreducible if and only if every maximal subgroup of F , which contains $SV(F)$ also contains R .*

Proof. The sufficient condition is equivalent to saying that a maximal subgroup $T/[RV^*F]$ of $F/[RV^*F]$, with $T/[RV^*F] \supset SV(F)/[RV^*F]$, contains $R/[RV^*F]$. Clearly

$$\frac{SV(F)}{[RV^*F]} / \frac{S}{[RV^*F]}$$

is the verbal subgroup of $(F/[RV^*F]) / (S/[RV^*F]) \cong H$. This is also equivalent to the fact that every maximal subgroup M of H with $M \supseteq V(H)$ contains N .

Now, assume that $1 \rightarrow R/S \rightarrow F/S \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ is not \mathcal{V} -irreducible, then there exists a proper subgroup K of H such that $KN = H$. Since $N \subseteq V^*(H)$ and by [1, Theorem 2.4] $V(H) = V(K)[NV^*H] = V(K)$ we have $V(H) \subseteq K$, but H and hence H/K is finitely generated and so H has a maximal subgroup M say, which contains K . Clearly $V(H) \subseteq M$, but M does not contain N , which gives a contradiction. This proves the “if” part of the theorem.

Conversely, if there exists a maximal subgroup M of H with $V(H) \subseteq M$ and $N \not\subseteq M$, then $H = MN$ and hence H is not \mathcal{V} -irreducible. ■

Now we have the following useful lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $e : 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{\phi} G \rightarrow 1$ be a \mathcal{V} -primitive marginal extension of a finite group G . Then using the notation of Lemma 1.2, the map β induces the following isomorphisms:*

- (i) $V(H) \cap N \cong \mathcal{V}M(G)$;

(ii) $V(H) \cong V(F)S/S$, for some normal subgroup S of F .

Proof.

(i) By the definition of primitivity of e and using Lemma 1.3, we conclude that $V(H) \cap N \cong \mathcal{V}M(G)$.

(ii) Let F be the free group freely generated by the set X and let $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ be an epimorphism with $R = \ker \pi$. Clearly, for each $x \in X$ there exists $h_x \in H$ such that $\phi(h_x) = \pi(x)$. Now, put $K = \langle h_x \in H; x \in X \rangle$ we obtain $H = NK$. But as the extension e is \mathcal{V} -irreducible, it implies that $H = K$. Now, consider $\psi : F \rightarrow H$ given by $\psi(x) = h_x$, for all $x \in X$. Then ψ is an epimorphism such that $\pi = \phi \circ \psi$. Clearly $\psi(R) \subseteq N$, and hence

$$\psi([RV^*F]) \subseteq [\psi(R)V^*H] \subseteq [NV^*H] = 1.$$

Thus ψ induces a homomorphism $\bar{\psi}$ from $F/[RV^*F]$ onto H , with $\ker \bar{\psi} = S/[RV^*F]$, say. This implies that

$$H \cong \frac{F}{[RV^*F]} / \frac{S}{[RV^*F]}$$

and so $V(H) \cong (V(F)S/[RV^*F]) / (S/[RV^*F])$, which gives the required assertion. \blacksquare

A group G is said to be \mathcal{V} -perfect with respect to the variety \mathcal{V} , if $G = V(G)$. See [5] for more discussion on this concept.

Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of groups, and G a \mathcal{V} -perfect group, then clearly every \mathcal{V} -covering group of G is also \mathcal{V} -perfect.

Now we are in a position to prove our main results.

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of groups, and G a \mathcal{V} -perfect group. If $e : 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ is a \mathcal{V} -marginal extension, then $1 \rightarrow N \cap V(H) \rightarrow V(H) \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ is a \mathcal{V} -irreducible marginal extension.*

Proof. Since G is \mathcal{V} -perfect, we have

$$G \cong V(H/N) = NV(H)/N$$

and hence $NV(H) = H$. This implies that

$$\frac{V(H)}{N \cap V(H)} \cong \frac{H}{N} \cong G$$

and so $V(H)$ is an extension of G . This extension is \mathcal{V} -marginal, since $H = NV(H)$ implies $V^*(H) = V^*(V(H))[NV^*H]$. Hence $N \cap V(H) \subseteq V^*(V(H))$. Now if M is a subgroup of $V(H)$ such that $M(N \cap V(H)) = V(H)$, then $H = NV(H) = NM$, and since $N \subseteq V^*(H)$, M contains $V(H)$ and hence $M = V(H)$. This gives the irreducibility of $V(H)$.

Theorem 2.4. Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of groups, and G a \mathcal{V} -perfect group with a free presentation $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$, then $V(F)/[RV^*F]$ is a \mathcal{V} -covering group of G .

Proof. See [6, Theorem 3.1].

Finally, using the above theorem we have the following result

Theorem 2.5. Let G be a finite \mathcal{V} -perfect group, and $e : 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a \mathcal{V} -marginal extension of G . Then

- (i) The extension e is \mathcal{V} -irreducible if and only if H is \mathcal{V} -perfect.
- (ii) The extension e is \mathcal{V} -primitive if and only if H is a \mathcal{V} -covering group of G .

Proof.

(i) If e is \mathcal{V} -irreducible, then H is \mathcal{V} -perfect, since $H = NV(H)$. Conversely, if $H = V(H)$ then the extension e is \mathcal{V} -irreducible by Proposition 2.3.

(ii) If e is \mathcal{V} -primitive, then it is \mathcal{V} -irreducible by Definition 1.1, and $H = V(H)$ by part (i). Now by Lemma 2.2, $V(H) \cap N \cong \mathcal{VM}(G)$, thus $|H| = |G||\mathcal{VM}(G)|$. Hence H is a \mathcal{V} -covering group of G . The converse clearly holds, since every \mathcal{V} -covering group of G is \mathcal{V} -primitive.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referee for her or his valuable suggestions to make the paper more readable.

References

1. N. S. Hekster, Varieties of groups and isologism, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **46** (1989) 22-60.
2. G. Karpilovsky, *The Schur Multiplier*, London Math. Soc. Monographs New Series **2**, 1987.
3. C. R. Leedham-Green and S. McKay, Baer-invariants, isologism, varietal laws and homology, *Acta Math.* **137** (1976) 99-150.
4. M. R. R. Moghaddam, The Baer-invariant of a direct product, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **33** (1979) 504-511.
5. M. R. R. Moghaddam and S. Kayvanfar, \mathcal{V} -perfect groups, *Indag. Math.* **8**(4) (1997) 537-542.
6. M. R. R. Moghaddam and A. R. Salemkar, Varietal isologism and covering groups, *Arch. der Math. (Basel)* **75** (2000) 8-15.
7. M. R. R. Moghaddam, A. R. Salemkar, and A. Gholami, Some properties on isologism of groups and Baer-invariant, *Southeast Asian. Bull. Math.* **24**(2) (2000) 255-261.
8. H. Neumann, *Varieties of Groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
9. I. Schur, Untersuchungen über die Darstellung der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **132** (1907) 85-137.